NRC Form 591
(12-81)
10 CFR 2.201

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

SAFETY INSPECTION

R-1
057

1. LICENSEE	2. REGIONAL OFFICE
Charles of The Ritz Group Ltd Route 35 Holmdel, NJ 07733	U.S. NRC, Region I 475 Allendale Rd King of Prussia, PA 19406

3. DOCKET NUMBER(S)	4. LICENSE NUMBER(S)	5. DATE OF INSPECTION
99990001		March 4, 1988

Licensee:

The inspection was an examination of the activities conducted under your license as they relate to radiation safety and to compliance with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (NRC) rules and regulations and the conditions of your license. The inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews, with personnel, and observations by the inspector. The findings as a result of this inspection are as follows:

[X] 1. Within the scope of this inspection, no violations were observed.

[ ] 2. The inspector also verified the steps you have taken to correct the violations identified during the last inspection. We have no further questions on those actions at this time.

[ ] 3. During this inspection certain of your activities, as checked below, were in violation of NRC requirements. THIS IS A NOTICE OF VIOLATION which is required to be posted in accordance with 10 CFR 19.11.

[ ] A. _____ _____ was not properly posted to indicate the presence of a _____. 10 CFR 20.203(b), (c), (d), (e) or 34.42.

[ ] B. Containers located in _____ were not properly labeled to indicate the presence of radioactive material. 10 CFR 20.203(f)(1), or (i)(2).

[ ] C. _____ of sealed sources were not performed at the proper frequencies. 10 CFR _____ License Condition Number _____.

[ ] D. Records of _____ _____ were not properly maintained. 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.

[ ] E. Documents were not properly posted or otherwise made available. 10 CFR 19.11.

[ ] F. Reports or notifications of _____ were not made in accordance with 10 CFR _____ or License Condition Number _____.

[ ] H. _____

[ ] I. _____

[ ] J. _____

[ ] K. _____

I hereby state that within 30 days the actions described by me to the inspector will be taken to correct the violations identified in the items checked above. This statement of corrective actions is made in accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 2.201. No further response will be submitted unless required by the NRC.

SIGNATURE - LICENSEE	DATE	SIGNATURE - NRC INSPECTOR	DATE
		C. J. Young	3/4/88

ORIGINAL TO LICENSEE

Report No. 88-037
Docket No. 99990001

GENERAL LICENSE STATIC ELIMINATOR DEVICE

COLLECTION FORM

1. Name and Address of General Licensee:

   Charles of the Ritz Group, Inc
   Route 35, Holmdel, NJ 07733

2. Date of Inspection: 3/4/88

   Signature of Inspector(s): [signature]

3. Principal Business of Licensee:

   Cosmetics

4. Purpose for which device(s) are used:

   Remove static & dust from compacts.

5. Device Specifics:

   a. Model Number: 1-902 & 2-210 devices

   b. Activity of Po-210 source ______ mCi

   c. Date Received: 902 in 1/2/88 & 210 in 5/87

   d. Date lease expires: 1/89 & 5/88

6. Did licensee receive 3M notification: Yes ___ No ✓

Licensee Contact: Dan Whalen   Phone # 201-739-9048

Title: Mgr., Mechanical Engrg.

Kenneth Cerra, Dir., Q.A.

Contamination Present ___ Yes ✓ No.

Maximum amt. of contamination detected: Bkgd.

3 devices, all removed & shipped to 3M.

902 shipped 2/6/88 & 210 shipped 2/24/88

Telephone result to nurse from 3M on 2/29/88 stated all clean.

902 Dewn unit clean air @ 80 PSI, filtered & dried. Used 1/25 - 2/26. roughly one weeks production, 4 shipments.

FDA took samples.

Surveyed our standard & compact for the 1/25 - 2/26 production. — BKG.

Report No. [illegible]- 037
Docket No. [illegible]
99990001

7. **Survey:**

a. Has survey been performed by 3M: Yes ✓ No ~~X~~ When performed telephoned results, on clean.

By Consultant: Yes ___ No ✓

If Yes list consultant's name and location:

____

Describe what the consultant/3M did during the visit:

____

b. Survey Performed by Inspector: Oberg

Serial Number of Device: C-11057/902 & B06119 & B06120/210

Direct Survey Of Device: NA alpha dpm/___cm²

Direct Survey Of Work Area: Bkgd (10-20 cpm)
Random compact from production also Bkgd.

Smear Survey of Device: NA alpha dpm/___cm²

Smear Survey of Work Area: Bkgd (10-20 cpm) also wipe w/wet

Survey Instrument Used: Ludlum α & β

Model: 61

Serial No.: 51948

Date of Calibration: 2/29/88 11-21% ε depending on Geometry

(If more than one unit use additional sheets)

If direct survey shows contamination, samples of product must be obtained.

Type of product: ______________________________

____

____

Report No. 88-
Docket No. 99990003

Serial Number of Device: _____

Direct Survey Of Device: _____ alpha dpm/____cm²

Direct Survey Of Work Area: _____
_____
_____
_____

Smear Survey of Device: _____ alpha dpm/____cm²

Smear Survey of Work Area: _____
_____
_____
_____

Survey Instrument Used: _____

Model: _____

Serial No.: _____

Date of Calibration: _____

Serial Number of Device: _____

Direct Survey Of Device: _____ alpha dpm/____cm²

Direct Survey Of Work Area: _____
_____
_____
_____

Smear Survey of Device: _____ alpha dpm/____cm²

Smear Survey of Work Area: _____
_____
_____
_____

Survey Instrument Used: _____

Model: _____

Serial No.: _____

Date of Calibration: _____

Routine ___ Urgent ___

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION I LABORATORY
SAMPLE RECORD SHEET

Non Routine X Date Needed ___ Serial No. 301321

Sample From: Charles of the Ritz Group
Collected By Oberg Date Sent ___
Organization ___

Samples Received: 3-10-88
Analysis Completed: 3-11-88
Notified: ___ Date: ___

Analyzed By: ath
Approved By: JHL

Sample No.	Sample Date	Sample Hour	Sample Description	Anal. for	Inst. used	Quant. used	Date cnld.	Count time	Gross count	Bkgd.	Net count	Eff.		Results ± 1σ dpm/wipe
1			#36			1 wipe			0	0	0	0.224		0 ± 0
2			#37			1 wipe			0		0			0 ± 0
3			SW-44			1 Swab			0		0	0.277		0 ± 0
4			SW-45						0		0			0 ± 0
5			SW-46						1		1			2 ± 2
6			SW-47						1		1			2 ± 2
7			SW-48						2		2			4 ± 3

*Random uncertainties reported ... deviation, 1σ. small negative and other results ≤ 3σ are interpreted by NRC as