



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FEB 21 1984

Docket Nos. 50-275
and 50-323

MEMORANDUM FOR: George Knighton, Chief
Licensing Branch #3, DL

FROM: Wm. H. Regan, Jr., Chief
Site Analysis Branch, DE

SUBJECT: PROPOSED LETTER TO APPLICANT - DIABLO CANYON NUCLEAR POWER
PLANT - CULTURAL RESOURCES

Enclosed is a draft letter to the applicant requesting further information in making a determination of effect which the operations and maintenance of the plant may have on the SLO-2 archeological site on Diablo Canyon property. The information being requested is in addition to the information which was provided by the applicant in their September 29, 1983 response to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission request of March 28, 1983.

Wm. H. Regan, Jr., Chief
Site Analysis Branch
Division of Engineering

Enclosure:
As stated

cc: H. Schierling
L. Bykoski
D. Cleary

8403 020203 XA

3pp

B/4

DRAFT:LMB:2/16/84

Docket Nos. 50-275
and 50-323

Mr. Philip A. Crane, Jr. ~~_____~~
Pacific Gas and Electric Company
77 Beale Street
San Francisco, California 94106

Dear Mr. Crane:

In my letter of March 28, 1983, the NRC requested information required to complete a determination of the effect which operation and maintenance activities of the plant may have on the Diablo Canyon SLO-2 archeological site. You were requested to follow the steps presented in 36CFR800.3 and 36CFR800.4 in developing the information.

In your September 29, 1983 response to the March 28, 1983 letter, you refer to 36CFR800.3(a) and 36CFR60.4, and to the Archeological Resources Management Plan (ARMP) and its appendices, April 1980, which is referenced in Appendix B of the Environmental Protection Plan. You stated that the ARMP and appendices in conjunction with the Operational License provides sufficient protective measures to assure the integrity of SLO-2 during operation and maintenance of the plant.

Your response is inadequate in several respects for us to go forward with the determination of effects process. Specifically we request a resubmittal from you which explicitly addresses the steps in 36CFR800.4(b), (c) or (d). You will note the steps require referral back to 36CFR800.3 covering application of the criteria of effect and adverse effect and also to 36CFR800.13 covering adequate documentation. We require a report documenting the analysis you performed in making the determination of effect finding. Your analysis and report should be

Mr. Philip A. Crane, Jr.

-2-

reviewed and concurred in by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) before given to us. The SHPO's written comments should be included in your report. Your Archeological Resources Management Plan should also be discussed with the SHPO during your consultation.

If you should have any questions concerning this matter, you may contact Dr. Louis Bykoski on (301) 492-7025.

Sincerely,