

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE **PR-2, 19, 20 et al.**
(51 FR 19106) (72)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 A10:04

109 Tarrytown Drive
Smyrna, TN. 37167-4267
August 30, 1986

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

SECRETARY
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Secretary:

We have been following the news reports concerning the proposed nuclear waste storage site locations. It is our understanding that Tennessee is one of the states being considered for this temporary disposal site and that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission is responsible for the development of regulations governing the licensing of such a facility. Although we are opposed to this site being located in Tennessee, we feel stringent regulations should be met in any event.

Apparently under current regulations only one operational license is required prior to the actual building of the facility with no follow-up procedures to verify the construction. Do you realize that for us to build a simple patio structure, we must first get a building permit based on the proposed design structure and then must receive a final inspection approval before we can use the addition? Do you not concur that something as controversial as a nuclear waste storage deserves at least the same degree of control for acceptance?

Our concern as expressed in this letter is for tighter control with a minimum of two licenses required: the first being for construction and the second to make sure the plant was built to specification before any waste can be accepted.

Thank you for your consideration and support on such an important issue concerning Tennessee and our Nation.

Best regards,

Wayne & Trudy Neu
Wayne & Trudy Neu
Concerned Citizens

8609100324 860904
PDR PR PDR
2 51FR19106

DS10
add: Wm R. Pearson, 113055

1/0

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by card. *PA*

DOCKET NUMBER

~~PROPOSED RULE~~

PR-2,19,20 et al. (73)

(SIFR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 AIO:35

Route 1, Box 409

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL, Tenn. 38401

DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH, 1986

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sir:

We are concerned about the construction of a temporary nuclear waste disposal site in Tennessee.

Should such a site become a reality despite our objection to its construction, we join with those who strongly insist on licensing of the site at time of construction and licensing for receipt of waste material.

We believe every possible safety measure should be taken to protect everyone from the effects of nuclear waste material.

Thank you for allowing us to voice our concern about this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Michael Dudas
Michael Dudas

Evelyn F. Dudas
Evelyn F. Dudas

D310
add: Wm. R. Pearson. 113055
110

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by *[initials]*

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE **PR + 2, 19, 20 et al.** (74)
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

⁸⁶ SEP -4 AIO:40
Route 1
Spring Hill, TN 37174
OFFICE OF SPECIAL AGENT
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

SECRETARY
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sir:

I understand that the approval of a temporary nuclear waste disposal site near Oak Ridge is an unsafe and costly problem for Tennessee.

I hope this does not happen, but if it should, I want to go on record as stating that I believe it should be licensed twice. Hopefully, this would add a much needed margin of safety to this problem.

Sincerely,

Linda Faye Tidwell

Linda Faye Tidwell

DS:U
add Wm. R. Pearson, 113055
1/0

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by *W.R.P.*

DOCKET NUMBER **PR-219,20 etal** (75)
~~PROPOSED RULE~~
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

86 SEP -4 P4:34

Route 5, Box 328-B
Portland, TN 37148
August 31, 1986

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sir:

As a citizen of the state of Tennessee, we are concerned about the possibility of a temporary nuclear waste disposal site being constructed near Oak Ridge. We understand that you cannot stop this facility from being built if Congress votes to do so, but that you set the standards for all of the design and construction work. We also understand that at present you are planning to issue the plant a license only once, before it is built, but that you will not go back and check to see if the plant actually was built to the specifications that you approved.

If there's going to be any kind of nuclear waste disposal in the state, it ought to be as safe as human effort can make it, and we agree with your proposed rule change so that the site will be licensed both before construction, and after it is finished but before any waste can be accepted. Please see that the MRS is inspected before any waste is put in there, and license it that second time.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours,

Joe D. Griffin

Mrs. Joe D. Griffin

DS10
add: Wm R Pearson, 113055
1/0

SEP 5 1986

Acknowledged by card.....

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED BILL

PR-2, 19, 20 et al. (76)
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 P4:33

Route 4 Box 45
Office of the Secretary
Gained Docketing Tennessee
September 2, 1986
BRANCH

SECRETARY
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

RE: NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE

Sirs:

I am writing to let you know that I am against the storage of nuclear waste in my home state of Tennessee. I believe that it could be injurious to the ground waters not only of Tennessee, but likely the southeast region of the United States. I have heard and read reports from Governor Lamar Alexander's Safe Growth Team about the storage of nuclear waste in this part of the country being hazardous to our ground water system.

If there is a remote possibility that this dump will be in Tennessee, how could you possibly NOT re-check it to see if it is built properly. SURELY, steps will be taken to make certain that the dump is built to specifications and re-inspected periodically!!

Please make the decision to inspect the dump site properly, or better yet, move it entirely out of Tennessee.

Sincerely,

Margaret W. Roberts, O.D.

Margaret W. Roberts, O.D.

MWR:es

cc: Bart Gordon

DS10
add. Wm L. Pearson 11/30/85
1/0

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by card.....

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE **PR-219** *20etal.*
(51FR19106) (77)

MILTON HALEY.
1017. EARL AVE.,
SMITHVILLE, TN., 37166.

DOCKETED
USNRC

TO THE SECRETARY, OF THE U.S NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION,
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20545-

86 SEP -4 P4:32

I AM WRITTING TO YOU ABOUT THIS NUCLEAR WASTE STORAGE IN THE STATE OF TENNESSEE, NO WE
IN TENNESSEE, DO NOT WANT HIGH, & IF THERE IS GOING TO BE A DUMP IN TENN., THAN IT SHOULD
BE LICENNSSED NOT JUST ONCE BUT TWICE, & THE LICENSEDS, SHOULD BE VERY VERY HIGH, & IF THE
CONGRESS PASSES SUCH, THAN THEY SHOULD HAVE TO LIVE IN TENNESSEE, & TAKE WHAT MAY FOLLOW
FOR THERE IS SO MANY THINGS THAT CAN HAPPEN, & NO TELLING HOW MANY PEOPLE IN TENNESSEE
WOULD LOOSE THEIR HOMES, & MABY HOSPITALIZED OR DIE FROM IT, OH,DO NOT TELL ME IT COULDEN'T
HAPPEN, & THAT IT WON'T HAPPEN, THINGS IS HAPPING ALL OVER THIS WORLD EVERY DAY, THAT
PEOPLE THOUGHT WOULD NEVER HAPPEN, BUT IT IS, & IF THE PLANT IS BUILT TO ALL
SPECIFICATIONS, & APPROVED, IT CAN STILL HAPPEN, OTHER PLANTS, HAS BEEN BUILT TO
SPECIFICATIONS & APPROVED & THINGS DID HAPPEN & IN SOME PLACES IS STILL HAPPING AT TIMES,
& YOU KNOW I AM RIGHT, WHEATHER YOU WILL ADMIT IT OR NOT, NOW YOU TELL ME JUST HOW MANY
REGULATIONS ARE CARRIED OUT, & KEPT,? & IF BUILD IT SHOULD BE INSPECTED, NOT ONLY BY PLANT
MJRS. & SUPERINTENDENTS, & OF THE GOVERNMENT, BUT INSPECTED BEFORE ANY WAISTE CAN BE PUT
IN THE PLACE, YOU SAY IT WILL BRING IN MORE JOBS,? OH BUT WHO IS GOING TO WIND UP PAYING
FOR ALL OF THIS, IT IS THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, & THE POOR TAX PAYERS, ALL OF THIS IS
BALONEY, HOG WASH TO ME, FOR IT CERTAINLY IS NOT NECESSARY, THE PEOPLE HAVE HAD THINGS
THROWN AT THEM WHEATHER THEY LIKE IT OR NOT, & I THINK NOW IS THE TIME TO PUT A STOPPING
POINT, WE AS AMERICANS HAVE HAD THINGS SHOVED, DOWN OUR THROTS TILL ITS RIGHT SICKING, &
ITS TIME FOR IT TO BE STOPPED, & NOW IS THE TIME TO START, *****

HOPING THAT THIS WILL BE THOUGHT OF SEARCILLY, & STOPPED.

YOURS TRULY, MILTON HALEY.

Milton Haley

DS10
a.c.c. Wm R. Pearson 113055 1/0

SEP 5 1986

Acknowledged by card

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2, 19, 20 et al. (18)
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 P4:31

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Route 2, Box 163
Readyville, TN 37147
Sept. 2, 1986

Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555

Dear Sir;

There seems to be enough nuclear waste already in our country to detrimentally affect every citizen. Why do we continue to make the situation worse? If something beneficial, in some ways, brings about prolonged pain and loss of countless lives, what have we gained? But, if such is to be, we must make every effort to keep the facilities as safe as possible. Therefore, I am writing to plead with you to please insist that regulations governing the waste disposal dumps contain requirement for licenses both before and after the dumps are built.

Very sincerely,
Mrs. T. B. Johree

DE 10
add. Wm R. Paulson 113055
1/0

Acknowledged by card... SEP 5 1986

DUCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2, 19.20 et al. (79)
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

P.D. # 2 Box 305
86 SEP -4 AIO:56
Monroe, TN 38573
OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH
Sept. 2, 1986

Dear Secretary,

Concerning the proposed dump site to be built in TN. If this must be built in TN we surely urge that it be licensed twice and be subject to regular inspection during its use.

Sincerely,

Richard and Marian Deek

DS10
add: Wm R. Pearson, 1130 55
1/0

Acknowledged by card SEP 5 1986

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2, A, 20 et al.
(51 FR 19106) (80)

Aug 29, 1986

DOCKETED
USNRC



William Rogers
220 Hidden Lake Road
Hendersonville, TN
37075

'86 SEP -4 AIO:39

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Secretary

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C. 20555

Dear Secretary:

Since we live in Tennessee an where our state
resources and people I feel it necessary to write
and advise that I am against your proposal as
to the building, inspecting and issuing of license
to operate a nuclear waste plant at the Oak
Ridge location.

With no more control over this facility than you
are proposing there could be as many people affected
by nuclear waste as was recently experienced in
Russia with a plant that was not constructed, inspected,
trained or supervised correctly.

How can you with sound mind ever think of what
you are proposing in view of what has happened all
over the world with nuclear power not controlled.
I do not have a problem with nuclear energy being
used but we must not allow ourselves to just
"dump" on people who will be affected by
any escaping radiation to cause harm. Mr. Secretary
please think this out more and be sure all the
tests are made and remember the Challenger when
the US people did not make the right decision. Finally

DS 0944-DM P. Prinson, 113055

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by card

DOCKETED
USNRC

September 1, 1986

'86 SEP -4 P4:28

Dear Mr. Secretary,

We do not want a nuclear waste disposal site in Tennessee.

But, if, it is built, we want it licensed twice.

I agree that the temporary storage facility, called the MRS, should be inspected before any waste can be put there. In the interest of OUR safety.

We object to this expensive and unnecessary temporary waste dump on Tennessee.

We also object to Federal taxes that will be placed on all of us in our beautiful state of Tennessee!

Thank you for hearing us and our beliefs for our safety, and future!

Sullivan J. Hix
Gwen V. Hix
114 Staggs Dr.
Portland, Tennessee
37148

DS10

add: Wm R. Pearson, 113055

SEP 5 1986

Acknowledged by card

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 A11:20

8-30-86

Dear Sir,

Since the <sup>OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH</sup> government insists on putting a nuclear waste dump in Tennessee, I personally believe the building should be checked for safety before construction and before nuclear waste is received.

In other words two safety inspections.

Personally, I believe, with as much desert waste land as this nation has that there is really no need to store nuclear waste in Tennessee.

Tennessee is becoming an industrial state, we do not need nuclear waste stored here to destroy our chances for growth, before we even have a chance to get started.

But if the NRC goes ahead and builds a nuclear waste dump in Tennessee for the safety and future growth of

DS10
add: Wm. L. Pearson, 113055

all Tennesseeans the building
needs to be inspected before
it is constructed for safety
and before the storage area
receives waste.

As I said earlier two safety
inspections.

And as a suggestion for a
safer place to store nuclear
waste, go into the desert it
is already desolate and almost
uninhabited, in a nut shell
go West!

Sincerely
Ivan Susan
Aechy + Ken
The Horvans

Lynn Bowman
507 E. Stratton
Monterey, TN

38574

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE

PR-2, 19, 20 et al. (96)
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

To Whom it May Concern, '86 SEP -4 P4:33

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

I feel that if there
to be a waste dump built
near Oak Ridge, Tenn. it needs
to be licensed twice.

There was a lot of money
spent on a nuclear plant in
Hartsville, Tenn. Take a look
at that situation!

Sincerely,
Bonnie Denton

P.S. The government is spending
a lot of unnecessary money on
projects that never materialize
or go — in a few years.

DS10
add: Wm R. Pearson, 1130 S S

DOCKET NUMBER **PR-2; R, 20 etal. (93)**
PROPOSED RULE
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

Sept 2, 1986
Lafayette, TN 37083

'86 SEP -4 P4:34

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
% Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sir:

I am writing this letter to express my concern about the safety of the MRS construction near Oak Ridge. I understand that you have no control over stopping the construction of the facility but you do control the regulations concerning the safety and construction. My concern is that you be certain that this unwanted site in Tennessee be as safe as possible for the citizens of our beautiful state. It would seem that an ongoing inspection both before it is built and after it is completed would be in our best interest.

Please consider this request for the well being of my children and generations to follow.

D310
add: Wm R. Pearson, 113055

1/0

Sincerely yours,
Francis C. Darrell
Rt 3 Box 221B
Lafayette, TN 37083

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by card.....

BART GORDON
6TH DISTRICT, TENNESSEE
BANKING, FINANCE AND
URBAN AFFAIRS
SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
SELECT COMMITTEE
ON AGING

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2, 1920 et al.
(51FL19106) (F1)
DOCKETED
USNRC

1517 LONGWORTH BUILDING
WASHINGTON, DC 20515
(202) 225-4231
106 SOUTH MAPLE STREET
P.O. BOX 1988
MURFREESBORO, TN 37133
(615) 896-1988

Congress of the United States SEP -4 AIO:43
House of Representatives

August 13, 1986

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Friend,

I am writing to let you know about a chance to affect the future safety of a possible nuclear waste storage site in Tennessee. As you probably know, the Department of Energy is pushing a plan to construct a temporary nuclear waste disposal site near Oak Ridge. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), which has the job of looking over the Department of Energy's shoulder as it deals with nuclear waste, is currently considering regulations covering the licensing of that facility. The NRC cannot stop the facility if the Congress votes to build it, but it can set the standards for all of the design and construction work.

Under the current regulations, the NRC will give the plant a license to operate only once, before it is built, but it will not go back and check to make sure that the plant was built to the specifications that it approved. The NRC is proposing a rule change so that the storage site would be licensed both before construction begins and after construction is finished but before any waste can be accepted. In other words, it would have to get two different licenses, one for construction and one to receive nuclear waste. I would like to urge you to send letters to the NRC saying that you agree that the temporary storage facility, called the MRS, should be inspected before any waste can be put there. In the interest of our safety, it is vital that we act together to make sure that if the Department of Energy is successful in forcing this expensive and unnecessary temporary waste dump on Tennessee, at least it will be as safe as possible.

I intend to tell them that if they're going to build a dump in Tennessee, they better license it twice, once before it's built and once before it actually receives waste. Here is how you can help. Please write to:

SECRETARY
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Let them know that if there is going to be a dump, you believe it should be licensed twice.

Edward Leavelle
HENRIEVILLE, TN
3/075

9/01/86

1510 add: Wm C. Davidson, 113055

- ① Don't Build The Dump Site At All.
- ② Don't Build The Dump Site In Tennessee.
- ③ BE SURE IT IS LICENSED TWICE, IF IT HAS TO BE BUILT AT ALL.

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-2,19,20 et al. (82)
(51 FR 19106)



CROSSVILLE MEDICAL GROUP PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION



208 LANTANA ROAD DOCKETED
CROSSVILLE, TENNESSEE 38555 SNRC
(615) 464-5141

DOUGLAS HOSKINS, ADMINISTRATOR
JAMES T. CALLIS, M.D. GENERAL PRACTICE
JAMES M. CRICK, M.D. INTERNAL MEDICINE
PHILIP M. DEATHERAGE, M.D. GENERAL SURGERY
CARL T. DUER, M.D. GENERAL PRACTICE

86 SEP -4 PA:39

PAUL R. ERVIN, JR., M.D. GENERAL SURGERY
DONATHAN M. IVEY, M.D. GENERAL SURGERY
R. DONATHAN IVEY, M.D. GENERAL SURGERY
JOSEPH D. ROBERTSON, M.D. MEDICINE
W. WALLACE, M.D. FAMILY PRACTICE

OFFICE OF SECURITY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

August 26, 1986

SECRETARY
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

ATTN: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sirs:

Our purpose in writing is to respectfully request that, in the event the MRS facility in Oak Ridge, Tennessee is approved by Congress, your office will require an inspection of this facility prior to construction, following construction, as well as prior to the deposit of any waste material in the facility.

Thank-you for your consideration.

With kindest regards,

Sincerely,

CROSSVILLE MEDICAL GROUP
PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION

R. Donathan Ivey
By: R. Donathan Ivey, M.D., F.A.C.S.

RDI/cg

cc: Congressman Bart Gordon
106 South Maple Street
P.O. Box 1986
Murfreesboro, TN 37133

DS10
add Wm R Pearson, 113055

1/0

SEP 5 1986
Acknowledged by card.

RD

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 AIO:41

OFFICE
DOCKETING & SERVICE
9-1-86 BRANCH

To - Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission

Dear Sir: I am totaly apposed
to the proposed 'M.R.S.' in Tenn.
I believe it to be unnecessary and
a complete waste of taxpayers
money. If it is to be forced on
Tenn. then we want it to be as
safe as possible. It is my
understanding that under current
regulations N.R.C. will give the
plant a license to operate before
it is built but will not check to
make sure that it is built to
specs that were approved. I believe
it should be given very close
inspection after it is built and
before any waste is accepted.
lets make doubly sure it is safe
if we have to accept the plant.
Thank you.

LEON G. FUQUA
R#1 BOX 110
HARTSVILLE, TENN. 37074

DS10
add Wm. R. Pearson, 113055
1/0

SEP 5 1986

Acknowledged by card

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 AIO:48

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C.

Attention: Docketing & Service Branch

I Am very much opposed to
A nuclear waste storage site in
Tennessee

I believe if a dump is built
any place it should be licensed
before it is built & also before
any waste can be put there.

Leslie Proffitt
RR-2 Bx 228 B
Carthage, Tenn.
37030

SEP 5 1986

DS10
add. Wm R. Pearson, 1130 S.E.
10

Acknowledged by card

RA

SECRET
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 AIO:50

2 Sept 1986

OFFICE OF CLERK
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Sir,

Please make very sure, if there has to be a nuclear waste dump in Tennessee - that you better license it twice, once before it's built and once before it actually receives waste.

Make it as safe as if you would want to be living nearby and raise your family.

I pray you have respect for our lives, may God guide you!

Sincerely,

Mr & Mrs Archie P. Freeman



Mr & Mrs Archie P. Freeman
Box 121 Route 2
Corryville TN 37047

DS10

add: Wm. R. Pearson, 113055

1/0

SEP 5 1986

Acknowledged by card

fd

Sept 1, 1986

DOCKETED
USNRC

Secretary

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Wash. D.C. 20555

'86 SEP 21 AID 51
OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Sir,

According to my representative in Congress, Bart Gordon, 6th District, Tennessee, if we are forced to accept the temporary nuclear waste disposal ^{facility} in our state, your commission will license the plant to operate prior to its being built, but will not inspect the plant ~~to~~ after completion to make sure it meets the specifications approved by you.

I believe this to be a serious error on your part and strongly urge you to see that the facility, if built, is inspected by you and a second license be issued after the facility is completed and before the first load of nuclear waste is received.

Sincerely

W. G. Skinner

W. G. Skinner
RR 2, Box 300
Silver Point, TN 38582

D510

add Wm R. Pearson, 11305 S.

1/0

80

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 A11:10

BJG/dsg

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

I would not like to have a nuclear storage facility in Tennessee at all but if it is necessary I think that it be inspected every week not just before it is built in or before it start operating. After all things can go wrong after it built or after operations start. I would not like to see what happened in Russia happen here

Margaret H. Myer
1848 Memorial
Murfreesboro
TN 37130

DS10
add. Wm. R. Pearson, 113055
1/0

SEP 5 1986

Acknowledged by card

PA

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 P4:29

OFFICE OF SERVICE
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Carthage, Tenn!
Sept. 2, 1986

U.S. Nuclear Reg. Comm.
Secretary:

Dear Sir,

I am writing to express my views on the nuclear waste disposal site that Tenn. is being considered for.

I don't think it should be located in our beautiful state, but if it is located here, then I believe it would be to the best of interest for everyone concerned if this disposal site would have to be licensed twice.

once before it's built and once before it actually receives wastes.

Thank you,
Alan Thaxton

DS10
add: Wm R. Pearson, 113055

Acknowledged by card SEP 5 1986

JOURNAL NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2,19,20 et al. (89)
(51 FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 P 4:30

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

9-2-86

If there is going to be a
nuclear waste dump site in
or near Oak Ridge, TN, I
believe it should be licensed twice.

Thank you
Darla Rinear

P.O. Box 2525
Hendersonville, TN
37077

D210
add: Wm R. Pearson, 113055

Acknowledged by card... SEP 5 1986

1/0

DOCKET NUMBER PR-2, 19, 20 et al. (90)
PROPOSED RULE
(SLFR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 P4:39

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Aug 30, 1986

Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.
Washington
D.C. 20555

Sir:

I am very much
opposed to the nuclear
dump anywhere in TN.
Please reconsider. Please
do not add to the
fear people are experiencing
every day.

If it must be it
should be licensed twice.

Respectfully

Wm R. Rawson

Mrs. Vivian Johnson
RT. 3, Box 194
Portland, TN 37148

DS10
add: Wm R. Rawson, 113055

Acknowledged by card

SEP 5 1986

1/0

pa

DOCKET NO.
TRANS.

'86 SEP -4 P4:41

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

per report N-
Sept 2-86

Dear Sir

If there is going to be a dump built in Tenn. to receive nuclear waste it should have two licenses one before it is built and one before it accepts waste however I believe as so many people I have talked to ~~can~~ this matter that we do not need a dump in our state why does it have to be a temporary dump site we would be opposed to it when it comes into our state and also when it is moved plus the time it is stored here. Guess this will be another battle lost as it will be railroaded in despite our efforts.

Margaret Howland
411 Knox St
37130 TN, Love Tenn

DS10
add Wm R. Pearson 113055

SEP 5 1986
acknowledged by card

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-2, 19, 20 et al. (92)
(51FR 19106)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'86 SEP -4 AIO:54

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Route 1, Box 64B
Lafayette, In. 37083
September 2, 1986

Secretary
U.S. Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

I am very concerned about the construction of a temporary nuclear waste disposal site near Oak Ridge. We, the people in Tennessee, don't want a nuclear ~~dump~~ here and plan to fight against it with our congressmen.

I understand there might be a possibility that the NRC will give the plant a license to operate only once, before it is built, but it will not go back and check to make sure that the plant was built to the specifications that it approved. I feel that the rule should

DS10
add:

Wm R Pearson 11305 S

10

acknowledged by card... SEP 5 1986

pa

be changed so that the storage site would be licensed both before construction begins and after construction is finished but before any waste can be accepted. If we have to have a nuclear dump here we want it to be as SAFE as possible.

PLEASE see to it that the temporary storage facility, called the MRS, is inspected before any waste can be put there. If there is going to be a DUMP in Tennessee it should definitely be licensed twice.

I know the NRC cannot stop the facility from being built, but if it is built please help make it as safe as you can.

Thank you very much for your work for the people's safety.

Yours truly,
Janett Kay Woods