NRC For (9-83)	m 366				LIC	CENSEE EVE	NT RE	PORT	(LER)	U.S. N	UCLEAR REGULA APPROVED OM EXPIRES: 8/31/0	
FACILIT	Y NAME								1	DOCKET NUMBER	1 (2)	PAGE (3)
TITLE (4	Nin	e Mile	Poi	nt Unit 2	2					0 5 0 0	1014111	0 1 OF 0
		perabl	e Fi	re Barrie	ors							
EV	ENT DAT	statements and an even with the second		LER NUMBER	6)	REPORT DAT	re (7)		OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	ULVED (8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION NUMBER		YEAR		FACILITY NA		DOCKET NUMB	
						01 30			N/A	1	0 15 0 1	0 0 1 1 1
1 1	1 7	86	8 6	008	01	0227	8 7		N/A		0 15 10 1	
	RATING	5		ORT IS SUBMITTE	D PURSUANT	20.405(c)	ENTS OF 1	0 CFR §: (T	of the following) (1	1 1	
POWE		1.	-	05(a)(1)(i)		50.36(c)(1)		-	50.73(a)(2)(iv) 50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(b) 73.71(c)	
(10)	0	00	-	05(a)(1)(ii)		50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			pecify in Abstrect
		F	-	05(a)(1)(iii) 05(a)(1)(iv)	X	50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(ii)		-	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(50.73(a)(2)(viii)(366A)	
			-	05(s)(1)(v)		50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)(8)		
NAME						ICENSEE CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)			4	
MAME										AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NU	MBER
	Rob	ert G.	Ran	dall, Sup	pervisor	Technical	Supp	ort		3 1 5	314191	-1214141
				COMPLETE	1	EACH COMPONENT	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	AT (13)		
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONE	ENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS		CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS	
X	FIA	PLEIN		5 14 1 2 1 0	N					111		
				111					111	1.1.1		
				SUPPLEME	NTAL REPORT	EXPECTED (14)				EXPECT	ED MONT	H DAY YEA
YES	(If yes, ci	omplete EXPE	CTED S	UBMISSION DATE	,	X NO				DATE (1		
	"REI test seco var the: Upor cont har	FUEL", ting (sondary ious sa se brea n concl tainmer riers o	oper singl cont afety aches ludir it bo	rations a le rod wit tainment y related s being king hg that no bundaries	t Nine M thdrawa integrif fire ba nown. one of t , contro e a viol	reactor a Mile Point Is). This ty due to arriers wi the breach of rod tes lation of	Unit decis the d thout ed per ting n	2 (NM sion w iscove the e	IP2) suspe vas based ery of pot exact numb tions cros	ended cont on the un tential bu per or loo ssed secon ver, the l	trol rod ncertaint reaches i cations o ndary preached	n f fire
				tions Take								
1	(1)	Fir	e wa	tch patro	ols have	e been esta	ablisk	ed in	the affe	ected fire	zones.	
	(2)					vere sealed						
	(3)					aht into co nat fire zo					9A.3.5.1.	2 the
IRC Form	(4)		ms a	re proper 17021002	rly ider	ed to all p ntified on 130 00410 PDR					e all ope J	

NRC Form 386A (9-83)	LICENSEE EVENT R	NEEL EVENT DEDORT (LED) TEXT CONTINUEATION							MB NO 3	ULATORY COMMISSION MB NO. 3150-0104 /88						
FACILITY NAME (1)		000	DOCKET NUMBER (2)				LE	ER NUMBER (6)				PAGE (3)				
									YEAR		SEQUENTIAL		REVISION		Π	
Nine Mi	le Point Unit 2	0	5	10	0	0	4	1 10	8 6	-	0 0 8	1-	0 1	0 2	OF	0 4

(If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 308A's.' (17)

I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

On November 17, 1986 at 1505 with the reactor at 0% power and the mode switch in "REFUEL", the operations department at Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (NMP2) suspended control rod testing (single rod withdrawals) due to the uncertainty of secondary containment integrity. Earlier that day Niagara Mohawk (NMPC) site design engineering learned of several hundred unsealed conduits breaching various safety related fire barriers. Since the location of these breaches was not immediately known, secondary containment integrity could not be assured. But, upon further investigation it was concluded that the secondary containment integrity was not affected by the fire barrier breaches. Therefore, control rod testing resumed.

On the same day, the fire barriers were declared inoperable and fire watch patrols were established in the affected fire zones per Technical Specification 3.7.8. The breached fire barriers have been in this configuration since the receipt of the NMP2 operating license on October 31, 1986.

II. CAUSE OF EVENT

FSAR Section 9A.3.5.1.2 requires penetration seals (which provide a fire rating equal to the rating of the barrier itself) for openings through fire barriers which separate fire areas for pipe, conduit, and cable trays.

Several small diameter (3/4" and 1") field run embedded conduit fire barrier penetrations were not sealed during the construction phase of NMP2. (This affected approximately 450 junction boxes throughout the plant.)

The root cause for this failure to seal these conduit fire barrier penetrations is the failure of the contractor to follow established procedures for identifying incomplete construction work. The means of identifying incomplete construction work was to create an open item list on a formal tracking system. The contractor's construction department failed to do this as required by administrative procedures.

The contractor's construction department rediscovered that the field routed embedded conduit required sealing. This work received low priority. Additionally, the contractor's engineering department committed to an analysis to determine if this conduit needed sealing or met the performance requirements without sealing. However, neither the contractor's engineering or construction department established, in a timely manner, the incomplete status of the conduit penetrations on a formal tracking mechanism. This was not done until November 1986, after receipt of the NMP2 operating license. By this time the incomplete construction of these fire barrier conduit penetrations constituted a Technical Specification violation. LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/88

			LER NUMBER (6)						PAGE (3)		
		YEAR		SEQUI	MBER		NUMBER		Π		
Nine Mile Point Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 411	816	-	0 10	8 0	-	0 1	0 3	OF	0 4	

Our assessment is that the present as-built condition of the fire barriers still would provide a significant measure of fire protection between fire zones. This position is justified by the following:

- (1) Small size of opening The surface area of the worst case fire barrier breach (which is the sum total of all breaches in a fire barrier) is significantly less than that of a standard fire rated door with an undercut of 3/4". (See calculation on page 4)
- (2) Normally closed conduit the embedded conduit terminates in an enclosed box and is sealed by its continuous connection to a lighting or communication fixture or by a coverplate.

(3) Heat sink capability of the concrete fire barrier - each small diameter embedded conduit typically has a ten to forty foot run through the fire barrier, which provides a heat sink for the condensing and cooling hot gases as they flow through the conduit.

Considering the inherent strengths of the present fire barrier configuration we can conclude that the barrier will still provide significant protection against fire propagation. Additionally, the fire zones affected also utilize other methods of fire detection and suppression such as smoke/heat detectors and water and Carbon Dioxide suppression systems (as applicable). These systems provide early warning of a fire for prompt fire department response which in addition to the supplied suppression systems (as applicable), would aid in mitigating any consequences of the fire.

Therefore, considering the defense in depth design of the fire protection systems, the impact to plant safety from these unsealed conduits is considered minimal.

- IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN
- (1) Fire watch patrols were established in the affected fire zones in accordance with Technical Specification Section 3.7.8.
- (2) A modification was issued (#PN2Y86MX142) to seal the non-conforming conduits in accordance with FSAR Section 9A.3.5.1.2. The majority of this work was completed by late December 1986. Twenty four junction boxes affecting 17 fire hazards were not brought in compliance with the specification due to cable fill. In light of this the fire watch patrols are being maintained until this problem can be resolved either by licensing or design resolution.
- (3) As a fire zone is brought into compliance with FSAR section 9A.3.5.1.2 the fire watch patrol in that fire zone will be removed.
- (4) A letter has been issued directing all project supervision to be sure that all open items (construction, design, and operational) are properly identified on formal tracking systems using appropriate mechanisms.

RC Form 366A

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY			DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NU	MBER (6)	PAGE (3)		
				YEAR SEQU	ABER NUMBER			
	Ning	Mile Point Unit 2	0 15 10 10 10 1 4 1 1 10	816 - 01	018 - 011	014 05	014	
TEXT /# m	NITTE 1	uned, use edutional NRC Form 3864 (s) (17)		<u> </u>				
1	٧.	ADDITIONAL INFORMATION						
1								
	No oth	er NMP2 LER's cover events	s similar to that disc	ussed in th	is report.			
	Calcul	ation of the surface area	of the worst case fir	e barrier b	reach.			
	Assump	tions:						
	1)	Calculation does not according to the conduit.	ount for the reduction	n in flow s	urface area	due to		
	2)	One fire door per fire ha	azard.					
	n:	number of unsealed con barrier = 13	duit penetrations th	rough the	worst case	fire		
	d:	diameter of the conduit :						
		x-sectional area of the c total surface area of all barrier						
	A(w)=	$n \star A(c) = 10.2$ square in	nches					
	H(D):	maximum height of standar bottom to floor)	rd fire door undercut	= 0.75 incl	n (clearance	, door		
	W(D):	width of standard fire do		inches				
		surface area of standard $H(D) * W(D) = 27.0$ square						
	approx	fore, the permitted open kimately a factor of 3 t ion hox) fire barrier condu	than the worst case					

-

.

1

Identification of Components Referred to in this LER

Component	IEEE 803 EIIS Funct	IEEE 805 System ID
Conduit	CND	FA
Penetration	PEN	FA
Fire Detector	28	IC
Fire Prot. (Water)	N/A	KP
Fire Prot. (Carbone Dioxide)	N/A	KO
Fire Detection	N/A	IC

.

.



NMP22448

NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORPORATION/301 PLAINFIELD ROAD, SYRACUSE, N.Y. 13212/TELEPHONE (315) 474-1511

January 30, 1987

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

RE: Docket No. 50-410 LER 86-08 - Revision 01

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73, we hereby submit the following Licensee Event Report:

LER 86-08 Revision 01 Which is being submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 (a) (2) (i) (B), "Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications;"

This revision to LER 86-08 now includes a root cause analysis.

This Licensee Event Report was completed in the format designated in NUREG-1022 Supplement 2, dated September 1985.

Very truly yours,

Ce manjan

C. V. Mangah Senior Vice President

CVM/asg

Attachments

cc: Regional Administrator, Region I Sr. Resident Inspector, W. A. Cook