20.408(a)(1)(iii)	NAC For (9-83)	m 366		,						-				U.S. NO	UCLEAR REGI	JLATO	RY COM	MISSION			
Shearon Harris, Unit								LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)													
Staggered Test Basis for Surveillance Tests Report Date 171 Other Facilities Involved (8)	FACILIT	Y NAME ((1)											DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	_	PA	GE (3)			
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORT OF EXPECTED (14) SUBMISSION MONTH DAY VEAR PACILITY NAMES DOCKET NUMBER ISS DOCKET N	TITLE	hear	on H	arr	is,	Unit 1								0 5 0 0	101410	010	1 01	0			
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR YEAR NOTHER NO	5	stagge	ered	Te				Survei	*												
O S O O O O O O O O	-	1	-	-	-	-		Terrore	-	1	1				•						
O S O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	1 26	A .			NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR		FACILITY NA	MES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)						
OPERATING MODE (9) 3 20.402(b) 20.405(c) 20.405(c) 50.36(c) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 73.71(c)															0 15 10	101	0	11			
THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR \$: (Check one or more of the following) (11)	alı	0 2	8 7	8	7 -	0 0	2 -	00	0 2	0 2	8 7				0 1510	101	0,	1 1			
20.405(e) 20.405(e) 20.405(e) 50.73(a)(2)(v) 73.71(e)				THI	HIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR &: (Check one or more of								of the following) (1	1)	-		-				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER REPORTABLE TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)	м	ODE (9)	13	20.402(b)					20.406	(e)			60.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)						
Supplemental Report Expected (14) South (15) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10								-				50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(e)							
NAME O. N. Hudson Senior Engineer - Regulatory Compliance COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (S3) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC. TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)													50.73(a)(2)(vii)		OTHER (Specify in Abstract						
20.406(a)(1)(iv) B0.73(a)(2)(iii) B0.73(a)(2)(iii) B0.73(a)(iiii) B0.73(a)(iiiii) B0.73(a)(iiiiiii) B0.73(a)(iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii					20.406	(a)(1)(iii)		X	50.73(a	1)(2)(i)			50.73(e)(2)(viii)	(A)		end in	Text, NR	C Form			
NAME O. N. Hudson Senior Engineer - Regulatory Compliance COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)					20.405	a)(1)(iv)			80.73(4	1)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(viii)	(8)							
O. N. Hudson Senior Engineer - Regulatory Compliance COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (S3) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC REPORTABLE TO NPROS LAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)					20.406	(a)(1)(v)			50.73(e)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)								
O. N. Hudson Senior Engineer - Regulatory Compliance COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (S3) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)								L	ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)									
Senior Engineer - Regulatory Compliance COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (S3) CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)	NAME	O N	Н	den	n										TELEPHONE	NUMBI	ER				
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC. TURER REPORTABLE TO NPROS LAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC. TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)						- Doo	1	taru (Comp 1	iona				AREA CODE							
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER REPORTABLE TO NPROS CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC TURER TO NPROS SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)		seni	01 1	ugı	neer	- Reg	ulat	LOTY	Combi	Tance	-			91119	3 1612	- 1	213	16 13			
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14) EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (16)		, ,				COMPLE	TE ONE	LINE FOR	EACH CO	OMPONEN	T FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	AT (13)				Г			
EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (16)	CAUSE	SYSTEM	сом	PONEN	r '						LAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT								
EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (16)		1	1	1 1		111								1 , , ,		T		************			
EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (16)										******		1		+	+	-					
EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (16)				11		111						1	111	1111							
SUBMISSION DATE (16)						SUPPLE	MENTA	L REPORT	EXPECTE	ED (14)	-	-		1	MO	NTH	DAY	YEAR			
YES III yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!	_													SUBMISSI	ON	-					
ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single space typewritten lines) (16)	-								IX	NO				DATE (1	51		1	1			

ABSTRACT

Technical Specification Table 4.3-1 requires the testing of the Reactor Protection System (RPS) logic, the reactor trip breaker and reactor bypass breaker on a staggered test basis. The required frequency for Trains 'A' and 'B' is once every 62 days. The tests were performed for the two trains on November 4 and November 5, 1986. On January 2, 1987, with the plant in Mode 3, it was discovered that although both trains were within their required frequency of 62 days, they had not been staggered properly. A staggered test schedule should have been established to run Train 'A' on December 5, 1986 and Train 'B' on January 5, 1987. On January 2, the test on Train 'A' was conducted and completed satisfactorily. Subsequent performance of these tests was scheduled to follow a Staggered Test Basis.

1420

NRC Fo ³ m 364A 9-83:	LICENSEE EVENT REPO	RT (LE	R)	TE	хт	co	NTI	NU	ATI	ON		U	A	PROVED O	MB NO :			04
FACILITY NAME (1)		00	CKE	TN	JAMB E	R (2)			П		6	ER N	MBER	6;		,	AGE	3)	_
Shearon Harris									-	YEAR			UMBER	-	REVISION		П		-
		0	15	10	0	10	14	10 1	0	8 7	-	0	0 2	-	00	012	OF	01	3

EXT IN more season is required, use additioned MRC Form 388A's/ (17)

DESCRIPTION

The Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant received its low power operating license on October 24, 1986. Technical Specification Table 4.3-1 Items 20, 21 and 22 require the testing of the Reactor Protection System (RPS) logic, reactor trip breaker and reactor bypass breaker on a staggered test basis with Trains A and B of logic tested once every 62 days. The tests were initially performed satisfactorily on November 4, 1986 and November 5, 1986.

On January 2, 1987, the plant was operating in Mode 3 prior to initial criticality. Regulatory Compliance conducted a review of Tech. Spec. surveillances required for Modes 1 and 2. During this review, it was discovered at 12:30 p.m. on January 2, 1987 that the RPS logic tests had not been staggered properly. A staggered test schedule should have been established to run Train 'A' on December 5, 1986 and Train 'B' on January 5, 1987. On January 2, both trains were within their 62 day frequency, but had not been staggered properly. The Shift Foreman was notified verbally at 1353 on January 2. Upon this notification, the Shift Foreman initiated the test for Train 'A'. The test on Train 'A' was completed satisfactorily at 1836 on January 2, 1987. A review was done to identify any other surveillances that required scheduling on a Staggered Test Basis. This review revealed that surveillance for the Reactor Auxiliary Building Emergency Exhaust System had not been staggered. Testing was successfully completed on January 6, 1987.

CAUSE

The cause of the event, failure to properly stagger the test frequency of a surveillance test, was personnel error. The requirement to stagger these tests was overlooked. The action was a violation of Technical Specifications and contrary to PLP-103, Rev. 2, Surveillance and Periodic Test Program Procedure.

ANALYSIS

The event is a violation of Tech. Spec. surveillance requirements for the reactor protection system logic. As such, it is reportable per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B).

Although the tests were not staggered properly, they were within the required 62 day frequency. In addition, when conducted, the test of logic Train 'A' was completed satisfactorily. There were no safety consequences resulting from this event.

NRC Fom 396A 19-831	LICENSFE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION APPROVED ONB : EXPRES 8-31 IN								
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)		PAGE (3)				
Shearon Harris			YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	AEVISION NUMBER				

0 |5 |0 |0 |0 | 4 |0 |0 | 8 | 7 | - |0 |0 |2 | - |0 |0 |0 |3 |0 |0 |3

11 / more above is required, use assumption HTTC Parts 3884's/ (17)

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- 1. The tests of the RPS logic Trains 'A' and 'B' have been staggered as required per Tech. Spec. Table 4.3-1. Train 'A' and Train 'B' were tested satisfactorily on January 2 and January 6, respectively. The tests were performed again satisfactorily on January 9 (Train 'A') and January 10 (Train 'B') after completion of maintenance to the RPS unrelated to this concern. Therefore, the new staggered test dates are February 10, 1987 for Train 'A' and March 13, 1987 for Train 'B', in accordance with Tech. Spec. requirements.
- 2. Administrative controls have been established to audit the staggered test program schedule twice a month to prevent a recurrence of this event.



P. O. Box 165 New Hill, NC 27562

FEB 0 2 1987

File Number: SHF/10-13510C Letter Number: HO-870354 (0) 10CFR50.73

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: NRC Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SHEARON HARRIS NUCLEAR POWER PLANT UNIT 1

DOCKET NO. 50-400

LICENSE NO. NPF-63

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 87-002-00

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Title 10 to the Code of Federal Regulations, the enclosed Licensee Event Report is submitted. This report fulfills the requirement for a written report within thirty (30) days of a reportable occurrence and is in accordance with the format set forth in NUREG-1022, September 1983.

Very truly yours,

R. A. Watson Vice President

Harris Nuclear Project

RAW: skm

Enclosure

cc: Dr. J. N. Grace (NRC - RII)

Mr. G. Maxwell (NRC - SHNPP)

Mr. B. Buckley (NRR)

I 222