

3

Portland General Electric Company

Trojan Nuclear Plant 71760 Columbia River Hwy Rainier OR 97048 (503) 556-3713 March 8, 1999

VPN-008-99

Trojan Nuclear Plant Docket 50-344, 72-017 License NPF-1

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Annual Report of the Status of Decommissioning Funding for the Trojan Nuclear Plant (TNP) in Accordance With 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1)

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1), this letter transmits the TNP co-owners' annual report of the status of decommissioning funding for the TNP. This report, provided in Enclosure I to this letter, is based on the most recent analysis of the TNP decommissioning cost estimate and funding plan as incorporated into Revision 6 of PGE-1061, "Trojan Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Plan."

For convenience, a copy of Section 5, "Decommissioning Cost Estimate and Funding Plan," of the TNP Decommissioning Plan, Revision 6, is provided in Enclosure II. The decommissioning cost estimate and funding plan is updated to reflect actual expenditures and fund balances through December 31, 1998. The cost estimate revision also incorporates the actual inflation rate for 1997, which had been estimated in the previous revision, and reflects updated projections associated with staffing, radiological waste burial costs, and work schedules.

Sincerely,

Stephen M. Quennoz Vice President, Nuclear and Thermal Operations

Enclosures

C: L. H. Thonus, NRC, NRR
 T. J Kobetz, NRC, NMSS
 R. A. Scarano, NRC Region IV
 David Stewart-Smith, OOE
 A. Bless, OOE

9903170331 990308 PDR ADUCK 05000344 W PDR Enclosure I VPN-008-99 March 8, 1999 Page 1 of 4

Annual Report of the Status of Decommissioning Funding for the Trojan Nuclear Plant in Accordance With 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1)

As required by 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1), this report constitutes Portland General Flectric's (PGE's) annual report of the status of decommissioning funding for the Trojan Nuclear Plant (TNP). The information provided herein is based on the most recent analysis of the TNP decommissioning cost estimate and funding plan as detailed in Section 5 of PGE-1061, "Trojan Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Plan," Revision 6. For convenience, a copy of Section 5, "Decommissioning Cost Estimate and Funding Plan," of the TNP Decommissioning Plan, Revision 6, is provided in Enclosure II to VPN-008-5" concurrently with this report.

10 CFR 50.75(f)(1) states, in part:

Each power reactor licensee shall report, on a calendar-year basis, to the NRC by March 31, 1999, ... on the status of its decommissioning funding for each reactor or part of a reactor that it owns. The information in this report must include, at a minimum:

- 1. The amount of decommissioning funds estimated to be required pursuant to 10 CFR 50.75(b) and (c);
- 2. The amount accumulated to the end of the calendar year preceding the date of the report:
- 3. A schedule of the annual amounts remaining to be collected;
- 4. The assumptions used regarding rates of escalation in decommissioning costs, rates of earnings on decommissioning funds, and rates of other factors used in funding projections;
- 5. Any contracts upon which the licensee is relying pursuant to paragraph (e)(1)(v) of this section;
- Any modifications occurring to a licensee's current method of providing financial assurance since the last submitted report; and
- 7. Any material changes to trust agreements.

This report addresses the content and schedular requirements of 10 CFR 50.75(f)(1) as follows:

1. The amount of decommissioning funds estimated to be required pursuant to 10 CFR 50.75(b) and (c).

The amount of funds estimated to be required to decommission the TNP has been determined based on a TNP-specific cost estimate prepared by PGE with assistance from TLG Services, Inc. (TLG). As indicated in the TNP Decommissioning Plan, Section 5.1 and Table 5.1-1, the total costs in 1997 dollars are estimated to be approximately \$239,893,000 for radiological decommissioning activities, approximately \$51,138,000 for nonradiological decommissioning

Enclosure I VPN-008-99 March 8, 1999 Page 2 of

activities (site restoration), and approximately \$132,527,000 for dry spent fuel storage. Costs associated with securing and maintaining decommissioning financial assurance and bridging funds are projected to total approximately \$9,566,000. A detailed schedule of the TNP decommissioning and spent fuel management costs, totaling approximately \$433,124,000 of decommissioning trust fund-related expenditures, is provided in Section 5.1 and Table 5.1-2 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan.

2. The amount accumulated to the end of the calendar year preceding the date of the report.

The table below reflects the amount of decommissioning funds accumulated by the TNP coowners through December 31, 1998. Each of the TNP co-owners separately collect and maintain funds for the decommissioning of the TNP. These funds are collected through rates and deposited to external trust funds in accordance with 10 CFR 50.75. Additional details of the TNP decommissioning funding plans and schedules for each of the TNP co-owners are provided in Section 5.3 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan.

Status of Decommissioning Trust Funds As of December 31, 1998

TNP Co-Owner	Fund Balance as of 12/31/98 ^a
Portland General Electric	\$62,858,000
Eugene Water & Electric Board (EWEB)/ Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)	\$14,207,000
Pacific Power & Light (PP&L)	\$3,387,000
Total	\$80,452,000

^a The 1998 end-of-year trust fund balances include an adjustment for trust expenditures incurred in November and December 1998 that were not paid out of the trusts in 1998.

3. A schedule of the annual amounts remaining to be collected.

The decommissioning trust fund cash flow fc each of the TNP co-owners is described in Section 5.3 and quantified in Tables 5.3-2 through 5.3-4 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan. As detailed in Section 5.3.2 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan, each TNP co-owner maintains a decommissioning fund collection schedule which ensures that each co-owner's portion of the decommissioning activity expenditures will be fully funded. These trust fund contribution

schedules are based on fonding requirements for both radiological and nonradiological decommissioning costs, as well as financing costs and specific spent fuel management costs including planning, design, construction, operation and maintenance (O&M), and decommissioning of an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI). The collection schedules do not include funding for spent fuel pool O&M costs since these costs are being paid with O&M budget funds rather than decommissioning trust funds.

4. The assumptions used regarding rates of escalation in decommissioning costs, rates of earnings on decommissioning funds, and rates of other factors used in funding projections.

The following table provides the TNP co-owners' assumptions regarding escalation, earnings, and interest rates used to project the TNP decommissioning cost and funding schedules as reflected in Section 5 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan.

Assumptions Regarding Escalation, Earnings, and Interest Rates

TNP Co-Owner	Escalation Factor ^a (%)	Trust Fund Earnings Rate ^b (%)	Bridge Loan Interest Rate ^c (%)	Line of Credit Fees ^d (%)
PGE	2.37	°5.27 ^f 4.14	⁸ 5.55	0.35
BPA/EWEB	2.37	5	N/A	N/A
PP&L	2.37	4.5	N/A	0.5

^a The escalation rate assumption of 2.37% represents the average of WEFA projected inflation rates for 1999 through 2023.

^b Each TNP co-owner assumed a trust fund earnings rate based on recent fund earning performance with consideration for projected near-term growth and conservatism.

^c Bridge loans for BPA and PP&L are not projected to be necessary.

^d BPA plans to use a letter of intent, rather than a line of credit, to provide financial assurance in accordance with 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(iv).

^e This rate is applied to the qualified portion of PGE's trust fund.

f This rate is applied to the non-qualified portion of PGE's trust fund.

^g The yield spread portion of this value is the average of the WEFA forecasted 5-year note for 2000 and 2001 (the years in which it is projected that the largest bridge loans may be secured) less projected inflation.

Enclosure I VPN-008-99 March 8, 1999 Page 4 of 4

5. Any contracts upon which the licensee is relying pursuant to paragraph (e)(1)(v) of this section.

The TNP co-owners do not rely on contractual obligations from customers to satisfy the financial assurance stipu'ations of 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1). For PGE and PP&L, the financial assurance mechanism will consist of the decommissioning trust fund balance together with a letter of credit in accordance with 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(ii) and (iii). As allowed by 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(iv), BPA, as a Federal government entity fulfilling the decommissioning funding obligations of EWEB, a licensee, will provide financial assurance in the form of a statement of intent.

6. Any modifications occurring to a licensee's current method of providing financial assurance since the last submitted report.

As of December 31, 1998, no modifications have occurred since the last funding plan submittal to the TNP co-owners' planned methods of providing financial assurance that adequate funds will be available to complete radiological decommissioning of the TNP site. A description of the current method each of the TNP co-owners will use to provide financial assurance is provided in Section 5.3.2 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan. As stated above, for PGE and PP&L, the financial assurance mechanism will consist of the decommissioning trust fund balance together with a letter of credit. The methodology used to determine the size of the letter of credit is described in Section 5.3.2.1 of the TNP Decommissioning Plan. BPA, as a Federal government exity fulfilling the decommissioning funding obligations of EWEB, a licensee, will provide financial assurance in the form of a statement of intent in accordance with 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(iv).

7. Any material changes to trust agreements.

The TNP co-owners have not made any material changes to the decommissioning trust agreements since the last funding plan submittal.

Enclosure II to VPN-008-99

Section 5, "Decommissioning Cost Estimate and Funding Plan" of PGE-1061, "Trojan Nuclear Plant Decommissioning Plan," Revision 6

5. DECOMMISSIONING COST ESTIMATE AND FUNDING PLAN

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(4), the TNP-specific cost estimate and funding plan as incorporated into this section provide:

- 1. An updated estimate of total and remaining TNP decommissioning costs;
- 2. A comparison of the estimated costs with present funds set aside for decommissioning; and
- 3. The plan for assuring the availability of adequate funds for completion of decommissioning and release of the TNP site for unrestricted use.

5.1 DECOMMISSIONING COST ESTIMATE

This section provides the results of and basis for a cost estimate prepared by PGE with assistance from TLG Services, Inc. (TLG) for the decommissioning of TNP. Incorporated into this cost estimate are costs of activities involved in radiological decommissioning necessary for termination of TNP's Part 50 license, as well as expenditures necessary to complete nonradiological site restoration activities. The costs of removal and disposal of nonradioactive structures and materials beyond that necessary for license termination have been identified separately from radiological decommissioning costs.

Also separately identified are cost projections and funding requirements for the onsite management of irradiated fuel until possession and title of the irradiated fuel is transferred to DOE for ultimate disposal. The description of the spent fuel management costs and associated funding plan provided in this section, together with the description of the spent fuel management program in Section 3.3.1, fulfill the requirements of 10 CFR 50.54(bb).

5.1.1 COST ESTIMATE RESULTS

Summarizing the results of the TNP cost estimate, Table 5.1-1 provides estimates of total decommissioning costs as well as decommissioning costs that remain as of January 1, 1999. As indicated in Table 5.1-1, the costs (in 1997 dollars) for the selected decommissioning alternative are estimated to total approximately \$239,893,000 for radiological decommissioning activities, approximately \$51,138,000 for nonradiological decommissioning activities (site restoration), and approximately \$132,527,000 for dry spent fuel storage. Costs associated with securing and maintaining decommissioning financial assurance and bridging funds are projected to total approximately \$9,566,000. A detailed schedule of TNP's decommissioning and spent fuel management costs, totaling approximately \$433,124,000 of decommissioning trust fund-related expenditures, is provided in Table 5.1-2 and described in Section 5.1.2.

5-1 Revision 6

5.1.2 COST ESTIMATE DESCRIPTION

The initial Decommissioning Plan decommissioning cost estimate was based largely on the TNP-specific cost estimate performed for PGE by TLG Services, Inc. in May 1994. The methodology used to develop the cost estimate followed the approach presented in AIF/NESP-036, "Guidelines to Producing Decommissioning Cost Estimates," and the DOE "Decommissioning Handbook." These guidance documents utilize a unit cost factor method for estimating decommissioning activity costs. Unit cost factors incorporate site-specific considerations whenever practicable. Using plant drawings and inventory documents, quantities and volumes of the equipment and material to be removed during decommissioning were estimated. Unit cost factors were applied to the volumes and quantities to estimate the "activity dependent" costs. "Period dependent" costs were determined from a critical path schedule based on the removal activity duration.

At the end of each year, PGE updates the decommissioning cost estimate based on actual decommissioning progress and with an estimate of remaining costs based on the best available information about the remaining scope of the decommissioning effort. The update generally results in changes to the timing of fund expenditures, and may reflect changes to the scope of major projects. The cost estimate reflects updated staffing requirements, remaining scheduled decommissioning equipment removal efforts, adjustments for current radioactive waste disposal volumes and costs, and an update of the estimate to disposition non-radiological hazards.

The results of PGE's decommissioning cost estimate have been incorporated into Table 5.1-2, which provides a comprehensive expenditure schedule for the decommissioning of TNP. This table incorporates an annual breakdown of projected costs associated with radiological and nonradiological decommissioning, spent fuel management, and decommissioning expenditure financing activities. The decommissioning cost estimate expenditure schedule contained in Table 5.1-2 is described in the remainder of this section.

5.1.2.1 Radiological Decommissioning Costs

The cost schedule for radiological decommissioning activities is incorporated into Table 5.1-2, which reflects the results of the decommissioning cost estimate for TNP. Consistent with current NRC policy, the TNP decommissioning cost estimate considers radiological decommissioning costs to be only those costs associated with normal decommissioning activities necessary for termination of the Part 50 license and release of the site for unrestricted use. The decommissioning cost estimate does not include in radiological decommissioning costs those costs associated with spent fuel management or the disposal of nonradioactive structures and materials beyond that necessary to terminate TNP's Part 50 license.

5-2 Revision 6

Radiological decommissioning activity costs are separately identified in Table 5.1-2 as "DECON/License Termination." Burial costs were derived from PGE modeling and analysis of low-level radioactive waste disposal costs as updated in early 1999, which more conservatively reflect projected burial rates. Contingencies were applied to each area of the cost estimate (i.e., decontamination and dismantlement, waste disposal, final survey, etc.) at appropriate rates. No credit was taken for equipment salvage value.

Standard ongoing financial controls have been established and executed to ensure funds are expended consistent with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8). Throughout the budgetary process and budget year, costs associated with new projects or activities are evaluated to determine their correct cost classification, i.e., fuel management, radiological, nonradiological decommissioning, etc. As a result, only costs which meet the intent of the TNP Decommissioning Plan and TNP License Termination Plan, upon approval, are submitted for reimbursement from the decommissioning trust. Periodically, variances between the estimate and actual costs will be reviewed as they relate to the total cost estimate to provide assurance that the cost estimate continues to be reasonable. This complies with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(A). In addition, PGF corporate fincance personnel review the TNP co-owners' trust fund activity and balance periodically. Any significant activity which is inconsistent with the Decommissioning Plan and License Termination Plan, upon approval, would be brought to the attention of TNP management.

The decommissioning cost estimate reflects costs in 1997 dollars, and has been updated to account for work performed through 1998 where TNP expended funds for decommissioning activities. The decommissioning cost estimate reflects updated staffing requirements, remaining scheduled decommissioning equipment removal efforts, and adjustments for radioactive waste disposal volumes and costs to reflect the latest burial cost projections.

Costs required to maintain spent fuel in a safe storage condition are not funded by the trust fund while the spent fuel remains in wet storage. Once the spent fuel is transferred to dry storage, there are sufficient trust fund annual contributions to cover annual costs. This is described in Sections 5.2 and 5.3.2 and Table 5.1-2. This complies with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(B).

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.82(a)(8)(i)(C) and 10 CFR 50.75(e), the TNP co-owners periodically assess the financial assurance amount required to complete radiological decommissioning. The established financial assurance mechanisms (i.e., letter of credit) are adjusted as necessary to ensure that the sum of trust fund balances and letter of credit amounts equals the amount needed to complete radiological decommissioning. "Bridge" funds are described in Section 5.3.

5-3 Revision 6

5.1.2.2 Nonradiological Decommissioning Costs

Although not required by NRC regulations, the decommissioning cost estimate for TNP incorporates nonradiological decommissioning costs, as indicated in Table 5.1-2. The TNP decommissioning cost estimate considers nonradiological decommissioning costs to be those costs associated with site remediation and demolition and removal of uncontaminated structures. The decommissioning cost estimate does not include in nonradiological decommissioning costs those costs associated with spent fuel management or radiological decommissioning activities.

5.1.2.3 Spent Fuel Management Costs

Implementation costs associated with spent fuel management are reflected in the projected cost schedule for the onsite management of irradiated fuel detailed in Table 5.1-2. Spent fuel management costs begin with ongoing spent fuel pool operation, surveillance, and maintenance activity costs, and continue through ISFSI planning, construction, and operation until possession and title of the irradiated fuel is transferred to the DOE for ultimate disposal (assumed in this estimate to be completed in 2018). As indicated in Table 5.1-2, spent fuel pool operation expenditures are projected to end in early 2000 as a result of the transfer of the spent fuel pool contents to the ISFSI. Costs associated with onsite management of the spent fuel will then involve ISFSI operation, maintenance, and surveillance expenditures. Finally, upon transfer of the ISFSI contents to an offsite repository, spent fuel management costs end in 2018 with final expenditures necessary for ISFSI decommissioning activities.

PGE has analyzed spent fuel operations and maintenance costs related to storage in both the spent fuel pool and the ISFSI. The methodology used in this analysis considered plant-specific values, as applicable, for labor, material, and outside professional services requirements as well as for other distributed items such as overheads, property and liability insurance, regulatory fees, fire protection activities, and power usage. The results of this analysis were then incorporated into the decommissioning cost study.

5.1.2.4 Financial Activity Costs

Additional costs may be incurred by each TNP co-owner as necessary during decommissioning to secure and maintain assurance that adequate funds will be available to complete radiological decommissioning of the TNP site, and to secure loans or other "bridging" mechanisms to augment existing funds to cover near-term decommissioning costs. The financial assurance costs (e.g., letter of credit and loan interest fees) indicated in Table 5.1-2 are based on the basis points and projected amount of required financial assurance appropriate for each co-owner as described in Section 5.3, "Decommissioning Funding Plan." The loan costs in Table 5.1-2 are based on the interest rate and loan amount appropriate for each TNP co-owner requiring

5-4 Revision 6

financial bridging as described in Section 5.3. The method which each co-owner will use to provide the required financial assurance mechanism and bridging funds is described in detail in Section 5.3.

5.2 SPENT FUEL MANAGEMENT FUNDING PLAN

Spent fuel management costs are segregated in Table 5.1-2 into spent fuel pool operation costs and dry storage (ISFSI) costs. Ongoing costs associated with the storage of spent fuel and other high-level radioactive waste in the spent fuel pool are currently incorporated into the TNP O&M budget, and are expected to continue to be funded in this manner until the contents of the spent fuel pool are transferred to the ISFSI. Costs associated with dry storage activities, including ISFSI planning, construction, O&M, and decommissioning, as reflected under the column heading "Dry Storage" in Table 5.1-2, will be funded with decommissioning trust funds collected for that purpose. Additional details on the decommissioning trust fund collections for each TNP co-owner are provided in Section 5.3.

5.3 DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING PLAN

5.3.1 CURRENT DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING CAPABILITIES

Each of the TNP co-owners separately collect and maintain funds for the decommissioning of TNP. These funds are collected through rates and deposited to external trust funds in accordance with 10 CFR 50.75. Because the TNP was shutdown prematurely, the external trust funds established by the TNP co-owners currently contain only a portion of the total amount needed for site radiological decommissioning. Table 5.3-1 summarizes the status of the TNP co-owners' decommissioning trust funds as of December 31, 1998.

The NRC's general policy requires, prior to the start of the Decontamination and Dismantlement Period, either funds needed for decommissioning (as the term "decommission" is defined in 10 CFR 50.2, "Definitions") to be available or an appropriate financial vehicle to be secured and maintained that will assure the availability of adequate funds for completion of radiological decommissioning. As indicated above, the trusts established by the TNP co-owners for decommissioning will not contain the funds necessary for completion of radiological decommissioning prior to the start of the Decontamination and Dismantlement Period. Thus prior to commencing this period, each TNP co-owner is required to secure a financial assurance mechanism allowed by 10 CFR 50.75(e). This financial assurance must be maintained until termination of TNP's Part 50 license. Furthermore, during the Decontamination and Dismantlement Period, a co-owner's decommissioning trust fund balance may be reduced to a point where it will be necessary in certain instances to borrow or otherwise provide "bridging" funds to complete decontamination activities and allow scheduled collections to restore the decommissioning trust fund balance.

5-5 Revision 6

5.3.2 TNP CO-OWNERS' DECOMMISSIONING FUNDING PLANS

Each of the TNP co-owners has established a program in conjunction with specified goals for the collection of funds for the decommissioning of TNP. Each TNP co-owner maintains a decommissioning fund collection schedule which ensures that each co-owner's portion of the decommissioning activity expenditures will be fully funded. These trust fund contribution schedules are based on funding requirements for both radiological and nonradiological decommissioning costs, as well as financing costs and specific spent fuel management costs including planning, design, construction, O&M, and decommissioning of an ISFSI. These collection schedules do not include funding for spent fuel pool O&M costs since these costs are being paid with O&M budget funds rather than decommissioning trust funds. The decommissioning trust fund cash flow for each of the TNP co-owners, based on the expenditure schedule in Table 5.1-2 and the co-owner contribution schedules, is described below.

5.3.2.1 PGE Funding

Table 5.3-2 provides PGE's decommissioning trust fund cash flow in nominal dollars (2.37% escalation) during decommissioning. The trust fund expenditures described in this table are PGE's share (67.5%) of the expenditures described in Table 5.1-2, with the exception of spent fuel poo! O&M costs since these costs are being paid with O&M budget funds rather than decommissioning trust funds. The trust fund contributions listed in Table 5.3-2 are based upon PGE's decommissioning trust fund contribution schedule which ensures that PGE's portion of the decommissioning activity expenditures will be fully funded.

Projected requirements for bridging funds have been incorporated into PGE's decommissioning trust fund cash flow. As previously discussed, PGE's external trust fund currently contains only a portion of the total amount needed for PGE's share of site radiological decommissioning costs. Based on the decommissioning trust fund cash flow analysis presented in Table 5.3-2, bridging funds are anticipated to be required in the year 2000 to complete decontamination activities and allow scheduled collections to restore the decommissioning trust fund balance. Projected interest on bridging funds has been incorporated into PGE's trust fund cash flow as indicated in Table 5.3-2.

In addition, because the trusts established by the TNP co-owners for decommissioning will not contain the funds necessary for completion of radiological decommissioning prior to the start of the Decontamination and Dismantlement Period, each TNP co-owner must secure a financial assurance mechanism allowed by 10 CFR 50.75, and maintain this assurance until termination of TNP's Part 50 license. PGE's financial assurance mechanism will consist of the decommissioning trust fund balance together with a letter of credit. Because financial assurance will be maintained only for radiological decommissioning activities, the methodology used to determine the size of the letter of credit ensures that if a given amount of

Revision 6

the decommissioning trust fund is used for purposes other than radiological decommissioning activities during a current year, the portion of the financial assurance provided by the letter of credit must be increased by the same amount. This methodology can be summarized as follows:

$$L_{fa} = T_1 - T_2 + T_3$$
 where

L_{fa} = Letter of Credit Portion of Financial Assurance Needed for Current Year

T₁ = Total costs of remaining radiological decommissioning activities

T₂ = Current decommissioning trust fund balance

T₃ = Portion of trust balance planned for non-radiological costs during current year

Financial assurance for remaining radiological decommissioning activities will be calculated at the beginning of each year and will be periodically reviewed during each year to ensure that an adequate level of financial assurance is maintained.

5.3.2.2 EWEB/BPA Funding

BPA is obligated through Net Billing Agreements to pay costs associated with EWEB's share of TNP, including decommissioning and spent fuel management costs. BPA will fulfill the decommissioning funding obligations of EWEB, including providing financial assurance for EWEB's portion of decommissioning costs in a manner stipulated in 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(iv) for Federal government licensees. Table 5.3-3 provides BPA/EWEB's decommissioning trust fund cash flow in nominal dollars (2.37% escalation) during decommissioning. The trust fund expenditures described in this table are BPA/EWEB's share (30%) of the expenditures described in Table 5.1-2, with the exception of spent fuel pool O&M costs since these costs are being paid with O&M budget funds rather than decommissioning trust funds. The trust fund contributions listed in Table 5.3-3 are based upon BPA/EWEB's decommissioning trust fund contribution schedule which ensures that BPA/EWEB's portion of the decommissioning activity expenditures will be fully funded.

Projected requirements for bridging funds have been incorporated into BPA/EWEB's decommissioning trust fund cash flow. As previously discussed, BPA/EWEB's external trust fund currently contains only a portion of the total amount needed for BPA/EWEB's share of site radiological decommissioning costs. Based on the decommissioning trust fund cash flow analysis presented in Table 5.3-3, bridging funds will be required to complete decontamination activities and allow scheduled collections to restore the decommissioning trust fund balance. These bridging funds are not expected to incur interest costs since BPA, as a government entity, will provide the additional decommissioning funding when necessary according to the schedule listed in Table 5.3-3.

As allowed by 10 CFR 50.75(e)(1)(iv), BPA, as a Federal government entity fulfilling the decommissioning funding obligations of EWEB, a licensee, will provide financial assurance in the form of a statement of intent. The statement of intent will contain a reference to the TNP decommissioning cost estimate described in Section 5.1, indicating that funds for radiological decommissioning will be obtained when necessary.

5.3.2.3 PP&L Funding

Table 5.3-4 provides PP&L's decommissioning trust fund cash flow in nominal dollars (2.37% escalation) during decommissioning. The trust fund expenditures described in this table are PP&L's share (2.5%) of the expenditures described in Table 5.1-2, with the exception of spent fuel pool O&M costs since these costs are being paid with O&M budget funds rather than decommissioning trust funds. The trust fund contributions listed in Table 5.3-4 are based upon PP&L's decommissioning trust fund contribution schedule which ensures that PP&L's portion of the decommissioning activity expenditures will be fully funded.

Based on the decommissioning trust fund cash flow analysis presented in Table 5.3-4, PP&L's decommissioning trust balance will remain adequately funded during decommissioning such that bridging funds will not be required. However, because the trusts established by the TNP co-owners for decommissioning will not contain the funds necessary for completion of radiological decommissioning prior to the start of the Decontamination and Dismantlement Period, PP&L must secure a financial assurance mechanism allowed by 10 CFR 50.75, and maintain this assurance until termination of TNP's Part 50 license. PP&L's financial assurance mechanism will consist of the decommissioning trust fund balance together with a letter of credit. The methodology for determining the size of the letter of credit is as described in Section 5.3.2.1, "PGE Funding."

5-8 Revision 6

Table 5.1-1
Estimate of "Trust Fund" Decommissioning Costs (1997 dollars)

	Total (Start-to-Finish) Costs	Total Costs Remaining as of January 1, 1999
Radiological (NRC) Decommissioning Costs		
Reactor Vessel and Internals Removal and Disposal	25,898,000	14,386,000
Dismantlement, Decontamination, and Remediation	157,200,000	97,853,000
Waste Disposal	38,233,000	23,888,000
Final Survey	18,562,000	17,802,000
Total	239,893,000	153,929,000
Nonradiological Decommissioning Costs		
Site Restoration	51,138,000	50,517,000
Total	51,138,000	50,517,000
Dry Spent Fuel Management Costs		
ISFSI Construction and Decommissioning	62,925,000	41,109,000
ISFSI Operation and Maintenance	69,602,000	69,496,000
Total	132,527,000	110,605,000
Financing Costs		
Financial Assurance	461,000	461,000
Decommissioning Bridge Loans	9,105,000	9,105,000
Total	9,566,000	9,566,000
Total Trust Fund Expenditures	\$433,124,000	\$324,617,000

Table 5.1-2
Decommissioning Cost Estimate f
Itemized Decommissioning Ex
(1997 \$ x 100

		Total Trust Expenditures														
Year	Total Radiological Decommissioning Expenditures	Total Nonradiological Decommissioning Expenditures	Total Spent Fuel Management Expenditures	Total Financing Activity Expenditures	Total Combined Trust Expenditures	DECON / License Termination										
1993	0	0	0	0	0	Annual processing										
1994	7,992	0	0	0	7,992	7,99										
1995	15,837	0	1,102	0	16,939	15,83										
1996	8,529	492	3,144	0	12,165	8,52										
1997	19,309	45	7,974	0	27,328	19,30										
1998	34,297	86	9,703	0	44,086	34,29										
1999	55,029	8,286	26,354	0	89,669	55,02										
2000	43,324	5,059	12,272	637	61,292	43,32										
2001	39,168	3,083	3,739	1,462	47,452	39,16										
2002	14,672	1,719	3,736	1,930	22,057	14,67										
2003	1,736	335	3,729	1,977	7,777	1,730										
2004	0	304	3,718	1,569	5,591											
2005	0	304	3,703	1,114	5,121	Control to the second s										
2006	0	305	3,681	660	4,646	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T										
2007	0	304	3,655	205	4,164	re de crado antica dos controles descoluciones que a deciman de controles de contro										
2008	0	304	3,621	11	3,936	Charles of the Common and American States and States an										
2009	0	305	3,580	1	3,886											
2010	0	304	3,533	0	3,837	militarian manak lamantanan pakapan di Sarata-dundanah ri Zamadi, audarah sumar sarat di salah saranga										
2011	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	BP-MB of Provided from Enhances Andrews, posed such service, part suspension representations of the contract o										
2012	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	der mit der der en eine Germatiken der der der bestellen der de der der der der der der der der										
2013	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	CONTROL CONTRO										
2014	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	The manufactor of the dynamic St. A ST Program points can account out or good account observed, out with which										
2015	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	THE RESERVENCE OF THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF										
2016	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	THE STATE OF THE S										
2017	0	304	3,476	0	3,780	The second point solution is a second second second of the second second second										
2018	0	10,933	10,951	0	21,884	THE REPORT OF THE PROGRAMMENT AND THE PROGRAMMENT THE PROGRAMMENT AND THE PROGRAMMENT										
2019	0	14,105	0	0	14,105	a Principal transferrance control and "E _e legation transpage accessor L. It or usual accessor a										
2020	0	304	0	0	304	their Annea C. and a september of the County Assessor's recognitive authorises represent the contract of the county of the count										
2021	0	304	0	0	304	Abbert Sterringer von einer der segnetite Arthoris, wilder eine sterret eine einer abble von der vert von der Ab										
2022	0	304	0	0	304	And the second second case absence the second secon										
2023	0	1,825	0	0	1,825											
Total	239,893	51,138	132,527	9,566	433,124	239,893										

or Trojan Nuclear Plant penditure Schedule

APERTURE

Also Avallable on

Conradiological Decommissioning	Spe	ent Fuel Managemo	ent	Finan	-
Critical Constitution on a second Constitution of Constitution	SFP	Dry Sto	rage		
Remediation Activities / Site Restoration	Spent Fuel Pool O & M	ISFSI Construction & Decommissioning	ISFSI O & M	Costs for Maintaining Financial Assurance	Costs of Loans
0		0	0		
0	Material Statement and Statement Assessment Statement St	1,102	0		
492		3,144	0		
45	-Anthony development the second	7,974	0		
86		9,596	107		-
8,286	10,279	24,644	1,710		
5,059	7,709	8,612	3,660	238	39
3,083		0	3,739	153	1,30
1,719		0	3,736	55	1,87
335		0	3,729	15	1,96
304		0	3,718		1,56
304		0	3,703		†,11
305		0	3,681	THE PERSON NAMED OF PARTY AND POST OF THE PERSON NAMED OF T	66
304		0	3,655		20
304		0	3,621	***************************************	1
305		0	3,580	AND STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE P	WHITE COMP. STREET, SAN THE STREET, ST. STREET, ST. ST
304		0	3,533		ATT MANAGEMENT AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF T
304		0	3,476		
304		0	3,476		With a sedar white-room a section of because
304		0	3,476		
304		0	3,476		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY AND TH
304		0	3,476		
304		0	3,476		a the same and or other and ore
304		0	3,476		
10,933		7,853	3,098		
14,105					AT A SECURITY WAS A SECURITY OF THE PARTY OF
304					
304					CONTRACTOR STATE OF THE STATE O
304					-
1,825					
51,138	17,988	62,925	69,602	461	9,105

Revision 6

Table 5.3-1

Status of Decommissioning Trust Funds as of December 31, 1998

Trojan Co-Owner	Fund Balance as of 12/31/981	1
Portland General Electric (PGE)	\$62,858,000	1
Eugene Water & Electric (EWEB)/ Bonneville Power Administration (BPA)	\$14,207,000	1
Pacific Power & Light (PP&L)	\$3,387,000	-
Total	\$80,452,000	1

¹The 1998 end-of-year trust fund balances include an adjustment for trust expenditures incurred in November and December 1998 that were not paid out of the trust in 1998.

.7

Table 5.3-2
Portland General Electric
Decommissioning Trust Fund Cash Flow As Of 12/31/98
(Nominal \$ x 1000)

Credit Fee	I			T			(246)	(162)	(58)	(181)	(01)	T	T	T						T	T	T	1						T	T	T	T	
Letter of Credit	9			-	1		70,306	46,224	16,497	4.472		1	+	+	1	+					-	+	+	+	-								
Bridge Loan Interest Accrual	_		-	-	+		(425)	(1,427)	(2,092)	(2,241)	(1,835)	(1,334)	(808)	(757)	1000	(14)	(E)	-					+	+	+	1	-						
Bridge Loan Funding and Interest Payment	-					16 300	000'01	/CR'R	1,175	(9,554)	(10,866)	(10,804)	(10,744)	(4,633)	1730)	(107)	(61)	(1)	1					-	+	1	+	1	1	1			140 400
FGE . Trust Fund EOY Balance D .	1	1		62,858	14,492	0	0	0 0		0	0	0	0	6,295	17.317	28.975	41 056	63 674	\$10,00	196,26	50,773	48,830	46,723	44,444	41.98.1	18.715	3015	277.0	2,530	220,2	4,246	0	+
Trust Fund Net Earnings		1			548	0	0	C	0		0	0	0	236	644	1,078	1.527	1 992	1 958	0000,	1,836	1,828	1,754	1,673	1,583	710	120	111	100	93	20		17.850
Trust Fund Contributions 8					14,041	14,041	14,041	14,041	14.041	14 041	14.041		14,041	14,041	14,041	14.041	14,041	14.041	628		1	1	1	1			-	-		 	-	+	183,161
Trust Fund Expenditures A				1630 637	(65,833)	(43,583)	(33,836)	(15,158)	(4.471)	(3,175)	(3.237)	(3 297)	(13.36.57)	(3,002)	(3,403)	(3,447)	(3,486)	(3,515)	(3,599)	(3 684)	(3771)	12 00 41	(3,001)	(3,852)	(4,046)	(23,976)	(15,820)	(349)	(358)	(366)	(2.248)		(252,953)
Year	1330	1997	1998	1990	2000	2000	7007	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2000	5002	20102	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2012	1102	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		Total

NOTE 1: Positive numbers indicate cash flow into trust fund; negative numbers indicate cash flow out of trust fund. NOTE 2: Current EOY balance ≈ previous year EOY balance + current year A + B + C + E + H.

Decommissioning Trust Fund Cash Flow As Of 12/31/98 (Nominal \$ x 1000) EWEB / BPA Table 5.3-3

Fund Funding and Interest Accrual			12 207	1			15,038	1,398 6,737	2,150 1,987	2,940 1,411	3,769 1,439	4,640 1,465	5,555	6,515 1,512		8.582						14,724		17,633					040		87	73.060
Trust Fund Trust Fund Net Earnings EOY Balance C D				710		34			1						358	409	462 0	1	01 710					840 17		393			51			8,736
Trust Fund Contributions				2,618	2749	650	RED	650	SKO GKO	000	000	000	000	650	650	099	650	650	650	SED	000	neo	069	650	0	0	0	0	0	0		16,417
Trust Fund Expenditures A	1	1		(27,979)	(19,374)	(15,038)	(6.737)	(1.987)	(1411)	(1 430)	(1 465)	(1 490)	(14 542)	(710'1)	(7555,1)	(1,549)	(1,562)	(1,599)	(1,637)	(1676)	(1718)	(1766)	(1,730)	(1,736)	(10,656)	(7,031)	(155)	(159)	(163)	(666)		(112,420)
Year 1996	1007	1000	1330	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2000	2040	2010	11137	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2010	5000	2020	2021	7707	2023		Total

NOTE 3: BPA will provide bridging funds as necessary from their operating budget, and thus will incur no loan costs. NOTE 1 : Positive numbers indicate cash flow into trust fund; negative numbers indicate cash flow out of trust fund.

Financial assurance will be provided by a statement of intent as allowed by 10 CFR 50.75.

Revision 6

Table 6.3-4
Pacific Power & Light
Decommissioning Trust Fund Cash Flow As Of 12/31/98
(Nominal \$ x 1000)

Credit Fee						177	(5)	(3)	(1)																					
Letter of Credit						1.346	961	578	160		+	+	1	1																
Bridge Loan Interest Accrual F	-												+	+	-	1	1		1			1					+	1	1	
Funding and Interest Payment		1											-	1	1	1	-		1		1	1	1		1		1	1		
Trust Fund, EOY Balance			2000	3,367	1,720	704	14	15	155	348	548	755	696	1.191	1421	1 660	1 907	1067	100'1	7007	1,740	1,012	1,000	116,1	100	100	001	200	0	
Trust Fund Net Earnings				400	3	4	2	0	0	4	13	22	31	41	51	61	72	83	81	70	75	77	99	48	17		4	4	2	778
Trust Fund Contributions B				585	Sec	200	200	303	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	307	305	0	0		1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5,021
Trust Fund Expenditures A				(2,332)	(1815)	(1 253)	(561)	(166)	(118)	(120)	(120)	(771)	(124)	(126)	(128)	(129)	(130)	(133)	(136)	(140)	(143)	(146)	(150)	(888)	(586)	(13)	(13)	(14)	(83)	(6,369)
Year	2000	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2000	2000	2008	5008	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	Total

NOTE 1: Positive numbers indicate cash flow into trust fund; negative numbers indicate cash flow out of trust fund. NOTE 2: Current EOY balance a previous year EOY balance + current year A + B + C + E + H.