

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

EXHIBIT A

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | A | R | A | N | O | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | _____ | 5
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 37 38 58

CONT

01 | R | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9
7 8 9 60 61 66 68 74 75 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

02 | During surveillance testing, CRD "A" breaker did not trip on the first
03 | attempt. The "B" breaker was tested immediately & the "D" breaker
04 | had been tested earlier; but due to an oversight, the "C" breaker
05 | was not tested within the eight-hour period as required by
06 | T.S. 3.5.1.6. Based on all other breakers operating normally &
07 | redundant breakers tested immediately, no danger to public.
08 | Similar to RO 50-313/78-24 occurring on 9/16/78.

09 | R | B | 11 | A | 12 | C | 13 | C | K | T | B | R | K | 14 | A | 15 | Z | 16
7 8 9 11 12 13 18 19 20
17 | 7 | 8 | 21 | - | 23 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 0 | 1 | 28 | 29 | T | 30 | - | 31 | 0 | 32
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
18 | E | 18 | H | 19 | Z | 20 | Z | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | Y | 23 | N | 24 | N | 25 | G | O | B | O | 26
33 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 | The mechanical arm of the trip mechanism was
11 | found to be out of adjustment and was corrected
12 | with vendor assistance. Subsequent testing demonstrated
13 | the breaker would meet surveillance specifications. The
14 | technicians instructed on technical specifications requirements.

15 | E | 28 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 29 | NA | 30 | B | 31 | Surveillance Testing | 32
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46 80
16 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36
7 8 9 10 11 44 45 80
17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39
7 8 9 11 12 43 80
18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | NA | 41
7 8 9 11 12 80
19 | Z | 42 | NA | 43
7 8 9 10 80
20 | N | 44 | NA | 45
7 8 9 10 80

NAME OF PREPARER: C. N. Shively PHONE: 501/968-2519

7810170146

POOR ORIGINAL

1. Reportable Occurrence Report No. 50-313/78-23
2. Report Date: 10/06/78 3. Occurrence Date: 09/25/78
4. Facility: Arkansas Nuclear One - Unit
Russellville, Arkansas 72801
5. Identification of Occurrence:

A breaker in the Reactivity Control System was not tested within time limits as prescribed by Technical Specifications.

6. Conditions Prior to Occurrence:

Steady-State Power	<u> X </u>	Reactor Power	<u> </u> MWth
Hot Standby	<u> </u>	Net Output	<u> </u> MWe
Cold Shutdown	<u> </u>	Percent of Full Power	<u> 100 </u> %
Refueling Shutdown	<u> </u>	Load Changes During Routine Power Operation	<u> </u>
Routine Startup Operation	<u> </u>		
Routine Shutdown Operation	<u> </u>		
Other (specify)			

7. Description of Occurrence:

During surveillance testing, CRD "A" Breaker did not trip on the first attempt. The "B" Breaker was immediately tested as was the "D" Breaker. However, due to an oversight, the "C" Breaker was not tested within the eight hour period as prescribed in Technical Specification 3.5.1.6.

Reportable Occurrence Report No. 50-313/78-23

8. Designation of Apparent Cause of Occurrence:

Design	_____	Procedure	_____
Manufacture	_____	Unusual Service Condition Including Environmental	_____
Installation/ Construction	_____	Component Failure (See Failure Data)	_____
Operator	_____		
Other (specify)	X		

Personnel error (Maintenance and Repair Personnel)

9. Analysis of Occurrence:

Based on other breakers operating normally and redundant breakers being tested immediately, there was no danger of health or safety to the public.

Reportable Occurrence Report No. 50-313/78-23

10. Corrective Action:

The mechanical arm of the trip mechanism was found to be out of adjustment and was corrected with vendor assistance. Subsequent testing demonstrated the breaker would meet surveillance specifications.

The technicians were reinstructed on technical specifications requirements.

11. Failure Data:

Similar Reportable Occurrence Report Number 50-313/78-24 occurred on 9/16/78.