

August 2, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR: Geoffrey Grant, Director, Division of Reactor Safety
FROM: Donald E. Funk Jr., Office Allegation Coordinator
SUBJECT: ALLEGATION RE: FALSIFICATION OF FIREWATCH RECORDS
AT D.C. COOK (AMS NO. RIII-94-A-0118)

On July 18, 1994, this matter was discussed with E. T. Pawlik of the Office of Investigations Region III Field Office, and it was concluded that investigative effort by OI:RIII **may be warranted** for the reason given below. Should additional facts or information relating to possible wrongdoing concerning this allegation become available, please notify me promptly.

This allegation will continue to be carried as "open" in the Allegation Management System pending final resolution/closeout by your Division.

Original signed by
Donald Funk

Donald E. Funk Jr.
Office Allegation Coordinator

Attachments:

1. AMS Form
2. 7/6/94 J. Belanger memo

cc w/attachments:

RAO:RIII
DRP:RIII
RC:RIII
OI:RIII
G. C. Wright
W. D. Shafer
J. R. Creed

BASIS: Since willful wrongdoing was alleged, falsification of firewatch records, DRS should convene an Allegation Review Board, with OI and RC present.

9904200078 990414
PDR FOIA
GUNTER99-76 PDR

9904200078

T/110
5

LTR BPS No. 33: ALLEGATION ACTION PLAN (2 Pages)

ALLEGATION ACTION PLAN
ALLEGATION NO. RIII- 94-A-0118

Licensee: D. C. CookDocket/License No: 50-315 50-316Assigned Division: DRSAttached Pertinent Documents: 1. Memo from Darrell Schrum dated 6/20/94.
2. Memo from Dan Funk dated 8/2/94.I. Division Action

A. Prepared by:

H. A. Walker
Technical Staff8/16/94
Date

B. Reviewed by:

W.D. Shaffer
Section Chief8/16/94
Date

C. Approved by:

[Signature]
Branch Chief8/16/94
DateII. Allegation Review Board Action

Allegation Review Board Membership

H. Walker T. Martin Jackson
Benson Funk Paulik
Wright Sedawitz☒ Approved As Is☐ Approved with Modifications as Documented in Plan.☐ Disapproved for Following Reasons:☒ Yes☐ No ☐ OI ReferralRobert Sedawitz
Allegation Review Board Chairman

I question whether this issue was an allegation in the first place. Are we now to place all licensee, identical issues into the allegation system? JW. RWP

OI will number and close out for low priority because person has been ~~fixed~~ denied access and is no longer on site access list. Her name is on the "Index" list. 8/22/94

Ltr BPs

DRS to review closeout condition report before making decision on closing. RWD

Revised 10/01/91

ALLEGATION/PERIPHERAL ISSUE ACTION PLAN

Concerns and any peripheral issues associated with a concern should be documented on a separate page. Each concern and peripheral issue, if any, should be documented in the followup report as is stated in this plan. If there are several concerns in one area, one page can be used. Otherwise, a separate page should be used for each concern.

☐ Concern No.

☐ Peripheral Issues Associated with
Concern No.

I. Action Evaluation: The following method of resolution is recommended (circle):

- A. Send to Licensee Requesting Response in ____ Days with RIII Followup*
- B. Priority RIII Followup
- C. Followup During Routine Inspection Within ____ Days
- D. Followup with Assistance from OI
- E. No Action - Outside NRC's Charter (describe basis below)
- F. No Action - Without Merit (describe basis below)
- G. Refer to _____
- H. Other (specify) _____

* If the proposal is to send to the licensee, the Action Plan should describe the general areas we expect the licensee to address.

II. Inspector's Actions: The following areas at a minimum will be reviewed during the inspection into the above mentioned concern and/or peripheral issue.

A. Objective

B. Methods

- 1. Persons to be contacted:
- 2. Documents and/or activities to be reviewed:
- 3. Time period to be covered:
- 4. Locations/specific areas to visit:
- 5. Other areas (specify):

Allegation No. RIII- 94-A-0118

ALLEGATION ACTION PLAN

Allegation Number RIII-94-A-0118

This allegation involves the falsification of fire watch records. The problem was identified by licensee personnel and significant action, as documented in attachment 1, has been taken. This attachment also indicates that some follow up was provided by a Region III inspector in June of this year (Inspection Report 315/316/94012(DRS)).

The problem appears to involve one individual, who no longer works at DC Cook. Little could be gained by additional inspection. We therefore recommend that no additional inspection be performed and that the allegation be closed by a memorandum to file.