NRC Fer (9.83)	- 364				LIC	ENSEE EVEN	T RE	PORT	(LER)		PPROVED ON	18 NO			
FACILITY	NAME (1								To	OCKET NUMBER	(2)		PAG	E (3)	
PACILITY			t Be	ach Nucl	ear Pl	lant, Uni	t 1			15 0 0		11	1 OF	013	
TITLE 14		-	-		-	alve Lea			n Excess	of					
			ical												
EVENT DATE (8) LER NUMBER (6)						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) DOCKET NUMBER(S)					
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	MONTH DAY	YEAR		None		0 15 10 10 10		01	1 1 1	
11 0 0 3 86 8 16 - 010 1			-01015	-010	None										
10	141	90				O THE REQUIREMEN		GFR 5: 10	Check one or more o	f the following) (1		1-			
	PATING (8) BOO	0		402(b)		20.408(a)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73,71	(b)		4 4	
POWE			20.	406(a)(1)(i)		60.36(a)(1)			50.73(e)(2)(v)		73.71				
(10)	'	10	20.	406(a)(1)(ii)		50,36(e)/2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)		below	and in	Text NAC		
20.				406(a)(1)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(i)			50,73(a)(2)(viii)(A		366A)					
			406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		-	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(8 50.73(a)(2)(x)							
			20.	406(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)	FOR THIS	LER (12)	30.73(8)(2)(8)						
NAME						Contract Contract					TELEPHONE	NUMB	ER		
		C	. w.	Fay, Vi	ce Pre	esident -	Nuc	lear	Power	4 1 4	2 2 1	1-	2 8	111	
				COMPLETE	NE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	T (13)		_			
CAUSE	SYSTEM	СОМРО	THENC	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	REPORTA TO NPR				
Х	ВІр	1I	SIV	VP 8 15	Y				1.1.1	111					
		1	1 1	(1-1-1-1	1 1 1				١.,	
				SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECT		IONTH	DAY	YEAR	
76	8 (If yes, c	ompiete E	XPECTED	SUBMISSION DATE		X NO				SUBMISS		1			
	On review and the control of the con	n Oceact alve llow alve neck est. nere	tobe or c (75 ed b 755 , ap Th fore ifie	oolant p 5A) leak y Techni A, a fou peared t e requir t, the le d. f past l and repla	6, dur ump co rate cal Sp r-inch o stice ed tes ak rat eakage	mponent exceeded ecificat , 150-po k partia t pressu e at the	cool the ions und, lly re c rat	carlopen ould ed p	water su tainment 4.4.II.E bon stee during not be ressure his valv	leakade and II cl, Velathe in: achieve could in ve, it is	an swiitial ed and not be	ng Typ	e "(
				ge can b		be inspatified.	ecte	a to	determi		T E 7				

8611050207 861031 PDR ADOCK 05000301 S PDR

NRC Form 366 (9.83)

NRC Form 366A (9-83) LICENSEE EVENT	GULATORY COMMISSION DMB NO. 3150-0104 11/85								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)		
Point Beach Nuclear Plant		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER		7	
Unit 1	0 5 0 0 0 3 0 1	8 6	_	0 0 5	-	010	01	2 01	0 13

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On September 27, 1986, Unit 2 was shut down for its annual refueling. On October 3, 1986, the total "as-found" leakage for Type "B" and "C" local leak rate testing exceeded 0.6 la when excessive leakage through the "A" reactor coolant pump component cooling water supply containment isolation valve (755A0 was found. The limit 0.6 La is required by Technical Specifications 15.4.4.II.B and 15.4.4.III.B.

Valve 755A was tested according to procedure; however, the required test pressure of 60 psig could not be achieved in the test volume. An indicated test pressure of 18.3 psig was achieved while an indicated leak rate of greater than 162,000 scfm existed. Note that this leak rate is not the actual leak rate which would exist if the test volume could be pressurized to 60 psig. Therefore, in view of the test findings, it is assumed that the leak rate of 755A by itself would violate the total leakage limits set forth in the Technical Specifications.

The subject valve is a four-inch, 150-pound, carbon steel, check valve model 73908 manufactured by Velan Corporation. This check valve is located inside containment in an incoming component cooling water line to the "A" reactor coolant pump. Additional isolation capability is provided by Valve 754A located outside containment, which can be remotely operated from the control room. Operator action would be required to shut this valve in the event it was needed to establish containment isolation. This valve was subjected to a Type "C" test with satisfactory results.

When Valve 755A was last tested after its repair prior to the start-up of Unit 2 in the fall of 1985, it had a leak rate of 1680 sccm. (See LER 85-002-01.) In 1985 it was anticipated that this repair was successful, since a similar Unit 1 valve was repaired in 1985 in the same manner and had passed its leak test after a full year of operation.

REPORTABILITY

This report is filed pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i), "Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104
EXPIRES 8/31/85

FACILITY NAME (1)

Point Beach Nuclear Plant
Unit 1

O | 5 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 6 | - 0 | 0 | 5 | - 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 |

TEXT (M more spece is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

SAFETY ASSESSMENT

Operation of Unit 2 during the last fuel cycle posed no safety hazard to the employees of Point Beach Nuclear Plant or the general public for several reasons.

First, during the test procedure, no actual backflow condition is created through the check valve as would be expected under accident conditions. Therefore, there is no shutting force created by reverse flow against the disc during the test. It is very likely that during a design basis accident, should the containment atmosphere be exposed to a broken CCW line creating reverse flow in the line, liquid remaining in the line would cause the valve disc to seat resulting in a less significant leak rate than that found during the test. Second, the CCW system is a closed system outside containment with a normal operating pressure greater than containment accident pressure. Third, the existence of remote operating valve 754A, which passed its most recent leak test, allows the operator to isolate this CCW line should it become necessary to establish containment integrity.

SIMILAR OCCURRENCES

Vaive 2-755A has failed its leak test several times in the past. Each time the valve was disassembled and repaired such that the after-repair leak rate of the valve was acceptable. Leak testing of this valve prior to 1983 revealed no problems. See Licensee Event Reports 83-004/T-01, 84-008-00, 85-002-00, and 85-002-01.



(414) 277-2345

VPNPD-86-447 NRC-86-105

October 31, 1986

Mr. J. G. Keppler, Regional Administrator Office of Inspection and Enforcement Region III U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr. Keppler:

DOCKET 50-301
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 86-005-00
CONTAINMENT ISOLATION VALVE LEAK RATE IN EXCESS
OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION LIMITS
POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 2

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 86-005-00 for Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 2. This report details a containment isolation valve which had a leak rate in excess of the Technical Specification limit of 0.6 La during its Type "C" test.

LER 86-005-00 is filed pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(A)(2)(i), "Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications."

If any further information is required, please contact us.

Very truly yours,

C. W. Fay Vice President Nuclear Power

Enclosure

Copies to NRC Resident Inspector NRC Document Control Desk, Washington, DC (with original) JE22