Dave Morey Vice President Farley Project Southern Nuclear Operating Company P.O. Box 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Tel 205.992.5131

August 17, 1999



Docket Nos. 50-348 50-364

NEL-99-0295

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Fitness For Duty Performance Data

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Southern Nuclear Operating Company (Southern Nuclear) hereby submits Fitness For Duty Performance Data for the six-month reporting period, January 1999 through June 1999, as required by 10 CFR 26.71(d). The data reflected in this report covers employees at the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant and the Southern Nuclear Corporate Headquarters. The data is summarized in the attached enclosures.

Should you have any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

D. N. Morey

DNM/JMG

Enclosure 1: FFD Performance Data Sheets

Enclosure 2: Farley and Corporate FFD Program Summary

cc: (See next page)

A021/,

9908250126 990817 PDR ADDCK 05000348 R PDR cc: Southern Nuclear Operating Company
Mr. L. M. Stinson, General Manager - Plant Farley

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. L. A. Reyes, Regional Administrator

Mr. L. M. Padovan, Licensing Project Manager - Farley

Mr. T. P. Johnson, Senior Resident Inspector - Farley

Fitness for Duty Program Performance Data Personnel Subject to 10CFR 26

bodener	n Nuclear Oper	Company		6/30/9 6 No	nthe Ending
Joseph 1	M. Farley Nucl	ear Plant & Corporat	e HQ		
		Location			
Elizabe	th McDougal			205/992-5707	
	Contact I	Name		Phone (include area or	ide)
	n/Confirmation (ng/	ml) XXX Appendix A to	10CFR 26		
Cutoffs: Screen			,		1
	50 / 15	Amphetamines	1	***************************************	
Cutoffs: Screer Marijuana Cocaine	50 / 15	Amphetamines Phencyclidine	,		1

Tes	ting Results	Licensee I	Employees		-Term Personnel		-Term Personnel
	rage Number with	1254		202	2	172	2
Cat	egories	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive	# Tested	# Positive
Pre	Access	79	0	37	0	336	3
For C	Post accident	9	0	0	0	0	0
Cause	Observed behavior	6	0	0	0	1	0
Rar	ndom	320	0	51	0	37	1
Fol	low-up	28	1	2	0	1	0
Oth	Return to Work, er retest, Safety&Health	27	0	0	0	2	1
Tot	al	469	1	90	0	377	5

Breakdown of Confirmed Positive Tests for Specific Substances

		-	-		-	*	-		-	*	-	-	
	Manijuana Cocaine Opiates	Cocaine	Opiates	Amphe- tamines	Phency- clidine	Alcohol	Refusal to Test	-	2	6	-	20	
Licensee Employees	0	0	0	0	0	1	0						
Long-Term Contractors	0	0	0	0	0	0	0						
Short-Term Contractors	3	-	0	1	0	0	-						V
Total	3	1	0	1	0		-						7

Enclosure 2

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant and Southern Nuclear Operating Company Corporate Headquarters Fitness For Duty Program Summary

The data generated under the Fitness For Duty (FFD) program from January 1999 through June 1999 has been reviewed and analyzed. The data reflected in this report covers workers, including contract personnel, at the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant and at the Southern Nuclear Operating Company Corporate Headquarters in Birmingham, Alabama.

The random pool contains not only those badged for unescorted access, but also those employees who may, in an emergency condition, be called upon to work at the site and may require unescorted access. Contractors without approved programs are included in the testing pool while on site. Testing during this time period was performed on a nominal weekly basis to include swing shifts, weekends, and holidays. During this testing period, the rate of testing was equal to 50% yearly of the total population.

In summarizing management actions associated with the FFD program, it should be emphasized that the incidents of confirming positive tests were very low. Consequently, management actions relative to determination of FFD have been limited. Contractor employees screened as positive are denied access and no further action is taken. Five short-term contractors at Plant Farley tested positive and were denied access.

Management actions taken on licensee employees during this six-month period included one Corporate Headquarters employee who tested positive for alcohol on a follow up test and was terminated from employment.

Weekly quality control checks of the Fitness for Duty random pool revealed no major discrepancies. One employee who had been on loan to INPO was rebadged upon his return but was not placed back into the random FFD pool as he had been "hardcoded" out of the random process system due to his lengthy assignment. This individual was unaware that his name would not be available for random selection. He continued to be subject to the Continual Behavioral Observation Program for the 4 weeks that he was out of the pool. A return to work fitness for duty test was performed on him on the next regularly scheduled work day after day 30 subsequent to his return to work. The process of "hardcoding" individuals out of the pool during lengthy assignments was reviewed and will be modified to prevent recurrence.

Since 1996, employees who report a substance abuse related arrest submit to for-cause fitness for duty testing and are referred for Employee Assistance evaluation by a mental health professional to determine if there is a substance abuse or other problem. For this reporting period, there were no employees referred for evaluation.