| NRC Forr 9-83) | n 366 | | | | | | LICI | ENSE | E EVE | INT RE | PORT | (LER) | U.S. NU A E | CLEA PORO XPIRE | R REGULAT | ORY COMMIS | BION |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|------|
| ACILITY | - | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | DOCKET NUMBER | (2) | | PAGE | (3) |
| For | rt Ca | hour | 1 Sta | atio | on, Uni | t #1 | | | | | | | 0 5 0 0 | 10 | 121815 | 1 OF | 012 |
| TITLE (4 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Sur | rveil | lance | Tes | st F | Perform | ance | Err | or | | | | | | | | | |
| EVENT DATE (6) LER NUMBER (6) | | | | | | BION | REPORT DATE (7) OTHER | | | | | A FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) | | | | | |
| MONTH | DAY | YEAR | YEAR NUMBER | | | NUA | ABER | MONTH | | TEAN | | N | | 0 151010101 1 1 | | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 1 | 0 8 | 8 7 | 817 | - | 001 | - 8 | 9 | 0 2 | 07 | 8 7 | | | | 0 | 151010 | 1011 | 1 |
| OPI | RATING | | - | EPOR | T IS BURMITTE | ED PURSU | ANT T | O THE R | EQUIREN | AENTS OF 1 | CFR §: /0 | Check one or more | of the following) (1 | 1) | | | |
| | 006 (0) | | 1 | 0.4020 | b) | | | 20.406 | (e) | | | 60.73(a)(2)(iv) | | | 73.71(b) | | |
| POWE | | | 29.406(a)(1)(l) | | | - | | 50.36(e)(1) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(v) | | 73.71(e) | | | ert |
| (10) | | | | 0.406(a)(1)(0) | | | | 50.36(c)(2) | | | | 60.73(a)(2)(viii) | A | \vdash | in Text, NRC I | Form | |
| | | | H | 20.406(a)(1)(iv) | | X | | 60.73(a)(2)(ii) 60.73(a)(2)(iii) | | | | 60.73(a)(2)(vili)(| | | | | |
| | | | 20 | | 20.406(a)(1)(v) | | | | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(x) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | L | ICENSEE | CONTAC | T FOR THIS | LER (12) | | | | | | |
| NAME | | | | | | | | | | | | | AREA CODE | T | CONCE NON | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | COMPLETE | ONE LIN | FOR | EACH C | OMPONE | T FAILUR | DESCRIBE | D IN THIS REPOR | AT (13) | | | | - |
| CAUSE | SYSTEM | COMP | ONENT | T | MANUFAC- | REPORT | ABLE | | | CAUSE | SYSTEM | COMPONENT | MANUFAC | - | EPORTABLE | | |
| | | | | + | TOMEN | | | | | | - | | | + | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 11 | + | 111 | - | | | | | | 111 | 111 | + | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 1. | | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | - | SUPPLEM | ENTAL RE | PORT | EXPECT | ED (14) | | | | EXPECT | - | MONT | H DAY | YEAR |
| | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | SUBMISS DATE (| ION | | | |
| YE | IS (If yes, c | ompiete l | EXPECTE | to sue | MISSION DAT | E) | | | NO | | | | 1 | | | | 1 |
| | Sun tes 20a ina pro equ saf tho dis Spe DC | rveil sting adver bhibi uipme fegua bugh sable ccifi cclud powe | n Jand n Jantent it ac int e int e it int e int e i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | ce t quir anua tly tua tua tua tua tua tua tua tua tua tua | tests S rements ary 8, signed al test sted on s affect w water addition 2.0.1(2 ergency guencers | T-ESF of T 1987, off perf the pump hal r 2), G star | -2, ech an ormm 416 y S wa aw ene t o | ST- nica e op "ini ance 0 v T-ES s in wate ral f on | ESF-4 l Spe erato tial if a olt s F-2. opera r pun Requi e die | and and confic or in condi any in safegu The able d mps (in irement esel g | ST-ESI ation" charge tion" operal ards t test v ue to nopera ts) du enerat | F-13 are Table 3- e of perf step whi ble engin bus oppos was subse breaker able as d ue to tes tor and a | used to s 2, Items orming Si ch had be eered sat ite the 4 quently p maintenar efined in t switch ctuation | sat 3a I-E een feg 16 per al of | isfy t , 5a a SF-2 desig uards 0 volt formed . ST- echnic ignmen one s | he nd ned to even ESF-2 al t thus et of | |
| | The wit Tec shu To | sur hin hnic tdow | veil two al S n. ent | lan hou pec | ice test irs, thu ifications sible r | t was is not ion 2 | co t v .0. | nclu iola 1, G | ded a ting enera | the Lu The Lu Requ | itch a CO tin uireme | alignment me limit ents, for for inope | returned placed on mandator rable saf | f th y th egu | o norm he unit unit uards | al t by | |
| | equ the con | ent duct | nt r ire ed w | ope her | ew has rations e appro | been s stat opriat | tri ff v te. | ansfe will | be m | to the av | ne Shi vare o | ft Super of this e | visor. I vent and | n a ret | additio trainin | on, ng | |
| NRC Fo | an 164 | | _ | 000 | 702130 DR AI | 0221 00CK | 87 | 0200 0000 P1 | 3 285 DR | | | | 11 | - | 11 | | |

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OM8 NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/85

| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | | LE | R NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | |
|---|--|------|----|--------------|----------|------|----|------|
| | | YEAR | | SEQUENTIAL | REVISION | | Π | |
| Fort Calhoun Station, Unit 1 | 0 15 10 0 0 2 8 5 | 817 | - | 0 1011 | -010 | 0 12 | OF | 0 12 |
| TEXT // more space is required use additional NRC Form 3984's/ (17) | and the second s | | - | | | | | |

Technical Specification Table 3-2 requires monthly testing of the safety injection actuation initiation circuits (Item 3a) along with monthly testing of the containment spray initiation circuits (Item 5a) and recirculation actuation circuitry (Item 20a). At Fort Calhoun Station, these requirements are met by performing surveillance tests ST-ESF-2 Section F.2, ST-ESF-4 Section F.2 and ST-ESF-13 Section F.2, respectively. These surveillance tests are performed together using an installed test system.

ST-ESF-2 involves disabling a set of DC powered sequencers (S2-1) and the diesel generator (D2) associated with its 4160 volt safeguards bus (1A4). This arrangement allows for testing of engineered safeguards initiation and actuation circuits, while preventing the actual loading of safeguards equipment onto the bus.

ST-ESF-2, ST-ESF-4 and ST-ESF-13 were scheduled to be performed on January 8, 1987, with the unit in Mode 1, approximately 100% power. Prior to actually performing ST-ESF-2, a set of initial conditions must be met and signed off. One initial condition was designed to prevent test performance if any inoperable engineered safeguards components existed on the opposite 4160 volt safeguards bus (bus 1A3). The initial condition was inadvertently signed off due to the belief that one raw water pump was exempted from the "no inoperable safeguards" clause by Technical Specification 2.4(1). However, a raw water pump (AC-10A) associated with safeguards bus 1A3 was inoperable due to breaker maintenance. ST-ESF-2 was subsequently performed which disabled the two raw water pumps (AC-10B and AC-10D) associated with 4160 volt bus 1A4 and D2. Technical Specification 2.0.1(2) General Requirements, was applied in this case to determine pump inoperability based upon the fact that all redundant safeguards components were not operable when the emergency power source (D2) for that bus was disabled. Technical Specification 2.4(2) prohibits unit operation in Mode 1 with 3 (of 4) raw water pumps inoperable.

It should be noted that:

- The two raw water pumps (AC-10B and AC-10D) were capable of being sequenced onto safeguards bus 1A4 in the event of a loss of coolant accident or uncontrolled heat extraction via the AC sequencers.
- 2. No challenges to the engineered safeguards system occurred during the surveillance test duration.
- 3. The normal power source (161 KV offsite power) for AC-10B and AC-10D remained operable throughout the surveillance test duration.
- The total test duration was approximately one hour and 50 minutes, thus the LCO time limit applied to Technical Specification 2.0.1, General Requirements, was not violated.

To prevent possible recurrence, Fort Calhoun Station has changed the initial condition sign off of ST-ESF-2 to ensure that the Shift Supervisor has responsibility for review. In addition, the entire operations staff will be made aware of this event and retraining conducted where appropriate.

NRC Form 366A (9-83) Omaha Public Power District 1623 Harney Omaha. Nebraska 68102-2247 402/536-4000

February 8, 1987 LIC-87-078

34

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Reference: Docket No. 50-285

SUBJECT: Licensee Event Report for the Fort Calhoun Station

Gentlemen:

Please find attached Licensee Event Report 87-001 dated February 7, 1987. This report is being submitted per requirements of 10 CFR 50.73.

Sincerely,

Indrus

R. L. Andrews Division Manager Nuclear Production

RLA/me

Attachment

cc:

J. E. Gagliardo, Chief, Reactor Projects Branch, NRC W. A. Paulson, NRC Project Manager P. H. Harrell, NRC Senior Resident Inspector INPO Records Center American Nuclear Insurers SARC Chairman PRC Chairman, % R. G. Ellis Fort Calhoun File (2) Licensee Contact Fort Calhoun Station Training, % F. Swihel