

Director:
Frederick R. Zivnuska, M.D.
Robert J. Baglan, M.D., Ph.D.
Lily A. Hanes, M.D.
Fransiska A. Lee, M.D.

July 15, 1986

Radioisotope Licensing Branch USNRC - Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Elyn, Illinois

RE: Amendment to NRC License No. 24-24331-01

To Whom It May Concern:

We would like to amend our teletherapy license as follows:

Add Lily Ann Hanes, M.D. as an authorized user. Dr. Hanes was previously named on our license.

Move the Picker Co-60 unit to a new location within the current room. See the supporting documentation for details.

Please find enclosed a check in the amount of \$230.00 as specified in CFR part 170.31 category 7A.

Sincerely,

David J. Keys, Ph.D

David J. Keys, Ph.D. Radiation Safety Officer

DJK:sjk

5 JUL 25 A10:12

Log July 26
Remitted 2/20
Check No. 3/230
Amount 5290
Type of Fee annot
Date Check Rec'd.
Date Completed 2/25/86
By: 97

JUL 21 1986

JUL 2 1 1986

SUITE 101E • 11155 DUNN RD. • ST. LOUIS, MO 63136 • 314-355-5075

NORTHWEST RADIATION ONCOLOGY CO-60 SHIELDING CALCULATIONS

Comment:

Please see the accompanying drawing depicting the Co-60 Rx room. This room originally was designed to house a 20 MV linear accelerator. All walls unless otherwise specified are built of concrete.

Assumptions:

The following assumptions apply to our calculations: Workload - 40000 R/wk at one meter (as recommended by NCRP Report 49, page 64)

Max. Source Strength - 9000 RHM (max allowed by the manufacturer)

Max. Field Size - 40 x 40 @ 80 cm Concrete Density - 147 lbs/ft³

Definitions:

d_{pri} = distance from the source to the point of interest

d = distance from the scatterer to the point of interest

d₁ = d_{pri}

SSD = source to surface distance

t = thickness of concrete (cm)

T_x = transmission through the shielding; this value is determined from NCRP 49, page 102 or alternatively, by using a TVL of 20.6 cm of concrete

R = exposure rate in mR/hr

 \mathring{R}_{W} = weekly exposure in mR/hr

a = ratio of the primary to scattered component within
a patient

w = workload

RHM = roentgen per hour at 1 meter

A = area of max field size = 1600 cm²

L = leakage radiation = 0.1% of RHM

Allowed Beam Directions:

30° in, 90° out 30° CW, 30° CCW

Primary Walls: Walls along pts. B and C

Equations:

Primary Radiation

$$\dot{R} = RHM/(d_{pri})^2 \times T_x \times 1000 \text{ mR/R}$$

$$\dot{R}_w = w/(d_{pri})^2 \times T_x \times 2000 \text{ mR/R} = \dot{R} \text{ w/RHM}$$

Scattered Radiation

$$\mathring{R} = RHM/(SSD)^2 \times a \times 1/(d_S)^2 \times T_X \times 1600/400 \times 1000 mR/R$$
 $\mathring{R}_W = \mathring{R} W/RHM$

Leakage Radiation

$$\mathring{R} = RHM/(d_1)^2 \times L \times T_x \times 1000 \text{ mR/R}$$

$$\mathring{R}_w = \mathring{R} \text{ w/RHM}$$

LOCATION A - PHYSICS OFFICE

$$d_s = 7.7 \text{ m}$$
 $t_c = 0.76 \text{ m}$
 $T_x = 2.0 \times 10^{-4}$

Scatter

* =
$$9000/(0.8)^2 \times 0.001 \times 1/(7.7)^2 \times 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \times 1600/400 \times 1000 \text{ mR/R}$$

= 0.19 mR/hr
* = $0.19 \times 40000/9000 = 0.84 \text{ mR/wk}$

Leakage

*R =
$$9000/(7.7)^2 \times 0.001 \times 2.0 \times 10^{-4} \times 1000 \text{ mR/R}$$

= 0.03 mR/hr
*R = $0.03 \times 40000/9000 = 0.13 \text{ mR/wk}$
Net $\mathring{R} = 0.19 + 0.03 = 0.22 \text{ mR/hr}$
Net $\mathring{R} = 0.84 + 0.13 = 0.97 \text{ mR/wk}$

LOCATION B - CONSOLE AREA - CONTROLLED AREA

$$d_{pri} = 7.32 \text{ m}$$

$$t_{c} = 122 \text{ cm}$$

$$T_{x} = 3 \times 10^{-6}$$

$$R = 9000/(7.32)^{2} \times 3 \times 10^{-6} \times 1000 \text{ mR/R} = 0.5 \text{ mR/hr}$$

$$R_{c} = 2.0 \times 40000/9000 = 2.2 \text{ mR/wk}$$

Because primary dominates scatter or leakage components by about 3 orders of magnitude, no scatter or leakage calculations are necessary.

LOCATION C - CLINAC 6 AREA

$$d_{pri} = 6.7 \text{ m}$$

$$t_{c} = 6^{1} = 1.83 \text{ m}$$

$$T_{x} = 8.88 \text{ TVL} = 1.32 \times 10^{-9}$$

$$R = 9000/(6.7)^{2} \times 1.32 \times 10^{-9} \times 1000 \text{ mR/R}$$

$$= 0.0 \text{ mR/hr}$$

$$R_{w} = 0.0 \text{ mR/wk}$$

LOCATION D - PARKING LOT

No primary beam will be allowed. The primary beam is restricted via an electrical interlock which will limit motion to 30° CCW from vertical down. CW is defined viewing the unit from in front of the stand.

 $d_{c} = 3.0 \text{ m}$

SSD = 0.8 m

a = 0.001

 $t_{-} = 0.91 \text{ m}$

 $T_{x} = 2.2 \times 10^{-5}$

Scatter

 \dot{R} = 9000/(0.8)² x 0.001 x 1/(3.0)² x 2.2 x 10⁻⁵ x 1600/400 x 1000 mR/R

 $= 0.13 \, \text{mR/hr}$

 $\hat{R}_{w} = 36.13 \times 40000/900J = 0.6 \text{ mR/wk}$

Leakage

 * = 9000/(3.0)² x 0.001 x 2.2 x 10⁻⁵ x 1000 mR/R

= 0.02 mR/hr

Net R = 0.13 + 0.02 = 0.15 mR/hr

Net $R_{W} = 0.6 + 0.1 = 0.7 \text{ mR/wk}$

LOCATION E - OUTSIDE WALL

 $d_{c} = 3.0 \text{ m}$

 $t_c = 1.22 \text{ m}$

Since this wall has the same distances as point E, but substantially thicker walls, there will be less radiation than for point D.

LOCATION F - CLINAC 6/100 ROOM, BACK CORNER

 $d_s = 6.1 \text{ m}$

 $t_c = 0.91 \text{ m}$

Since the distances are much greater than for location D, the exposure rates will be much less.

LOCATION - UNDER CO-60 ROOM

Comment: There is ground under the unit; therefore, there is no occupancy, no exposure.

LOCATION - ROOM ABOVE THE CO-60 UNIT

Comment: Directly above the unit the thickness is equivalent to greater than 4 feet of concrete. Off center from the unit the thickness is a minimum of 3 feet thick. No primary is allowed due to mechanical limitations (90° out - max) and electrical (90 CW from vertical down - max)

SSD = 0.8 m d_s = 3.05 m d_1 = 2.25 m a = 0.001 t_c = 91.4 cm T_x = 4.5 x 10⁻⁵

Scatter

 $\hat{R}_{W} = 0.27 \times 40000/9000 = 1.21 \text{ mR/wk}$

Leakage

 \mathring{R} = 9000/(2.25)² x 0.001 x 4.5 x 10⁻⁵ x 1000 mR/R = 0.08 mR/hr \mathring{R}_{W} = 0.35 mR/wk

Total \mathring{R} = 0.27 + 0.08 = 0.35 mR/hr \mathring{R} = 1.21 + 0.35 = 1.56 mR/wk

Summary

Calculations have been made which show that, using the beam limitations requested (30 CW, -30 CCW from vertical down; 90° out, 30° in), there will be no unrestricted areas where exposure rates of greater than 2.0 mR/hr or 100 mR in a week can occur. In addition we are assured because of the low weekly exposures that no one in the area surrounding the Co-60 unit, even if continually present, will receive 500 mR in one years time.

Note: Because of the shielding involved, it is not necessary to calculate the added weekly exposure due to 2 mR/hr leakage at 1 meter with the beam in the OFF position.

David J. Keys, Ph.D.

7/14/86

DJK:sjk

