

SEABROOK STATION Engineering Office

April 16, 1986

Public Service of New Hampshire

SBN- 1006 T.F. 87.1.2

New Hampshire Yankee Division

Washington, DC 20555
Attention: Mr. Vincent S. Noonan, Project Director
PWR Project Directorate No. 5
References: (a) Construction Permits CPPR-135 and CPPR-136, Docket
Nos. 50-443 and 50-444
Subject: NRC Requests for Additional Information

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Dear Sir:

In discussions with our Bethesda Licensing Office during the week of April 7, 1986, the Staff requested additional information/clarifications concerning a few items. In response to these questions, enclosed please find the following:

- Further information concerning EQ operability time to that previously submitted by PSNH letter dated April 3, 1986 (SBN-988) - Attachment 1
- Further information relative to the EFW system modification that was previously submitted by PSNH letter dated April 1, 1986 (SBN-984) - Attachment 2
- Additional information concerning previous hot operational experience of Shift Superintendent - Attachment 3
- o Revised excerpt of FSAR Section 6.2.1.2 (p 6.2-22) -Attachment 4

We trust that the enclosed provides the additional information/clarifications requested by the Staff and request that the acceptability of the enclosed, where applicable, be reflected in the upcoming supplement to Seabrook's SER.

Very truly yours,

John DeVincentis, Director Engineering and Licensing

Enclosures

PDR ADOCK

6504210268 860416

PDR

cc: Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Service List

300

Seabrook Station Construction Field Office * P.O. Box 700 * Seabrook, NH 03874

Diane Curran, Esquire Harmon & Weiss 2001 S. Street, W.W. Suite 430 Washington, D.C. 20009 -

Sherwin E. Turk, Esq. Office of the Executive Legal Director U.S. Muclear Regulatory Commission Tenth Floor Washington, DC 20555

Robert A. Backus, Esquire 116 Lowell Street P.O. Box 516 Manchester, NH 03105

Philip Ahrens, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Department of The Attorney General Statehouse Station #6 Augusta, ME 04333

Mrs. Sandra Gavutis Chairman, Board of Selectmen RFD 1 - Box 1154 Kennsington, MH 03827

Carol S. Sneider, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Department of the Attorney General One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey U.S. Senate Washington, DC 20510 (ATTW: Tom Burack)

Richard A. Hampe, Esq. Hampe and McNicholas 35 Pleasant Street Concord, WH 03301

Donald E. Chick Town Manager Town of Exeter 10 Front Street Exeter, NH 03833

Brentwood Board of Selectmen RFD Dalton Road Brentwood, WH 03833

Peter J. Mathews, Mayor City Hall Newburyport, MA 01950 Calvin A. Canney City Manager City Hall 126 Daniel Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

Stephen E. Merrill, Esquire Attorney General George Dans Bisbee, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 25 Capitol Street Concord, NH 03301-6397

Mr. J. P. Nadeau Selectmen's Office 10 Central Road Rye, MH 03870

Mr. Angle Machiros Chairman of the Board of Selectmen Town of Newbury Newbury, MA 01950

Mr. William S. Lord Board of Selectmen Town Hall - Friend Street Amesbury, MA 01913

Senator Gordon J. Humphrey 1 Pillsbury Street Concord, NH 03301 (ATTN: Herb Boynton)

H. Joseph Flynn, Esquire
Office of General Counsel
Federal Emergency Management Agency;
500 C Street, SW.
Washington, DC / 20472

Paul McEachern, Esquire Matthew T. Brock, Esquire Shaines & McEachern 25 Maplewood Avenue P.O. Box 360 Portsmouth, WH 03801

Gary W. Holmes, Esq. Holmes & Ells 47 Winnacunnet Road Hampton, WH 03841

Mr. Ed Thomas FEMA Region I 442 John W. McCormack PO & Courthouse Boston, MA 02109

Stanley W. Knowles, Chairman Board of Selectmen P.O. Box 710 Worth Hampton, NH 03862 Administrative Judge Helen Hoyt, Chairperson Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Administrative Judge Sheldon J. Wolfe, Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry Harbour Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

ATTACHMENT 1

POST ACCIDENT OPERABILITY TIME, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The following is a comparison of the Engineering justification submitted in SBN-938 with Regulatory Guide 1.89 requirements for equipment required to perform its safety function only within the first ten hours of an event.

For the Feedwater Isolation Valves R.G. 1.89 recommends that the equipment remain functional in the accident environment for a period of at least 1 hour in excess of the time assumed in the analysis. Our analysis conservatively indicated that valve closure will occur in l minute and qualified post accident operating time is 4 hours which meets the recommendation. R.G. 1.89 requires a spectrum of breaks be considered. We have considered a spectrum of break sizes based on the design requirements for "superpipe" which is the type of pipe where the valves are located. The valve closure time was based on the smallest break and the environment based on the largest break. R.G. 1.39 requires consideration for the need of the equipment later in the event or during recovery operations. We stated that the plant would be safely shut down using the Auxiliary Feedwater System, which does not require operation of the Feedwater Isolation Valves (FIV). R.G. 1.89 requires that failure of the equipment after performance of its safety function will not be detrimental to plant safety or mislead the operator. We stated that Control Room indication is provided by Namco 180 Limit Switches which are qualified for 1 year post-accident operation. Any equipment failure causing the FIV to open would not be detrimental because of the F.W. check valves located immediately upstream. R.G. 1.89 requires a determination that the time margin applied to the minimum operability time account for uncertainties associated with the analysis. We concluded the four hour post-accident operability qualification has sufficient margin, and in fact surpassed the time margin required by IEEE 323-1974.

A similar comparison to R.G. 1.89 for the ASCO Temperatures Switches (File 252-38-01) is not practical because the functional requirement is greater than 10 hours and the qualified post-accident qualification time is 30 days. The worst case spectrum of events considered for the PAB location of the temperature switches last for 72 hours therefore, the 30 day post-accident qualification is more than sufficient. The peak temperature is 135°F. As stated previously in SBN-988 the need for this equipment is to automatically start safety grade fans (PAH-FN-42A&B). In the event of a temperature switch failure the fans have a continuous run feature which will override the temperature switch from control room operation. The fans have Class IE Control Room operational indication which is direct from the fan motor control center. A separate high temperature alarm is available to the Control Room in the event of a temperature switch failure. We have determined that the margin for minimum operability time is sufficient and meets the requirement for IEEE 323-1974.

ATTACHMENT 2

EFW SYSTEM MODIFICATIONS, ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

As a result of a telecon discussion between D. A. Maidrand, P. H. Hannes, and NRC NRR personnel (04/09/86), the NRC requested clarification to specific concerns regarding the Seabrook Emergency Feedwater system. The following documents the concerns/questions addressed and provides the appropriate response and resolution.

QUESTION NO. 1

Why didn't MSV-127 and MSV-128 readily open upon receipt of an EFW initiation signal? Clarify how MSV-127 and MSV-128 opened during Hot Functional Testing.

RESPONSE

The functional reason why MSV-127 and MSV-128 didn't readily open is due to the application of these type values as EFW steam supply isolations. MSV-127 and MSV-128 are wedge-type gate values (fail-open). During an EFW system standby condition, there is approximately a 1100 psi differential across these values. This high differential pressure across the value(s) gate contributed to a lagged opening response.

During Hot Functional Testing, it was observed that MSV-127 and MSV-128 didn't simultaneously open upon EFW system initiation. One isolation valve opened followed by opening of the alternate isolation valve shortly thereafter.

QUESTION NO. 2

Why will the new EFW isolation valves (MSV-393, MSV-394) alleviate the opening problem that existed with MSV-127 and MSV-128?

RESPONSE

MSV-127 at. MSV-128 are no longer utilized as EFW steam supply isolation valves. The e valves have been reassigned a "normal open" position. The new EFW steam isolation valves (MSV-393, MSV-394) are globe valves. In the shut position, a steam header pressure of approximately 1100 psi is applied beneath the valve(s) disk. This steam pressure aids the opening of MSV-393 and MSV-394 upon receipt of an EFW initiation signal.

QUESTION NO. 3

When will plant operators take action to close MSV-127 and/or MSV-128?

RESPONSE

a. Steam Generator Tube Rupture Event if necessary.

or

- b. Valve surveillance testing.
- Note: At no time should both MSV-127 and MSV-128 be closed concurrently.

QUESTION NO. 4

When will the EFW pump(s) recirculation line MOVs be orened?

RESPONSE

1

Applicable Seabrook Station Procedures are being updated to reflect opening the EFW recirculation line isolations valves prior to throttling EFW flow to the SGs. This will ensure the minimum required EFW pump(s) recirculation flow. The attached excerpts (pgs. 6&7) are typical of procedural provisions being invoked to ensure the minimum EFW pump(s) recirculation flow is retained during EFW system operation.

| ode: | Г | FOR MUSE | the second | The second second second second |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Nuc. | Symptom/Title | Procedure No. Revision No./Date | | |
| i/a | SAFE SHUTDOWN SHUTDOWN FACI | 0\$1200.02 00 / | | |
| STEP | ACTION/EXPECTED | RESPONSE | RESPONSE NOT | OBTAINED |
| 6 | Check SG Pressur | es: | | |
| | CP-108A | CP-108B | - | |
| | SG-A PI-3173 | SG-B PI-31 | 74 | |
| | SG-C PI-3178 | SG+D PI-31 | 79 | |
| | a. Control SG a dump valves 1100 PSIG UN COMMENCES: | entrol panel control ective, take local of atmospheric ap valve(s). | | |
| | Jog Swit OR Modulati CP-108A | | | |
| | SG-A HIC-300 JOG SW | JOG S | 302 SW | |
| | SG-C HIC-300 | e de la complete de la destruction de la complete d | a stress warpers in a second size of a loss part. | a construction of the second second second second |
| CAU | | mp recirculation | valves be ore throt | tling EFW Flow. |
| CAU 7 | | | valves be ore throt | tling EFW Flow. |
| L | TION OPEN EFW pu | 1: | CP-108B | tling EFW Flow. |
| L | TION OPEN EFW pu Check SG WR Leve | 1: | | tling EFW Flow. |
| L | TION OPEN EFW pu Check SG WR Leve CP-108A | 1: LI-4310 SG-B | CP-108B | tling EFW Flow. |
| L | TION OPEN EFW pu Check SG WR Leve CP-108A SG-A LR-4310, | 1: LI-4310 SG-B LI-4330 SG-D flow control intain SG WR | CP-108B LR-4320, LI-4320 LR-4320, LI-4340 A. <u>IF</u> design valves do use valve | ated EFW flow contro NOT control flow, s on opposite train , <u>OR</u> control locally |
| L | TION OPEN EFW pu Check SG WR Leve CP-108A SG-A LR-4310, SG-C LR-4310, a. Control EFW valves to ma level - BETW | 1: LI-4310 SG-B LI-4330 SG-D flow control intain SG WR | CP-108B LR-4320, LI-4320 LR-4320, LI-4340 A. <u>IF</u> design valves do use valve | ated EFW flow contro NOT control flow, s on opposite train |

and a

06

| | ATTACHMENT | 2 (continued) | SBN-10 |
|------|---|--|--|
| - | Symptom/Title: FOR INFORMATIC | | Procedure No. Revision No./Date: |
| | SAFE SHUTDOWN AND COULDOWN FROM T | the main cont rol. | 051200.01 00 / |
| STEP | ACTION/EXPECTED RESPONSE | RESPONSE NOT O | BTAINED |
| 8 | Perform Applicable Manual Disabling Functions Based On Fire Area/Zone - APPENDIX A WHILE CONTINUING WITH THIS PROCEDURE | | |
| y | Check SG Pressures: a Control available SG ASDVs - MAINTAIN 1100 PSIG UNTIL COOLDOWN COMMENCES | | ASDVs in jog mode - OR - control of SG |
| | CAUTION: Open EFW Pump Recircular Throttling EFW Flow. | tion Valve(s) Befo | ore |
| 10 | Check SG WR Level: a Maintain SG level: BETWEEN 5% AND 50% NR - OR - BETWEEN 65% AND 90% WR | | W flow control necessary. |
| 11 | Check RCS Pressure: a Maintain PRZR pressure - BETWEEN 2100 AND 2250 PSIG • Proportional heater group • Backup heater group C • Backup heater group D | are ineffe the follow Backup Backup b. <u>IF</u> pressur decrease, closed <u>OR</u> Verify Au CS-V18 | heater group A heater group B re continues to <u>THEN verify PORVs</u> close block valves. - AND - x spray isolated: 5 - CLOSED |
| | | • CS-V14 | - OR - 2 - CLOSED - OR - 3 - CLOSED |

6 of 25

S3N-1006

Seabrcok Station SHIFT SUPERINTENDENT Previous Experience (Months)

| NAME | AGE | PREVIOUS NRC LIC. | - EDUC College Credits | ATION - Degree | MILITARY NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE | COMMERCIAL NUCLEAR EXPERIENCE | - OTHER EXPERIENCE Test Relate Reactor Fossil Exp. | HOT OP EXP. RO/SR | |
|----------------|-----|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|-------|
| | | 00/000 | | BS | 58 EWS | 57 | | 6/1 | (PWR) |
| David, MR | 39 | RO/SRO | | BS | 69 ERS | 72 | | 49/0 | (PWR) |
| Fritz, LH | 38 | RO | | | 08 LAS | 144 | 36 | 30/11 | (PWR) |
| Madel, EV | 52 | RO/SRO | | AS | | | 00 | 55/0 | (PWR) |
| Peterson, JL | 39 | RO | 176 | | 36 EWS | 69 | | | (BWR) |
| Strickland, RG | 36 | RO | | BS | 24 ERS | 81 | | 41/0 | |
| Thompson, RB | 40 | RO | | BS | 60 EWS | 61 | | 13/0 | (PWR) |
| Staff: | | | | | | | | | |
| Walsh, LA | 45 | RO/SRO | 118 | | 28 EOOW | 114 | 28 | 48/72 | (PWR) |
| Grillo, JM | 39 | RO/SRO | | BS, AS | 36 ERS | 104 | | 48/12 | (PWR) |
| Malone, JM | 40 | 110,0110 | | BS | 72 EOOW | | 40 | 0/0 | |

Attachment 4

SBN- 1006

47

41

SB 1 & 2 FSAR Amendment 54 February 1985

3. Pressurizer Compartment

The pressurizer compartment is a reinforced concrete structure extending from El. 0'-0" to El. 63'-0" which encloses the pressurizer and its associated piping. The pressurizer skirt, which is a cylindrical support extending from the bottom of the pressurizer, anchors the pressurizer to the compartment floor. A ring support at El. 23'-6 3/4" provides lateral support for the pressurizer. Section and plan drawings of the pressurizer compartment are shown in Figures 6.2-35 through 6.2-40. Free volumes and vent areas have been calculated assuming the insulation remains intact during the transient. The HVAC ducting and sheet metal panels at elevation 16'-6" are designed to blow out in the event of a pressure buildup of 0.25 psig in the compartment to prov de additional vent area. The total free volume of the compartment used in the analysis is 6638 cu. ft. and the total vent area to the containment is 400 sq. ft.

4. Pressurizer Skirt Cavity

The pressurizer skirt cavity is formed by the bottom of the pressurizer and its supporting skirt. A 14" surge line which connects the reactor coolant system with the pressurizer passes through a 5½ ft. diameter opening in the pressurizer compartment floor. Figures 6.2-41 and 6.2-42 show the plan and elevation drawings of the pressurizer skirt cavity. The volume below the pressurizer skirt has a large vent opening to the containment. The total free volume of the skirt cavity is 1860 cu. ft. and the total vent area to the containment is 238 sq. ft. The insulation on the pressurizer and on the surge line is assumed intact in calculating the free volume and vent openings.

c. Design Evaluation

1. Mass and Energy Release Data

The mass and energy release data for all the breaks considered for the subcompartment analyses has been generated by Westinghouse. Discussions of the blowdown model are provided in Reference O

2. Computer Code for Subcompartment Pressurization Analysis

The subcompartment pressure transients were calculated using COMPRESS - a digital computer program. A detailed description of the analytical method can be found in Appendix 15C and Reference 2. Some important aspects of the method are outlined below: