

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1) Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 1 9 1 7 1	PAGE (3) 1 OF 0 1 3
--	--	------------------------

TITLE (4)
Potential flooding of Control Room and other plant areas not analyzed

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)			REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)																																																															
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES		DOCKET NUMBER(S)																																																													
0 8	1 9	8 6	8 6	0 2 8	0	0 9	1 8	8 6			0 5 0 0 0																																																													
<table border="1"> <tr> <td colspan="2">OPERATING MODE (9)</td> <td colspan="10">THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)</td> </tr> <tr> <td rowspan="5">POWER LEVEL (10) 0 9 2</td> <td></td> <td>20.402(b)</td> <td></td> <td>20.406(e)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(iv)</td> <td></td> <td>73.71(b)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>20.406(a)(1)(i)</td> <td></td> <td>50.36(a)(1)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(v)</td> <td></td> <td>73.71(e)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>20.406(a)(1)(ii)</td> <td></td> <td>50.36(e)(2)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(vi)</td> <td>X</td> <td>OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>20.406(a)(1)(iii)</td> <td>X</td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(i)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(viii)(a)</td> <td></td> <td>Part 21</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>20.406(a)(1)(iv)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(ii)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(viii)(b)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>20.406(a)(1)(v)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(iii)</td> <td></td> <td>50.73(a)(2)(ix)</td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </table>												OPERATING MODE (9)		THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)										POWER LEVEL (10) 0 9 2		20.402(b)		20.406(e)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)		20.406(a)(1)(i)		50.36(a)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e)		20.406(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(e)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vi)	X	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)		20.406(a)(1)(iii)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(a)		Part 21		20.406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(b)				20.406(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(ix)		
OPERATING MODE (9)		THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR §: (Check one or more of the following) (11)																																																																						
POWER LEVEL (10) 0 9 2		20.402(b)		20.406(e)		50.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)																																																																
		20.406(a)(1)(i)		50.36(a)(1)		50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e)																																																																
		20.406(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(e)(2)		50.73(a)(2)(vi)	X	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)																																																																
		20.406(a)(1)(iii)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(a)		Part 21																																																																
		20.406(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)(b)																																																																		
	20.406(a)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(ix)																																																																			

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)

NAME S. L. Washington, Compliance Engineer	TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE: 5 0 9 3 7 7 1 - 2 5 0 1
---	---

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) 10/3/86	<input type="checkbox"/> NO	EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	MONTH: 1 1	DAY: 0 0	YEAR: 1 3 8 6
---	-----------------------------	-------------------------------	------------	----------	---------------

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

An evaluation of the A/E design criteria conducted by Generation Engineering determined that 1) a flooding analysis was not conducted during the design of a wet-sprinkler system added to the WNP-2 Control Room and 2) that the A/E flooding analyses requirements included only the Reactor Building and not other areas of the plant that contain safety-related equipment. Immediate corrective actions were taken to isolate the Control Room and activate an hourly fire watch patrol. The Control Room and other plant areas containing safety-related equipment and flooding sources are being evaluated for the effects of flooding.

This is also a special report filed per the requirements of 10CFR Part 21.

8609250053 860918
PDR ADOCK 05000397
S PDR

IE22
1/1

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1) Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	DOCKET NUMBER (2) 0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7 8 6 - 0 2 8 - 0 0	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)		
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER			
							0 2 OF 0 3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Plant Conditions

- a) Power Level - 92%
- b) Plant Mode - 1 - Plant Operation

Event

An NRC Fire Protection audit (WPBR-F-83-312) required the installation of seven automatic sprinklers in the Shift Manager's office, lunch room, bathroom, and janitor closet in the Control Room. The sprinkler design was not evaluated for flooding as part of the WNP-2 safe shutdown analysis. Each nozzle can provide sixty to seventy gpm and a flooding time of thirty minutes must be used in accordance with ANSI/ANS 58.2 - 1980. Only safety-related equipment areas located within the Reactor Building were evaluated for the effects of flooding. The Control Room is located in the Radwaste Building.

The lack of a flooding analysis for the Control Room was recognized by Generation Engineering on August 18, 1986 as a result of an NRC Fire Protection/Appendix R allegation inspection. This condition is considered reportable under 10CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(A).

The cause of this event is an error in the A/E design control process which failed to perform the required analysis at the time the sprinklers were designed.

Immediate Corrective Action

The sprinkler line in the Control Room was isolated and the Control Room areas put on an hourly fire watch patrol with extinguishers placed into each area as required by the Plant Technical Specifications.

Further Evaluation and Corrective Action

- o The piping and hanger design for the fire protection sprinkler system within the Control Room has been evaluated to ensure they are seismically designed and installed and therefore not subject to failure as a direct result of a seismic event. The automatic sprinkler heads have also been evaluated to insure that they are seismically qualified. Flooding analysis based on ANSI/ANS 58.2 - 1980 has been performed for all water sources in the Control Room. The analysis has shown that flooding can occur due to a pipe crack. Nuclear Engineering Standard Seven (NES-7) is being changed to permit shutdown from the remote shutdown room in the event of flooding and spraying in the Control Room.
- o Areas outside the Reactor Building which contain safety-related equipment are being evaluated for the potential and consequences of flooding. A supplemental report containing the evaluation plan and schedule will be submitted by October 3, 1986.
- o A letter will be transmitted to Burns and Roe Inc. to inform them of our 10CFR Part 21 determination and request their evaluation.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Washington Nuclear Plant - Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 3 9 7 8 6	-	0 2 B	-	0 0	0 3 OF 0 3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Safety Significance

- o A flood in the Control Room due to a pipe crack could potentially result in the loss of Control Room equipment needed to shutdown the plant. Appropriate actions are being implemented to shutdown the plant in the event of Control Room flooding or wetting.
- o A preliminary engineering evaluation of areas outside of the Reactor Building containing equipment essential to safe shutdown identified no potential flooding conditions that could prevent safe shutdown of the plant.

Similar Reports

LER 84-07, LER 85-001, LER 85-023 and LER 86-027



WASHINGTON PUBLIC POWER SUPPLY SYSTEM

P.O. Box 968 • 3000 George Washington Way • Richland, Washington 99352

Docket No. 50-397

September 18, 1986

Document Control Desk
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject: NUCLEAR PLANT NO. 2
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT NO. 86-028

Dear Sir:

Transmitted herewith is Licensee Event Report No. 86-028 for WNP-2 Plant. This report is submitted in response to the report requirements of 10CFR Part 21 and 10CFR 50.73 and discusses the item of reportability, corrective action taken, and action taken to preclude recurrence.

The 10CFR Part 21 verbal notification was made at 1350 hours on September 17, 1986.

Very truly yours,

C. M. Powers (M/D 927M)
WNP-2 Plant Manager

CMP:db

Enclosure:

Licensee Event Report No. 86-028

cc: Mr. John B. Martin, NRC - Region V
Mr. R. T. Dodds, NRC - Site (901A)
Ms. Dottie Sherman, ANI
INPO Records Center - Atlanta, GA
Mr. C. E. Revell, BPA (M/D 399)

IE22
1/1