

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY NAME (1)
Browns Ferry Unit 2DOCKET NUMBER (2)
050002601 OF 02TITLE (4)
Incorrect Performance of Local Leak Rate Test

EVENT DATE (5)			LER NUMBER (6)		REPORT DATE (7)			OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)		
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAMES	DOCKET NUMBER(S)
05	30	86	86	009	0006	27	86			050002601

OPERATING MODE (9)	POWER LEVEL (10)	THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR § (Check one or more of the following) (11)							
N	0.010	20.402(b)	20.405(c)	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73.71(b)	20.405(a)(1)(i)	50.36(c)(1)	50.73(a)(2)(v)	73.71(c)
		20.405(a)(1)(ii)	50.36(c)(2)	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 365A)	20.405(a)(1)(iii)	X 50.73(a)(2)(i)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A)	
		20.405(a)(1)(iv)	50.73(a)(2)(ii)	50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)		20.405(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)	50.73(a)(2)(ix)	

LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12)
NAME: Alan W. Gordon, Compliance Engineer
TELEPHONE NUMBER: 205 729-2537

COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)									
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NRC

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) ☒ NO ☐
EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE (15)
MONTH: DAY: YEAR:

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

While conducting a local leak rate test (LLRT) on unit 1 residual heat removal system valve 1-FCV- 74-48 on May 30, 1986, it was determined that the test procedure was in error. The procedure specified a test valve alignment which did not provide a flow path downstream of the valve seat being tested. The testing was stopped, but since the procedure had been used earlier for the same valve in unit 2, the LLRT test results on 2-FCV-74-48 may be clouded. Because maintenance has been performed on 2-FCV-74-48 since the test, no valid "as found" leakage, for this particular valve required for inclusion in the overall integrated leak rate test report, will be available. Inadequate technical review of the test procedure when it was expanded in September 1984 is the cause of this condition. The procedure has been revised to correct all identified deficiencies.

8607160033 860627
PDR ADOCK 05000260
S PDRIE 22
11

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104

EXPIRES: 8/31/88

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
Browns Ferry Unit 2	0 5 0 0 0 2 6 0 8 6 - 0 0 9 - 0 0 0 2 OF 0 2					

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

Units 1 and 2 are defueled and in refueling outages. Unit 3 was in an extended maintenance outage when the situation was discovered. This situation affects only unit 2.

On May 30, 1986, while conducting a local leak rate test (LLRT) of unit 1 residual heat removal (RHR) (BO) system inboard isolation valve 1-FCV-74-48, it was discovered that Surveillance Instruction (SI) 4.7.A.2.g-3, "Containment Isolation Valve Leak Rate Tests," was in error. The same procedure had previously been used in January 1985 for the FCV 74-48 valve in unit 2. The local pressurization test, required by 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, was correct in that the valve was tested in the flow direction required to perform its safety function. However, the procedure specified a valve lineup which did not provide a test flow path downstream of the valve. Thus, leakage was measured through several closed test and isolation valves in addition to the valve being tested. Therefore, an accurate measurement of the unit 2 valve was not made. Maintenance has since been performed on the valve, consequently no valid "as found" leakage for this valve is available for inclusion in the overall integrated leak rate test report. The erroneous procedure was not used on unit 3. The problem was identified when the procedure was to be used a second time to test 1-FCV 74-48. Upon discovery of the incorrect valve lineup, all work was stopped, and procedure revisions initiated.

The valve lineup was incorrectly specified under a general revision of SI 4.7.A.2.g-3 in September 1984 which added alignments for leak testing numerous primary containment isolation valves. This revision apparently did not receive adequate technical review, due to a lack of qualified (NDE level II) personnel on site at the time. The procedure has been technically reviewed and revised to correct the valve lineup for FCV 74-48, along with other identified items. No further corrective action is planned.

2-FCV-74-48 is the RHR shutdown cooling inboard isolation valve. The outboard valve 2-FCV-74-47 was leak tested at the same time (January 1985) and met its requirements. Therefore, it is unlikely that the deficient test data has significant effects on the overall integrated leak test report data.

Responsible Plant Section - Engineering

Previous Events - BFRO 50-259/85008; 50-260/83005

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant
P.O. Box 2000
Decatur, Alabama 35602

June 27, 1986

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Document Control Desk
Washington, D.C. 20555


Dear Sir:

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - BROWNS FERRY NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 2 - DOCKET
NO. 50-260 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-52 - REPORTABLE OCCURRENCE REPORT
BFRO-50-260/86009

The enclosed report provides details concerning incorrect performance of local
leak rate test. This report is submitted in accordance to 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i).

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY


Robert L. Lewis
Plant Manager
Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant

Enclosures

cc (Enclosures):

Regional Administration
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Region II
101 Marietta Street, Suite 2900
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

INPO Records Center
Suite 1500
1100 Circle 75 Parkway
Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NRC Resident Inspector, Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant