NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company Haddam Neck

Docket No. 50-213 License No. DPR-61 EA 88-175

During an NRC special inspection conducted on May 17-20 and May 26 - June 1, 1988, NRC inspectors reviewed the circumstances associated with two examples of a violation of NRC requirements identified by the licensee. The violation involved degradations of vital area barriers. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, (Enforcement Policy) (1988), the violation is set forth below:

License Condition 2.C(2)(7) to Facility Operating License DPR-61 requires the licensee to implement fully and maintain all provisions of the NRC-approved physical security plan.

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, IT IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

10 CFR 73.2 defines building walls as physical parriers when openings in such walls are secured by grates, covers, or doors of sufficient strength such that the integrity of the wall is not lessened by the opening.

Contrary to the above,

1.

THIS PARAGRAPH CONTAINS SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION AND IS NOT FOR PUBLIC DISCLOSURE, IT IS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

2.

This is a Severity Level IV violation. (Supplement IV)

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to this office, with a copy to the Director of Enforcement, the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, DC 20555, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should

include for each violation: (1) the reason(s) for the violation if admitted, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending the response time for good cause shown.

FOR THE NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Original Signed By:
William F. Kane, Director
Division of Reactor Projects

Dated at King of Prussia, Pennsylvania this and day of August 1988