

## Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20545



'08 OCT 27 P2:48

October 24, 1988

DOCKE

DOCKET AUMBER IS PROPOSED RULE IN

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Attn: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Sir:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project Office and the Division of Uranium Mill Tailings Projects have reviewed the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR) regarding the "Custody and Long-Term Care of Uranium Mill Tailings Sites" and provide the following comments.

- 1. The concept of a general license appears sound and has no adverse impact on the UMTRA Project.
- 2. DOE's "Guidance for UMTRA Project Surveillance and Maintenance" document is consistent with this proposed rule and is applicable for long-term custodial care of Title II mill tailings sites.
- DOE is concerned with the requirements of Paragraph 40.27(b)(2) which states, "If the site will have continuing aquifer restoration requirements, then the SMP must provide details on how restoration is to be completed and how contingencies will be resolved." DOE typically seeks NRC concurrence on remedial actions such as aquifer restoration through a Remedial Action Plan (RAP). The RAP includes information on how the restoration will be accomplished and how contingencies will be resolved in considerable detail. Requiring that this information be provided in a site SMP is redundant.

There are a number of UMTRA sites where tailings will be relocated from a processing site to an alternative disposal cell. Some of these mill sites will require aquifer restoration. Once the tailings and associated contaminated soils have been removed and the ground water is restored at the processing sites, the need for long-term custodial care and thus an SMP for the mill sites in question is no longer appropriate.

DOE recommends this provision be deleted from the proposed rule as the information specified will be submitted to the NRC for its concurrence prior to the initiation or any aquifer restoration activity.

DOE appreciates the opportunity to comment on this proposed rule. Altr WE has found only one provision of the proposed rule to a sectionable, we take the view that surveillance and maintenance

> 811070261 881024 PDR PR 40 53FR32396 PDR

activities should be limited to mill tailings disposal sites and not to remediated processing sites where tailings and other contaminated materials have been relocated and if applicable, ground water cleanup achieved.

Sincerely,

Lally G. Mann Sally A. Mann, Ph.D.

Director, Division of Uranium Mill Tailings Projects Office of Remedial Action

and Waste Technology

Office of Nuclear Energy