

DOCKET NUMBER PR-50
PROPOSED RULE (52 FR 6980)

170

March 16, 1987

DOCKETED
USNRC

Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington , D.C. 20555

'87 MAR 18 P2:45

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sir,

It is tiring hearing from all those that oppose Seabrook. I am sad to see that Governor Dukakis has refused to participate in the emergency plan desired for the 10 mile radius.

I think at this point it definitely would be in everyones best interest to allow the NRC to license Seabrook even though the state and local governments decline to cooperate in the emergency planning.


We need Seabrook. If those living in France and other countries that have used nuclear power for energy can live with it, why can't we in America understand the value of using this force for good instead of evil.

Having lived in the west where energy from various sources is taken for granted, I have had a keen awareness living in New England how limited our resources are. I believe America is strong enough to care for herself. The wasted money to allow Seabrook to sit idle would be a crime.

Please know that there is a large silent majority that want Seabrook but aren't aware of the need to speak up, thinking you will do what is right. The squeaky wheel always gets the grease. Unfortunately there might be another wheel that simply needs to be praised for being so strong.

Thank you for taking the time to read my letter.

Sincerely,



Claudia M. Gonthier

8703230457 870318
PDR PR
30 52FR6980 PDR

RIDS : DS+0
Add Peter Crane
H - 1035

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-58

(52 FR 6980)

2020

DOCKETED
USNRC

3-13-87

'87 MAR 18 P4:33

DEAR MR CHILK,

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

MY WIFE AND I ARE 100% FOR NUCLEAR
POWER.

WE LIVE WITHIN 4 MILES OF PILGRIM I
IN PLYMOUTH MA, AND HAVEN'T HAD ONE
SLEEPLESS NIGHT YET. (AND DON'T EXPECT TO HAVE
ONE)!

IF POLITICIANS WOULD STOP USING SCARE
TACTICS TO FOSTER THEIR OWN GAINS, THIS
NATION WOULD BE MUCH BETTER OFF.


JUST IMAGINE THE LIKES OF GORDON
BEING IN THE WHITE HOUSE, WHEN HE KNOWS
LESS ABOUT THE ATOM THAN I DO.

KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK AND DON'T LET
THEM WIN !!

SINCERELY,

WOT

Robert Haile



MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card.....

Keene N. H.
March 1987

Honorable Lando Zech

Dear Sir,

'87 MAR 18 P2 59

I believe Seabrook is a safe plant and should not be held hostage by those who are attempting to roadblock the licensing process.

We need Atomic Energy to provide enough power for all the people like my wife + me.

Just to name a few things that are in a seven room home in the country.

Electric Stove

" Hot Water heater
" motor in Oil Burner
" Refrigerator
" Well Water Pump
" Washing machine Clothes
" " " Dishes
" Dryer Clothes
" Garbage Disposer
" Air Condition (Condition)
" Hummifier
" Vacume Cleaner
" Micro Wave oven
" Lights
" Deep Freeze

V.C.R.
Radios
T.V.
Clocks
Toaster
Can opener
Food mixer
Garage door opener
Battery Charger
Slide projector
Total (25)

Sincerely
Charles + Mary Nims

MR. & MRS. CHARLES A. NIMS
HCR 32, Box 6
Keene, NH 03431

ACKNOWLEDGED BY CARD MAR 20 1987

'87 MAR 18 P2:49

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

March 13, 1987

Dear Sirs;

We believe that
Seabrook should be
put into operation.

The longer it is
delayed, the more we
will be paying for
electricity.

I believe local and
state governments should
should implement &
participate in some
kind of evacuation
plan whether they
want to or not.

Governor Dukakis
has opposed this -
however can he
guarantee the safe
evacuation of every
person within a ten
mile limit of Mass.
plants?

Sincerely,

Linda Stacy
Ralph M. Stacy

520 So. Beech St.
177 Main, N.H. 03103

MAR 20 1987
Acknowledged by card.....

DOCKETED
USNRC

SECRETARY
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON DC 20555

'87 MAR 18 P1:37

3-16-87

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Secretary

Please note for the rule change allowing the licensing of nuclear power plants where State Governments will not cooperate in emergency planning.

In New Hampshire we need our Seabrook plant running.

Gov. Dukakis of Mass. has been stalling on development of an emergency plan to use it as a political issue! Because a person is considering running for President is no reason to allow him to hold up the project.

If you do not make this rule change you leave the gates open for States and local Governments to dictate your actions, — if only for political reasons.

That is not the way the NRC should be run.

Very truly yours.

Niles F. Jensen

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-57

(52 FR 6980)

(198)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P1:31

OFFICE OF SE
DOCKETING &
BRANCJURY
VICE11 Central St
Peterborough, NH 03458
March 15, 1987

Dear Sir

For a long time I have watched the maneuvering of certain people and politicians trying to stop the Seabrook Nuclear Power Station, the latest action failing to come up with an evacuation plan. It's time action is taken to stop these anti efforts and get the station online as originally approved.

Therefore I sincerely hope that the Regulatory Commission will change the rules to allow the licensing of Nuclear Power Plants where state and/or local governments decline to cooperate in offsite Emergency Planning. I believe this Commission has done its homework and knows what is right while local politicians too often take positions to win votes, not acting in the overall good as they should. I'm fed up with the climbing costs of Seabrook because of a few fanatics and/or politicians.

Very truly yours
Donald Sutherland

DOCKET NUMBER PR-52
PROPOSED RULE
(52 FR 6980)

197
DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P1:32

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

March 16, 1987

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Attn.: Docketing & Service Branch

To Whom It May Concern:

We certainly favor the new rule in regard
to the licensing of our New Hampshire
Nuclear Station.

It is time the delays are stopped and
Seabrook can be put to work.

WE NEED IT NOW!

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Noyce E. Leonard

Mr. & Mrs. Noyce E. Leonard
121 Harris Road
Nashua, NH 03062

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-50
(52 FR 6980)

196

DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P1:34

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH



603-256-6107

Mrs. John W. Prentiss
Poocham Road
West Chesterfield, New Hampshire



RR 1, Box 340
Westmoreland, N.H. 03467

14 March 1987

Secretary

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Att: Docketing and Service Branch

Gentlemen:

Will you please add my name to your list of concerned citizens who thoroughly approve of the new rule which will allow the licensing of nuclear power plants where state and local governments decline to cooperate in the offsite emergency planning.

If you can pass this new rule, it should stop all the delays instigated by Gov. Dukakis and hopefully put Seabrook to work for all of us.

Very truly yours,

Mrs. John W. Prentiss

Mrs. John W. Prentiss

Acknowledged by card. MAR 20 1987

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE **PR-52**
(52 FR 6980) **(195)**

DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P1:36

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

DAVID S. GARLAND
LAUREL LANE BOX 174
NEW LONDON, N. H. 03257

Secretary
U.S.N.R.C.
Washington, DC actn. Docketing &
20555 Service Branch

Sir:

I feel very strongly that we are badly in need of getting Seabrook and other nuclear power plants on line & working full time.

Obviously I am in favor of any change in rules that will allow licensing where state and local governments refuse to cooperate in offsite emergency planning.

Very truly yours
David S. Garland

3/16/87

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card...

'87 MAR 18 P1:33

OFFICE OF
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

US. Nuclear Reg. Comm.
Washington, D.C.
20555

Mar. 16, 1987
To Conway
N. H.

Dear Sir:

This is to declare my support for the new NRC licensing change relative to nuclear power plants.

As a N. H. lifelong resident, I am tired of Massachusetts residents dictating to us. They invade and destroy our forests, break our laws and bring their drugs into our state and they talk about danger!

With the millions of dollars invested in Seabrook and its need to produce power down the road, it should be allowed to proceed with no further delay, Surely not from Mr Dukakis -

Sincerely,
Ludell Seabrook

DOCKET NUMBER **PR-50** (193)
PROPOSED RULE
(52 FR 6980)
DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P2:28

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

**STRINGFELLOW - LYONS
ASSOCIATES**

YOUR SUCCESS IS OUR BUSINESS

P.O. BOX 1047 • DOVER, NH 03820 • (603) 749-4086

MESSAGE

REPLY

TO [U.S. NUCLEAR REG. COMM.
WASHINGTON, DC. 20555
ATTN: DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH]

DATE 3.14.87

DATE

I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THE NEW
RULE TO ALLOW LICENSING
OF NUCLEAR POWER
PLANTS WHERE STATE
OR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS
DECLINE TO COOPERATE
IN OFFSITE EMERGENCY
PLANNING.

MR & MRS. W. J. Lyons

151 CENTRAL AVE. #4

BY
Item # NR73 The Drawing Board, Dallas, Texas 75266-0429
Wheeler Group, Inc., 1982

INSTRUCTIONS TO SENDER

1. KEEP YELLOW COPY. 2. SEND WHITE AND PINK COPIES INTACT.


SIGNED

INSTRUCTIONS TO RECEIVER

1. WRITE REPLY. 2. DETACH STUB, KEEP PINK COPY, RETURN WHITE COPY TO SENDER.

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card



March 15, 1987

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D. C. 20555
Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

Gentlemen:

It is understood that the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will be voting on a proposed change in the rules. This change would allow licensing of Nuclear Power Plants where State and/or local Governments decline to cooperate in offsite emergency planning.

As a resident of the State of New Hampshire, I encourage this action as you are well aware that the Governor of our neighboring State is using delay tactics to enhance his aspirations to become President of the United States.

The start-up of the Seabrook plant has been delayed long enough. Please help.

Very truly yours

Fred C. MacMillan

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-50 (190)

(52 FR 6980)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P1:28

Herbert L. Cilley

TUPELO POINT - BOW LAKE

P.O. BOX 348

NORTHWOOD, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03261-0348

(603) 664-7650

OFFICE OF SECRET.
DOCKETING & SERV
BRANCH

MARCH 15, 1987

SECRETARY
U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

ATTN: DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

Please count my "YES" vote in favor
of the New Rule regarding NRC Licensing
Change for plants like SEABROCK.

I believe that is the safest
nuclear plant that has been built
to date - and further believe that
it should be started without
further delays.

Most of my working years ^{over 50} were
spent working with Boys and Girls
and I am a life member of most national
Boys' societies - So please believe me,
I do not want to hurt any people
or wildlife - I BELIEVE WE NEED
THE POWER & I BELIEVE NEW
HAMPSHIRE YANKEE HAS DONE FAR
MORE TO MAKE THIS THE SAFEST
PLANT IN THE WORLD.

PLEASE ACCEPT MY "YES" vote
Thank

Herbert L. Cilley

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-52

(189)

(52 FR 6980)

DOCKET
USNRC

Robert L. Rosbe
Tamworth, New Hampshire 03886

'87 MAR 18 P1:29

March 14, 1987

OFFICE OF SEC
DOCKETING &
BRANCH

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington D.C. 20555


Attn: Docketing & Service Branch

As a long time customer of
Public Service of N.H. I strongly
urge you to adopt the proposed
change in rules which would
allow the licensing of Nuclear
Power Plants where local
governments decline to
cooperate.

There is no valid reason
to further delay licensing
the Seabrook Station.

Very truly yours,
R. L. Rosbe

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card. 

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-52

188

(52 FR 6980)

DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P1:35

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

3/16/87

Gentlemen: RE-NRC licensing

Since the Governor of
Massachusetts will not make
an effort to come out with
plans to evacuate people in
case of emergency, it seems that
he's only bucking the issue,

We are so much in need of
extra electricity in the coming
years that Seabrook plant
should be in operation soon.

Thanking you for your
patience. I remain

George J. Zadourek
58 Embassy ave
— Hooksett, N.H. 03104

Acknowledged by card... MAR 20 1987


DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE **PR-50**
(52 FR 6980) **186**

DOCKETED
USNRC

'87 MAR 18 P4:38

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

NICKNAME — Granite State
1970 Population — 737,681
Area in Sq. Miles — 9,341
Entered the Union — June 21, 1788



Gentlemen,

I am in favor of
the proposed change
in rules that would
permit nuclear plant
licensing where state
+ local governments
don't cooperate in
emergency planning.

WILLIAM HEINEMANN
WILLIAM E. HEINEMANN

BETHLEHEM, N.H. 03574 ATTN: DOCKETING & SERVICE BRANCH

POSTCARD

SECRETARY

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY
COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card.

DOCKET NUMBER PR-20
PROPOSED RULE (52 FR 6980) (185)
Paul C. Horansky
RFD 4 Box GAA 52
Gilford, N. H. 03246

DOCKETED
USNRC

March 14, 1987

'87 MAR 18 P1:30

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D C 20555
Att: Docketing and Service Branch

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Sir:

I strongly support getting the Seabrook plant in operation as soon as possible and am in favor of the proposed rule change which would allow licensing nuclear power plants where state and/or local governments decline to cooperate in offsite emergency planning. Put an end to these numerous "roadblocks" and make Seabrook a working facility.

Thank you for your consideration.

yours truly,
Paul C Horansky

Secretary
US NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555

DOCKETED
Mar. 13, 1987

'87 MAR 18 P2:44

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Secretary :

I am writing in hopes that the Commission comes out in strong support of the proposed rule change allowing licensing of nuclear power plants even when States or Local Governments decline to cooperate in emergency planning.

There are far too many politicians getting involved in Nuclear Plant licensing process. Most of these people have self serving interests which are not in the interest of the country as a whole. Licensing of Nuclear plants must be done by experts on the Federal level.

The anti-nuclear movement has been a direct cause of the high cost of building nuclear plants. It's time that the NRC puts a stop to this nonsense and license Seabrook Station now. Seabrook was built safely and is necessary for area growth.

Sincerely,
Raymond E. Cys
6 Little Pine Lane
Exeter, N.H. 03833

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-50
(52 FR 6980)

(182)

DOCKETED
USNBC

'87 MAR 18 '3:01

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

March. 16/1987

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Comm.

Dear Sir

In regards to the new Proposed Rule I think it should be recognized as the only thing to expedite Seabrook and other Nuclear utilities on line.

This has been long overdue, and very costly to the customers.

I thank you

Arthur M. Clark
89 A Raymond Rd.
Greenfield N.H.

03037

LeRoy Deabler
Hillary Lane, Box 129
Bethlehem, NH 03574

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR-50

(52 FR 6980)

175

DOCKETED
USNRC

444-7731 (office)

MAR 18 1987 New Rule Proposed

U.S. REGULATORY COMMISSION

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Sirs:

I am writing to encourage a change in NRC licensing to permit Seabrook (NH) Nuclear plant operation. It is complete and constructed with safety in mind.

New Hampshire is a growth state, and we need all sources of reliable energy. Just because the Mass. Governor does not cooperate with a reasonable evacuation plan, we should not paralyze the citizens of New Hampshire and New England.

About \$5 billion has been spent on this plant, and it would be a terrible waste not to bring it "on line". I am not a stock holder in PSNH nor an owner of Seabrook.

Thanks for your consideration.

Sincerely, LeRoy Deabler

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card

DOCKET NUMBER
Stanley H. Prescott PROPOSED RULE PR-50 (174)
R. F. D. 13, Box 32 (52 FR 6980)
Concord, N. H. 03301

DOCKETED
USNRC

March 16, 1987 MAR 18 P2:47

Secretary
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Attn: Docketing and Service Branch
Washington, D. C. 20555

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Sir:

I understand you are accepting comments from the public regarding a proposed change in your rules relative to the utility plant at Seabrook, N. H.

According to reports, Seabrook is a safe plant and for different reasons has been held up on the licensing process.

As you know, the new rule would have no effect on public safety but would allow a plant to operate if the utility develops a plan to compensate for the lack of Governmental cooperation in offsite emergency planning.

I certainly favor the new rule and hope your Commission will change this rule so that the Seabrook Station can be licensed to operate.

Sincerely yours,

Stanley H. Prescott
Stanley H. Prescott

SHP:J

Acknowledged by card MAR 20 1987

FILE NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE

PR-52

(52 FR 6980)

173

ANDERSON CONSTRUCTION INC.

ROUTE 125 - BARRINGTON, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03825 - PHONE 664-5548

DOCKETED
USNRC

3-15-87

'87 MAR 18 P2:47

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Subject - Seabrook Station (licensing change)

Sir - I believe Seabrook Station is
safe and should be put on line.

I believe that only nuclear states
or governments should not be allowed
to stop the licensing process.

New Hampshire Yankee has worked
out a plan for evacuation in case of an
emergency. This plan is good.

Thank you
Carl V. Anderson

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged

DOCKET NUMBER
PROPOSED RULE PR-50
(52 FR 6980)

172

Frank S. Wilson
56 Ruby Road
Portsmouth, NH 03801

March 16, 1987
USNRC

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Docketing and Service Branch
Washington, DC 20555

'87 MAR 18 P2:46

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING & SERVICE
BRANCH

Dear Secretary,

It has come to my attention that the Commission will be voting soon on a proposed change in the rules that would allow licensing of nuclear power plants where state and/or local governments decline to cooperate in offsite emergency planning. As a citizen living in the seacoast area of New Hampshire, I would like to comment in support of the rule change and ask that my comments be taken into consideration during your deliberations.


I have two reasons for wanting to get Seabrook Station on-line at the earliest opportunity. First, the longer the plant is inactive, the more I will eventually have to pay for the electricity it produces due to the interest build-up on money borrowed for its construction. Second, the country, and this region in particular, need to become as energy independent as possible. Foreign power sources, including electricity from Quebec, are not reliable in times of emergency and their pricing will be based on how much we have placed ourselves in a position of dependence. Seabrook Station continues our steps in the direction of energy independence.

Whether or not Seabrook Station, or any other plant, is safe is not a question in the proposed rule change. The question is can any governmental entity below the federal level be allowed to dictate policy that affects more than one state? Certainly the answer is no and one of the primary functions of the NRC must continue to be the positive direction of the country's nuclear energy facilities. When the rules were originally established, it was not envisioned that local and/or state officials would, for purely political reasons, absolutely refuse to cooperate with emergency planning efforts. No one could foresee that such officials would irresponsibly fail to help assure the safety of the citizens they were elected to represent. Nevertheless, such a situation has developed in New York and at Seabrook Station where Massachusetts is directly affecting my future energy costs by their refusal to carry out their responsibilities.

I don't believe Congress ever intended to allow local and/or state governments to effectively veto decisions of the NRC. The NRC must re-establish itself as the prime governmental agency for establishing and executing national policy in regard to nuclear power plants.

Thank you for the opportunity to express my views.

Yours truly,


FRANK S. WILSON

MAR 20 1987

Acknowledged by card.....

DOCKET NUMBER PR-50
PROPOSED RULE
(52 FR 6980)

169

SECRETARY
U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

DOCKETED
USNRC

ROBERT M. NICHOLS
SILVER LAKE, N. H.
03875

'87 MAR 18 P2 45

March 16 1987

To Whom It May Concern:

OFFICE OF SECRETARY
DOCKETING
BRANCH

The proposed Rule change that would allow the Licensing of Nuclear Power Plants where State and / or Local Governments decline to cooperate in offsite emergency planning is an excellent one and should be enacted as soon as possible.

Nuclear Energy must be Federally Regulated rather than State Regulated, because the considerable Resources and Expertise of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission can not be duplicated by each State. Offsite Emergency Planning developed by the Utility & reviewed by your Commission would determine that Emergency Preparedness at the particular Site is Feasible and that adequate Resources are available to conduct Necessary Emergency Procedures. However when this planning is established and the resources are available Federal, State and Local Authorities should be expected to do whatever is necessary to provide Effective Preparedness for their Citizens.

Seabrook Station is a Safe Plant a Quality Facility, the Energy it will supply is needed Now and is important to our States Future. Therefore I favor the New Rule and hope that it will Stop the Delays in the Licensing procedure so that Seabrook can be put to work.

Sincerely,

Robert M. Nichols

Robert M. Nichols

ACKNOWLEDGED BY
MAR 20 1987

-58 (52 FR 6980) (168)

DOCKETED
JAN 10

42 Bayside Road
Greenland, NH 03840

'87 MAR 18 P2 45

March 16, 1987

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
DOCKETING AND SERVICE
BRANCH

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sirs:

I am in favor of the rule change that would allow the licensing of Nuclear Power Plants where state and/or local governments decline to co-operate in offsite emergency planning.

Let us stop the delays and get Seabrook Station operational.

James B. Beattie

JBB/st

APR 1 1987

-50
(52 FR 6980) (167)

87 MAR 18 P2 44

OFFICE OF THE
DOCKET
BRANCH

March 15, 1987

Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

As you know, we the people of New Hampshire, as well as most of our country, need nuclear power. We have no coal, oil or gas and cannot depend on Canadian Hydro.

I hope and pray that you will favor the new rule, so that New Hampshire Yankee can go on line and give us some much needed power.

Yours truly,

John D. Russell

John D. Russell
RFD Box 301
Center Ossipee, NH
03814

MAR 20 1987