(4-95)		LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACA TO INDUSTRY FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IT. 3 F331, U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASI WIGTON, DC 20555-0031, AND TO THE PAPERWOYK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503-								
							each block)											
FACILITY NAM	ME (1)	***************************************	-				Commence of the same season				-	DOCKET NUME	IER (2)	Г	PAGE (3)			
		Mills	stone	Nu	clear Po	wer	Station L	Init 3				050004		1 of 5				
FITLE (4)					TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY.	-				-				L		A		
1	Verifica	ation of	ECC	S Pi	ping Fu	ll of	Water Per	Technic	al Spe	cificati	on 4.5	5.2.5.1 No	t Being Me	et				
EVEN	TDATE	(5)			LER NUM	BER (6)	REPO	RT DAT	E (7)	T	OTHER	FACILITIES	INVO	OLVED (8)			
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR		SEQUENT NUMBE		REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILIT	TY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER				
01	12	98	98	-	002		00	02	07	98	FACILIT	TY NAME		DOCKET NUMBER				
OPERA	TING	5	THIS	REPO	DRT IS SU	BMI	TED PURSU	ANT TO TH	HE REQU	JIREMEN	TSOF	10 CFR 5: (C	Check one or	more	e) (11)			
BACKET IN 1				.2201(b)			20.2203(NAME OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.			50.73(a)(50.73(a)(2)(viii)					
POWER 000			20.2203(a)(1)				20.2203(a)(3)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)				
LEVEL	(10)		2	0.22	03(a)(2)(i)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)	73.71				
			-		(03(a)(2)(20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)		OTHER			
							50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)	Specify in Abstract below or in NRC Form 366A					
	-	-	2	0.22	(03(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)	Or II	n NNC Form 31	DOA		
NAME			-				LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	IS LER (12)							
NAME	D	avid A	Smit	h, N	Manage	r, Ur	nit 3 Regui	latory Co	mplian	ice	1	ELEPHONE NUM	(860)43					
		COMPL	ETE	ONE	LINEF	ORI	EACH COI	MPONEN	TFAIL	URE D	ESCR	IBED IN TH	IS REPOR	T /1	131			
CAUSE	SYST	EM CON	APONEN	MANUFACTURER		REPORTABL TO NPRDS	E	CAUS	and the same of the same of the	STEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER		THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 1				
				T														
	1	-		+														
	-	SUPF	PLEMEN	ITAL	REPORT	EXP	ECTED (14)			1	EXPE	CTED	MONTH		DAY	YEAR		
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					No			SUBMI	SSION	- morere	1	DAT	TEAR					
ABSTRAC	T (Limit	to 1400) space	s, i.€	approx	imate	ely 15 single-	spaced type	awritten	lines) (16)							

On January 12, 1998, with the unit in Mode 5, it was determined from results of a system functional review sponsored by the Nuclear Safety Advisory Board (Ref.: EA 97-562 "Notice of Violation and Independent Corrective Action Verification Program Functional Inspection of Millstone Unit 3 [NRC Inspection Report No. 50-423/97-206]" dated December 5, 1997), that a segment of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) pump suction piping is not periodically verified "full of water". In Modes 1-3, Technical Specifications (TS) require that the ECCS be verified filled at least once every 31 days. The TS is met by venting ECCS pump casings and the accessible discharge piping high points.

Three segments of ECCS pump suction crossover piping were identified as being neither included in, nor specifically excluded from, the verification process. One of these segments, the cross-tie section between the Safety Injection and Charging pumps is a system high point. In the past, the Unit has operated in modes 1 through 3 without periodically verifying that this segment of piping was full of water. Consequently, this condition is being reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), as any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's TS. This condition is historical. The cause of this condition was that plant personnel have historically not considered the ECCS cross-tie piping as "discharge" piping due to wording ambiguities within Technical Specification 4.5.2.b.1 and the associated BASES section. As a result, surveillance procedures were prepared which did not completely verify that applicable high points of the Emergency Core Cooling System piping were filled. There was minimal safety significance because, after normal filling of the system, ultrasonic testing did not reveal the presence of air or gas pockets in the high point piping segment. Corrective actions include revising and performing the ECCS venting procedure to assure that the system is filled. Additionally, a TS BASES change will be prepared and implemented to clarify the ECCS venting process information.

9802200417 980207 PDR ADOCK 05000423 PDR NRC FORM 366A

(4-95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)				
Milistone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3	05000423	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER	
		98	**	002	+.+		2015

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

I. Description of Event

On January 12, 1998, with the unit in Mode 5, it was determined firsh results of a system functional review sponsored by the Nuclear Safety Advisory Board (Ref.: EA 97-562 "Notice of Violation and Independent Corrective Action Verification Program Functional Inspection of Millstone Unit 3 [NRC Inspection Report No. 50-423/97-206]" dated December 5, 1997), that a segment of the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS) pump suction piping is not periodically verified full of water. Verification that the ECCS piping, from the (injection/recirculating) pumps to the Reactor Coolant System, is full of water provides assurance that the ECCS will perform its accident mitigation function during and after a Design Basis Accident (DBA). In Modes 1 through 3, Technical Specifications (TS) Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.5.2.b.1 requires that "Each ECCS subsystem shall be demonstrated OPERABLE:... b. At least once per 31 days by: 1) Verifying that the ECCS piping, except for the operating centrifugal charging pumps(s) and associated piping, the RSS pump, the RSS heat exchanger and associated piping, is full of water, and..." The TS BASES describes that "...The Surveillance Requirement is met by venting ECCS pump causings and the accessible discharge piping high points..."

The investigation into this issue, which included detailed discussions with system/design engineers and operations personnel, as well as a review of the applicable surveillance procedures and isometric drawings, identified three segments of ECCS pump suction crossover piping that were neither included in, nor specifically excluded from, the verification process. See Figure 1 for a simplified sketch of the segment configurations. The three piping segments identified were: upstream of valves MV8807A&B and downstream of valve V982 (suction cross-tie between the Safety injection [SI] and Charging [CHS], Lups), between valve V982 and valve MV8804A (common CHS suction from pump RSS*E1A), and between valve V983 and valve MV8804B (common SI suction from pump RSS*E1B). Of these segments, the cross-tie section (Segment 1) between the SI and CHG pumps (between valve MV8807 A/B and valve V982) is a system high point while segments 2 and 3 are not high points in the system, i.e., not locations where gases would be expected to collect.

Historically, the Unit has operated in modes 1 through 3 without periodically verifying that this cross-tie segment (segment 1) was full of water. This resulted in the surveillance requirement not being met. This condition is being reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i)(B), as any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications. This condition was dispositioned in accordance with the Millstone Corrective Action Program.

II. Cause of Event

This condition is historical. The cause of this condition was that plant personnel have historically not considered the ECCS cross-tie piping as "discharge" piping due to wording amb guities within Technical Specification 4.5.2 2.1 and the associated BASES section. As a result, surveillance procedures were prepared which did not completely verify that applicable high points of the Emergency Core Cooling System piping were filled.

Currently, the ability to vent the piping section between the 'B' SI pump and valves MV8807A&B (segment 1) is through the pump casing and this activity is performed within a venting procedure, however, the MV8807 valves are not opened. Thus, the segment between valves MV8807A&B and the check valve is not specifically vented and it can not be demonstrated that this piping segment is free of air. Opening the MV8807 valves to vent through the pump casing requires management of an abnormal valve lineup which eliminates the separation between RHR and SI systems. This apenario was not considered during the development of the venting procedure.

Segments 2 and 3 are at a mid-point in the system. There are no vent points within these piping segments. These segments and the RSS pump discharge to the SI or charging pumps following switchover to recirculation mode.

(4-9E)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)					PAGE (3)	
Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit ?	05000423	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION NUMBER		
		98	4.5	002			3 01 5	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

However, these piping segments were not considered during the initial procedure development due to their physical location (at mid-point) and physical lack of venting capability. Check valves were added to these piping segments during development of the original Westinghouse design in 1972. No provisions for venting these piping segments were included at that time.

III. Analysis of Event

The operability of the ECCS ensures that a sufficient supply of borated cooling water is available for core injection (and subsequent recirculation) in the event of a loss of coolant accident. A failure to periodically verify that applicable portions of the ECCS system are maintained full of water could result in portions of the ECCS piping containing voids and/or entrained gas pockets which could adversely affect the ability of the ECCS to function properly. Maintaining the piping full of water reduces the potential for water hammer, pump cavitation, and pumping of noncondensible gas (e.g., air, nitrogen, or hydrogen) into the reactor vessel following an SI or during shutdown cooling. The 31-day verification frequency takes into account the gradual nature of gas accumulation in the ECCS piping and the procedural controls governing system operation.

On January 9, 1998, after performance of normal filling of the system, ultrasonic testing (UT) of these piping segments was performed as part of an evaluation into other related RSS activities. Results of UT examinations did not reveal the presence of air or gas pockets in piping segment 1, although a small amount of trapped air was found at a low point in piping segment 3 (between valves MV8804B and V983). It was subsequently determined that the amount of air found was insignificant and would not adversely impact the ECCS system from performing its accident mitigating function. Therefore, there was minimal safety significance from this condition.

IV. Corrective Action

There was no immediate impact on equipment operability and immediate corrective actions required since the unit is presently in Mode 5 and operability of safety injection/recirculation subsystems are not required in this mode.

The fallowing corrective actions will be completed

- Prior to entry into Mode 3, the ECCS venting surveillance procedure will be revised to include the applicable
 portions of the Emergency Core Cooling System piping.
- Prior to entry into Mode 3, the revised surveillance procedure will be performed to assure that the ECCS piping is verified "full of water."
- By May 15, 1998, a Technical Specifications BASES section change will be prepared and implemented to clarify Emergency Core Cooling System venting process information.

V. Additional Information

None

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

(4-95)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)					
Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3	05000423	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER			REVISION	4 of 5	
	05000423	98	**	002	**	00	4 01 5	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Similar Events

Listed below are several other 1996-97 conditions involving missed or lack of verba im compliance with Technical Specification surveillances requirements. The majority of these conditions were included as a result of the MP3 Configuration Management review process:

Auxiliary Feedwater Isolation Valves Noncompliance with Technical Specifications
Technical Specification Surveillances for Emergency Diesel Generators, Misinterpretation and Programmatic Weakness
Lack of Verbatim Compliance with Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements for 125 Volt
Batteries and Battery Chargers
Lack of Verbatim Compliance with Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements for Molded
Case Circuit Breakers
Missed Surveillance on Technical Specification 4.0.5 for 3SWP*V705 through V708
Missed TS Surveillance: ESFAS Purge Isolation Instrumentation
Reactor Trip System Response Time Testing Not In Verbatim Compliance with Technical
Specifications
Deficiencies Identified in Performance of Surveillances for PORV Instrumentation
Channel Check Surveillance Requirements Not in Compliance with rechnical Specifications

Manufacturer Data

El y tem Code

Re- coolant System	AB
Contairment Spray System	
Engineered Safety Features Actuation System	JE

EIIS Component Code

Pump	P
Tank	

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)

Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 3

DOCKET NUMBER (2)

05000423

YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER 98 -- 002 -- 00

5 of 5

PAGE (3)

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

Figure 1
Piping Layout and Location of Segments

