

JAN 23 1975

DISTRIBUTION: Docket, Reading File, CS Reading File, EISE Reading File

Docket Nos. **87X 50-508/509**

R. DeYoung, Assistant Director for Light Water Reactors, Group 1, L

ROUND TWO QUESTIONS AND POSITIONS FOR WASHINGTON NUCLEAR PROJECT, UNITS 3 AND 5 PSAR REVIEW

Plant Name: Washington Nuclear Project, Units 3 and 5
Licensing Stage: CP
Docket Numbers: 87X 50-508/509
Responsible Branch: LWR 1-3
Project Leader: P. O'Reilly
Description of Response: Round Two Questions and Positions
Requested Completion Date: January 24, 1975
Review Status: Under Review

We have reviewed the amended PSAR for the Washington Nuclear Project Units 3 and 5 and have determined that additional information is necessary. The applicant has not provided for instrumentation for annunciation of the buildup of potentially explosive mixtures in the proposed radioactive gaseous waste system, has not described adequately the methods for verifying the absence of free liquids following the solidification of liquid wastes, and has not provided a system capable of monitoring process and effluent streams during postulated accidents.

Enclosed are our positions and the additional information we will need to complete our review. We used this information by March 28, 1975, to meet our schedule.

Original Signed by
Robert L. Tedesco

**Robert L. Tedesco, Assistant Director
for Containment Safety
Division of Technical Review
*Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation***

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: w/o enclosure	w/enclosure
A. Giambusso	J. Kastner
W. McDonald	J. Norris
	V. Baneroya
w/enclosure	O. Farr
S. HANAUER	P. O'Reilly
F. Schroeder	W. Regen
J. Glynn	R. Burke
	P. Stoddart

memo
4

OFFICE →									
SURNAME →									

8605290740 750123
PDR ADOCK 05000508
A PDR

CTSB/L CTSB/L CTSB/L 60/6/1R
Stoddart, Burke, Baneroya, Regen, Farr, Norris, Kastner

011.0

EFFLUENT TREATMENT SYSTEMS BRANCH011.9
(11.3.3.1.3)

Your response to Q 011.5 indicates that continuous monitoring of hydrogen in the radioactive gaseous waste system has not been provided. Since the system is not designed to take the consequences of a hydrogen explosion, continuous monitoring should be provided for annunciation of the buildup of potentially explosive mixtures. Provide a system for continuous monitoring of hydrogen in the radioactive gaseous waste system.

011.10
(11.4)

Your response to Q 011.6 does not address the monitoring of radiation levels in process and effluent streams during postulated accidents. To meet Design Criterion 64 of Appendix A to 10 CFR Part 50, process and effluent streams that can affect the health and safety of the public must be monitored during postulated accidents. Provide a system to monitor process and effluent streams during postulated accidents or justify your position.

011.11
(11.5)

Your response to Q 011.7, part (1), does not satisfactorily describe a method for assuring that all liquids have been combined into the solid matrix after the process is completed. You should consider methods such as ultrasonic or electrical conductivity for making this determination. Your design should include provisions to re-package wastes in which liquids are detected.

JAN 23 1975

R. C. DeYoung, Assistant Director
for Light Water Reactors, Group 1
Division of Technical Review

**Q2 QUESTIONS OF PSAR FOR WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY
SYSTEM, DOCKET NOS. 50-508 and 509**

Plant Name: Washington Nuclear Project No. 3 (WNP-3) and
No. 5 (WNP-5)

Docket No.s: 50-508 and 50-509

Licensing Stage: PSAR

Responsible Branch & Project Manager: LWR 1-1, P. O'Reilly

Responsible TR Branch and Technical Reviewer: MEB, F. Cherny, P. Chen

Requested Completion Date: 1/24/75

Description of Response: Q-2 Review of PSAR

Review Status: Awaiting Information

Adequate responses to the enclosed list of positions prepared by the
Mechanical Engineering Branch, Division of Technical Review are required
before we can complete our review of the subject application. In compiling
the positions and questions contained in the attachment hereto, responses
were evaluated through Amendment 8.

The responses to MEB Q-1 questions 110.4, 110.6, 110.7, 110.9, 110.10
and 110.11 have not been completely evaluated because these questions
were related to the referenced topical report ETR-1002 which has not been
officially submitted to the NRC (AEC) for review. Based on the results
of the topical report review, additional questions may be asked.

R. R. Maccary, Assistant Director
for Engineering
Division of Technical Review

- cc w/encl:
- F. Schroeder, TR
- J. P. Knight, TR
- F. D. O'Reilly, L
- O. Parr, L
- B. J. Bosnak, TR
- H. J. Brammar, TR
- F. C. Cherny, TR

- F. Y. Chen, TR
- cc w/e encl:
- A. Giambusso, TR
- W. G. McDonald, TR

memo
4

860579468

TR: Docket File
TR: Reading File
TR: MEB File

OFFICE	TR:MEB	TR:MEB	TR:MEB	TR:MEB	TR:AD/E
SURNAME	PYChen:jk	FCherny	HLBrammar	JPKnight	RRMaccary
DATE	1/ 1/75	1/ 1/75	1/ 1/75	1/ 1/75	1/ 1/75