Dave Morey Vice President Farley Project Southern Nuclear Operating Company P.O. Eox 1295 Birmingham, Alabama 35201 Tel 205.992.5131

bebruary 23, 1998



Energy to Serve Your World

10 CFR 50.54(a)(3)

Docket Nos.: 50-348

50-364

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant
Final Safety Analysis Report
Revision to
Quality Assurance Program Changes
Instrumentation Calibration Labeling

Ladies and Gentlemen:

By letter dated November 18, 1997, SNC submitted a request for a change to the Quality Assurance Program pursuant to 10CFR50.54(a). On January 12, 1998, the staff informed Farley personnel via telephone (Zimmerman to Carmack) that changes to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) mark-up page would be needed prior to approval and that the 60 day review period was to be extended to allow the changes to be submitted. A letter dated Janua. 16, 1998 (Zimmerman to Morey) extended the initial 60 day NRC review period.

Attached is a revision to the requested change to the Farley Nuclear Plant (FNP) FSAR Quality Assurance (QA) Program Description for your review and approval. The 50.54 (a)(3) evaluation has not been changed from the original transmitted by letter dated November 18, 1997, therefore, it is not resubmitted.

If there are any questions, please advise

Respectfully submitted,

Dave Morey

EWC/maf:calstkrl.doc

Artachmenis: 1. Mark-Up FSAR page

ec: Mr. L. A. Reyes, Region II Administrator Mr. J. I. Zimmerman, NRR Project Manager Mr. T. M. Ross, Plant Sr. Resident Inspector

9803020029 980223 PDR ADOCK 05000348 PDR



2004/14

ATTACHMENT 1

FSAR Mark-Up Page

FNP-FSAR-17

Results of safety-related tests will identify the test data, data recorder, acceptability, and/or any deficiencies noted. Any noted deficiencies will be resolved with such actions documented and included with original test results.

Operations activities involved with the installation, inspection, and testing of instrumentation, electrical equipment, mechanical equipment, and systems will be accomplished in accordance with Regulatory Guide 1.30, dated August 11, 19/2, Quality Assurance Requirements for the Installation, Inspection, and Testing of Instrumentation and Electrical Equipment (endorses ANSI N45.2.4-1972), and with ANSI N45.2.4 (1874) Supplementary Quality assurance and with ANSI N45.2.8 (1974), Supplementary Quality assurance Requirements or Installation, Inspection and Testing of Mechanical Equipment and Systems for the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power Plants. With the exceptions listed below, Southern Nuclear Operating Company complies with Regulatory Guide 1.30 as addressed in FSAR, section 17.2. Exception is taken to ANSI N45.2.4 (1972) "Installation, Inspection, and Testing Requirements for Instrumentation and Electric Equipment During the Construction Phase of Nuclear Power Generating Stations", section 6.2.1, which states, in part: "Items requiring calibration shall be tagged or labeled on completion indicating date of calibration and identity of person that performed the calibration." Installed process instruments at Plant Farley are identified by unique instrument numbers which are readable at the instrument. These instrument numbers are traceable to calibration schedules and records. These calibration schedules or records contain the same information as required by ANSI N45.2.4 (1972). These schedules or records are readily accessible to personnel who are required to check calibration status as required by governing procedures. These instruments are not tagged or labeled with calibration data. Listed below is a cross-reference between sections of these standards and the FSAR subsections that discuss compliance with these requirements:

FSAR Subsection
NA
NA
13.5.3, 17.2.1 (APC PGS
Department)
NA
NA
13.5.3
17.2.2, 17.2.3
17.2.13, 17.2.1 (APC PGS
Department)
17.2.10, 17.2.11
17.2.12
17.2.16
17.2.7, 17.2.8, 17.2.10, 17.2.13
17.2.10, 17.2.11
13.5.3, 17.2.10, 17.2.13
17.2.11