

A-41

7D

~~Handwritten signature~~

RECEIVED

Handwritten signatures: "Zigans" and "Yan" with dates

TO: Mr. Terry Chan, Leader
Civil & Mechanical Team
Membership Book, TRT

FROM: Shou-nien Hou
Mechanical & Piping, TRT

SUBJECT: INTERVIEW WITH ALLEGERS (11/5/84-11/14/84)

Enclosed herewith are summaries of recent interviews with alleged
conductors by TRT members in the Mechanical & Piping area. Highlights
of discussions, interview results, and suggested followup actions, if
any, are included.

Handwritten signature: Shou-nien Hou
Shou-nien Hou
Mechanical & Piping, TRT

Enclosed are
two copies

FOIA-85-59

8607100485 860624
PDR FOIA
GARDE85-59 PDR

R125

Follow up Interview With [REDACTED]

On [REDACTED] the TRT met with [REDACTED] to review with him the conclusions of the TRT's review of his concerns at Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station. The following items were discussed:

(1) All-8 - Category 16

This concern dealt with intimidation of the allegor because he made suggestions on how to redesign some hangers in a tunnel. The TRT told [REDACTED] that it could find no technical concerns and that this allegation had been referred to OI for further review. [REDACTED] said that the transcript of the telephone interview written by OI, on which the TRT asked its review, was incorrect. After [REDACTED] related the incident to the TRT in detail, it was evident that the original conclusion by the TRT was still applicable.

(2) AP-24 through AP-28 Categories 34 and 35

These concerns primarily dealt with the verification and validation of computer programs, seismic spectra, and Class 3 & 5 piping interaction. The TRT told [REDACTED] that all of the computer programs that he referred to, i.e. baseplate and piping analyses programs, had acceptable benchmark documentation. All of these programs also had acceptance traceability of their previous revisions which showed the proper follow-up actions where required.

The TRT also told [REDACTED] that the development of the response spectra described in the FSAR was acceptable and the interaction of the seismic and non-seismic piping had been properly accounted for by the Damage Study Group.

[REDACTED] commented on all the TRT's reviews by stating that he thought the TRT did more work on his concerns than he expected. He also said that he was really concerned more about whether the correct input data was being used in the computer programs to result in a properly designed piping and support system and he could not understand why design criteria was constantly changing. The TRT explained to [REDACTED] the function of QA/QC at a nuclear facility and also said that "growing pains" in design is a normal occurrence. The important fact is that design control is implemented to provide reasonable assurance that the designs are acceptable.