Docket Nos. 50-327/328

Mr. S. A. White Manager of Nuclear Power Tennessee Valley Authority 6N 38A Lookout Place 1101 Market Street Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Dear Mr. White:

DISTRIBUTION
Docket File
NRC PDR
Local PDR
JAxelrad
SEbneter
SRichardson
JZwolinski
BDLiaw
GZech, RII
SConnelly, OIA

CJamerson

ACRS(10)
JPartlow
EJordan
FMiraglia
OGC-BETH
Projects Rdg.
Sequoyah Rdg.
TVA-BETH
JDonohew
TRotella
JHolonich
JKelly

SUBJECT: RELIEF FROM 60 DAY REPORTING REQUIREMENT OF GENERIC LETTER 87-02

Re: Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2

By letter dated April 10, 1987, Mr. Neil P. Smith, Chairman of the Seismic Qualification Utility Group (SQUG), requested clarification of the 60-day reporting provision of Generic Letter (GL) 87-02. The NRC response to this request is enclosed. Please note that the extension of the reporting for GL 87-02 in the enclosed letter to Mr. Smith will affect the required response date for the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant.

The current schedule for the Sequoyah plant submittal in response to GL 87-02 is no later than December 1, 1987. If you should have questions regarding this change or the enclosed letter, please contact Thomas S. Rotella, Project Manager at (301) 492-8199.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

John A. Zwolinski, Assistant Director for Projects TVA Projects Division

Enclosure: As stated

cc: See next page

8706160617 870609 PDR ADDCK 05000327

OSP:DTVA CJamerson 6/9/87

OSP:DTVA TRotella:jg

OSP DIA

DTVA:AD/P JZwolinski 6/9/87 Mr. S. A. White Tennessee Valley Authority

cc: General Counsel Tennessee Valley Authority 400 West Summit Hill Drive Ell B33 Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

Mr. R. L. Gridley Tennessee Valley Authority 5N 157B Lookout Place Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402-2801

Mr. H. L. Abercrombie Tennessee Valley Authority Sequoyah Nuclear Plant P.O. Box 2000 Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Mr. M. R. Harding Tennessee Valley Authority Sequoyah Nuclear Plant P.O. Box 2000 Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Mr. D. L. Williams
Tennessee Valley Authority
400 West Summit Hill Drive
W10 B85
Knoxville, Tennessee 37902

County Judge Hamilton County Courthouse Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402 Sequoyah Nuclear Plant

Regional Administrator, Region II U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 101 Marietta Street, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30323

Resident Inspector/Sequoyah NP c/o U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 2600 Igou Ferry Road Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Mr. Richard King c/o U.S. GAO 1111 North Shore Drive Suite 225, Box 194 Knoxville, Tennessee 37919

Tennessee Department of
Public Health
ATTN: Director, Bureau of
Environmental Health Services
Cordell Hull Building
Nashville, Tennessee 37219

Mr. Michael H. Mobley, Director Division of Radiological Health T.E.R.A. Building 150 9th Avenue North Nashville, Tennessee 37203



# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20655

APR 2 8 1987

Mr. Neil P. Smith
Chairman
Seismic Qualification Utility Group
801 18th Street, N.W.
Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20006

Dear Mr. Smith:

I have received your letter dated April 10, 1987 requesting clarification of the 60-day reporting provisions of Generic Letter 87-02. In Generic Letter 87-02, the staff requested that each licensee subject to the USI A-46 equipment seismic adequacy verification requirements provide a schedule for implementation of the seismic verification within 60 days of receipt of the Generic Letter.

In your letter you stated that licensees who belong to the Seismic Qualification Utility Group (SQUG) will be unable to provide a schedule for implementation at this time because the generic implementation procedures are still under development by the SQUG and will not be finalized until the completion of several trial plant reviews.

The staff has reviewed your request and concluded that it is appropriate to extend the 60-day reporting provisions of Generic Letter 87-02 for SQUG licensees until the generic implementation procedures are better defined and the trial plant reviews are completed. It is my understanding that the SQUG generic implementation procedures should be essentially complete before October 1, 1987. By that date at least two trial plant reviews will have been completed and the generic implementation procedures sufficiently defined and reviewed by NRC staff so that licensees can plan and schedule their plant specific reviews.

All licensees participating in the USI A-46 SQUG implementation program should provide the schedule for plant specific implementation no later than December 1, 1987. The NRC staff will review and evaluate the generic procedures by October 1, to allow each utility 60 days to prepare their replies to the generic letter.

Sincerely,

Thomas E. Murley, Director

mule

Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

8 7050 8 000 2 XA

# SQUG Plants To Be Reviewed To USI A-46 Requirement

This plant list was developed by determining from plant Safety Evaluation Reports whether or not a seismic qualification review has been performed using IEEE Standard 344-1975. Plants not documented as meeting the provisions of IEEE Standard 344-1975 are included on the list.

#### Alabama

- \*1. Browns Ferry, Unit 1
- \*2. Browns Ferry, Unit 2
- \*3. Browns Ferry, Unit 3
- 4. Joseph M. Farley, Unit 1

## Arkansas

- \*5. Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 1
- \*6. Arkansas Nuclear One, Unit 2

## California

- \*7. San Onofre, Unit 1
- \*8. Rancho Seco, Unit 2

## Colorado

\*9. Fort St. Vrain

#### Connecticut

- \*10. Haddam Neck
- \*11. Millstone, Unit 1
- \*12. Millstone, Unit 2

#### Florida

- 13. Turkey Point, Unit 3
- 14. Turkey Point, Unit 4
- \*15. Crystal River, Unit 3
- 16. St. Lucie, Unit 1

#### Georgia

- \*17. Edwin I. Hatch, Unit 1
- \*18. Edwin I. Hatch, Unit 2

<sup>\*</sup>Plant of utility which is a member of SQUG.

## Illinois

- \*19. Dresden, Unit 2
- \*20. Dresden, Unit 3
- \*21. Zion, Unit 1
- \*22. Zion, Unit 2
- \*23. Quad-City, Unit 1
- \*24. Quad-City, Unit 2

## Iowa

\*25. Duane Arnold, Unit 1

## Maine

\*26. Maine Yankee

## Maryland

- \*27. Calvert Cliffs, Unit 1
- \*28. Calvert Cliffs, Unit 2

## Massachusetts

- \*29. Yankee Rowe
- \*30. Pilgrim, Unit 1

## Michigan

- \*31. Big Rock Point
- \*32. Palisades
- \*33. Donald C. Cook, Unit 1
- \*34. Donald C. Cook, Unit 2

# Minnesota

- \*35. Monticello
- \*36. Prairie Island, Unit 1
- \*37. Prairie Island, Unit 2

## Nebraska

- \*38. Fort Calhoun, Unit 1
- \*39. Cooper

## New Jersey

- \*40. Oyster Creek, Unit 1
- \*41. Salem, Unit 1
- \*42. Salem, Unit 2

## New York

\*43. Indian Point, Unit 2 \*44. Indian Point, Unit 3 \*45. Nine Mile Point, Unit 1 \*46. R. E. Ginna, Unit 1 \*47. James A. Fitzpatrick

#### North Carolina

\*48. Brunswick, Unit 1 \*49. Brunswick, Unit 2

### Ohio

\*50. Davis-Besse, Unit 1

## Oregon

51. Trojan, Unit 1

## Pennsylvania

\*52. Peach Bottom, Unit 2
\*53. Peach Bottom, Unit 3

54. Beaver Valley, Unit 1
\*55. Three Mile Island, Unit 1

# South Carolina

\*56. H. B. Robinson, Unit 2 \*57. Oconee, Unit 1 \*58. Oconee, Unit 2

\*59. Oconee, Unit 3

## Tennessee

\*60. Sequoyah, Unit 1 \*61. Sequoyah, Unit 2

## Vermont

\*62. Vermont Yankee

# Virginia

\*63. Surry, Unit 1 \*64. Surry, Unit 2

\*65. North Anna, Unit 1

\*66. North Anna, Unit 2

# Wisconsin

\*68. Point Beach, Unit 1 \*69. Point Beach, Unit 2 \*70. Kewanee