ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Omaha Public Power District Fort Calhoun Station Docket No.:

50-285 DPR-40

During an NRC inspection conducted on August 3 through September 13, 1997, two violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," NUREG-1600, the violations are listed below:

A. 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix B, Criterion V, and the Fort Calhoun Quality Assurance Plan, Revision 4, Section 2.1, paragraph 4.2.1, state, in part, that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions or procedures and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions or procedures.

Standing Order SO-G-101, "Radiation Worker Practices," Revision 8, Section 5.6.2, states, in part, that personnel are not to reach across contamination area boundaries.

Radiation Protection Procedure RP-401, "Issue, Control, and Accountability of Radiation Protection Instrumentation," Revision 6, Step 7.4.5, states, in part, that radiation protection personnel shall be responsible for removing from service and tagging out of service any out of calibration radiation protection equipment.

Contrary to the above, the inspectors identified the following two instances of failure to follow the requirements of radiation protection procedures:

On August 21, 1997, a maintenance helper located outside a contaminated area, reached across a contamination area boundary and used a gaitronics phone inside the contaminated area.

On August 5, 1997, radiation protection personnel did not remove an uncalibrated electronic dosimeter from service.

This is a Severity Level IV Violation (Supplement I) (285/9716-03).

B. Appendix B of 10 CFR Part 50, Criterion V, states, in part, that activities affecting quality shall be prescribed by documented instructions, procedures, or drawings, of a type appropriate to the circumstance and shall be accomplished in accordance with these instructions, procedures, or drawings.

Contrary to the above, on July 11, 1997, the inspectors identified that Maintenance Procedure GM-RM-FP-A01, "Fire Door Lockset Inspection and Repetitive Maintenance," Revision 5, Step 7, did not contain appropriate instructions for ensuring that the locked fire doors would open using a key following maintenance

9709300373 970929 PDR ADOCK 05000285 G PDR on the doors. As a result of improperly performed maintenance and failure to perform postmaintenance testing, two locked fire doors could not be opened using keys.

This is a Coverity Level IV violation (285/9716-04) (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Omaha Public Power District is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achie ad, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Your response may reference or include previous docketed correspondence, if the correspondence adequately addresses the required response. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued as to why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Because your response will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room (PDR), to the extent possible, it should not include any personal privacy, proprietary, or safeguards information so that it can be placed in the PDR without redaction. However, if you find it necessary to include such information, you should clearly indicate the specific information that you desire not to be placed in the PDR and provide the legal basis to support your request for withholding the information from the public.

Dated at Arlington, Texas this 29th day of September 1997