NRC Form	. 380				LIC	ENSEE EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)		CLEAR REGULA PROVED ONG N KPIRES 8/31/86		
FACILITY	-		177847	-		NER PLANT				DOCKET NUMBER		1 OF	
TITLE (4		5 A. F	IIZPAI	RICK NUC	LEAR PUT	NER FLANI				0 5 0 0	0 3 3 3		015
FA	ILUR	E TO	PERF	ORM SUI	RVEILLA	NCE WITH	IN T	HE RE	ALC:	the rest in which the rest is not the rest of the rest	and the second division of the second divisio		
	MT DATE			SEQUENTIAL		REPORT DAT			OTHER FACILITY NA	FACILITIES INVOL	DOCKET NUMBE	R(S)	
MONTH	QAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	MONTH DAY	YEAR				0 151010		1
				1.1.1			. I						-
0 3	0 3	8 6	8 6	0 0 2	00	0325	8 6				0 1510 10	1011	1
	RATING	N	20.44		ED PURSUANT	20.005(c)	INTE OF 10	CFR 9: 10	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	or the following/ (11	73.71(b)		
POWE			20.44	16(a){1)(i)		90.36(e)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e)		
LEVEL 1 0 0 20.406(a)(1)(8) 50.38(a)(2) 50					50.73(a)(2)(vii)		berow and	in Text, NRC					
		ŀ		16(a)(1)(iii) 16(a)(1)(iv)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)		-	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(366A)		
		ŀ		B(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)				
					L	ICENSEE CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)		-			
NAME	BEDT	DAPT			ANCE ST	DEDINTEN	DENT			AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NUT	ABEM	
RU	DERI	DANI	cn, r	IAINIEN	ANGE SU	PERINTEN	DENI			3 1 1 5	3 4 2 -	13 18 1	4 : 0
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH COMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	AT (13)			
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPO	NENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		
	1	11	1	1 I I						1111			
		11	1	111					1.1.1				
				SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	EXPECTE	MONT	H DAY	YEAR
-	. ///	main EX	PECTED	UBMISSION DAT		V NO				SUBMISSI DATE (1)	ON		1
ABSTRAC	Du 4. Sp fr da sa ac	ring 12.A ecif eque ys. tisf mini nduc	nor 1.1.d ic G ncy. The acto stra ted,	mal ful survei ravity A rev survei ry resu tive co defici	l powe llance tests view of llance ilts. ontrols encies	r operat test fo was not records was imm The caus . A rev have be ed by 4/	r the perfo show ediat e of iew o en io	Die Die Wed t tely this of th lenti	sel Fir within he test perform event e progr	e Pump B the req was ove ed with was weak am has b	attery uired t rdue by een	est 19	
NRC For	. 344			860 4 0 PDR S	30071 8 ADOCK (360325 56003333 PDR				I	Egg	1	

19-83) LICENSEE EV	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31:85					
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	T	1
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT	0 15 0 0 0 3 3 3	8 6	01012	- 0 10	0 2	0 3

TEXT //f more space is required, use additional NRC Form 3854's/ (17)

At about 9:30 AM on 3/3/86 during normal full power operation, the NRC resident inspector notified the Licensee that a surveillance test was not signed off completed. A review by the Licensee confirmed that Technical Specification 4.12.A.1.d surveillance test of the Diesel Fire Pump Battery Specific Gravity, a quarterly test was not performed within the required test frequency. This test was last performed on 10/22/85 and had a scheduled due date of 01/20/86, with an overdue date of 2/12/86. At the time of discovery the test was nineteen days overdue and action was taken immediately to be in compliance. Compliance was achieved by 13:15 on 3/3/86.

Prior to April 1985, the Operations Department scheduled and tracked surveillance tests (STs) performed by the Maintenance Department. After April 1985, the Maintenance Department took responsibility for their STs and officially issued their own schedule and tracking system. As a result of this incident, a review of the maintenance department ST program was conducted. Administrative controls of this new system were found to be weak for the following reasons:

- There was no specific departmental administrative control procedure in place for managing the surveillance test program administered by the maintenance department.
- Clear responsibility for managing the program within the maintenance department organization was not assigned.
- 3) Some maintenance department personnel were not fully aware of the significance that the surveillance tests have in meeting Technical Specification requirements and the consequences of not performing them in a timely manner.
- The computer based maintenance department surveillance test schedule format may need revision to provide better presentation of data.

The following corrective actions are being taken to correct the weakness found in the maintenance department assigned surveillance test program.

- 1. A maintenance department standing order will be developed and in place by 4/30/86.
- Responsibility for the maintenance department surveillance program has been assigned.

(9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION							
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		6.	ER NUMBER (6)		PAGE 13		
JAMES A. FITZPATRICK		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL			T	
NUCLEAR POWER PLANT	0 5 0 0 0 3 3 3	8 6	_	0 0 1 2	- 010	013 OF	cr	

- Maintenance department staff has been counseled concerning the importance of performing surveillance required by Technical Specifications within the required time interval.
- Computer program scheduling of surveillance assigned to the maintenance department will be reviewed and any program improvements will be in place by 4/30/86.

James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant P.O. Box 41 Lycoming, New York 13093 315 342 3840

> Radford J. Converse Resident Manager



March 25, 1986 JAFP 86-0257

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

REFERENCE: DOCKET NO. 50-333 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT: 86-002-00

Dear Sir:

Enclosed please find referenced Licensee Event Report in accordance with 10CFR50.73.

If there are any questions concerning this report, please contact Mr. Robert Baker at 315-342-3840, extension 220.

Very truly yours,

annen

RADFORD CONVERSE

RC:RB:nan

CC: USNRC, Region I (1) INPO Records Center, Atlanta, Ga. (1) American Nuclear Insurers (1) Internal Power Authority Distribution NRC Resident Inspector Document Control Center LER/OR File