NRC Form 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (6-1998)							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 06/30/20											
	L	ICEN	SEE EV (See rev lígits/char	VENT REPOR	RT (LER of ock)	2)			Esti info are indu Rec Cor Rec Wai disp con info	imatec primatic incor ustry. cords I mmiss ductior shingt play a nduct o primatio	d bui on co pora Forv Mana ion, 1 n Pro on, 1 curr or spo on co	rden per bliection re ted into ward com agement E Washingto ject (3150 DC 20503 ently valio onsor, and llection.	response to co equest: 50 hrs. the licensing p ments regarding granch (T-6 F33 on, DC 20555-00 -0104), Office of 0. If an inform I OMB control r a person is not	mply w Report rocess burde b, U.S. 001, and Manag lation o umber, required	ith th ad less and n est Nuclea I to th ement ollecti the N I to re	s ma sons fed mate ar Re e Pa and on d IRC i spon	andatory learned back to to the gulatory perwork Budget, oes not may not d to, the	
FACILITY	YNAME	(1)							DO	CKET	NUM	ABER (2)				1	of 5	
	PI	LGR	M NUCL	EAR POWER	STATIO	N						050	00-293					
TITLE (4 Switchg) gear Ro	orn Do	or Inope	able For Tornad	o Analys	is			foresta to		2.194/econ					and the same	n alaman on ananyyah	
EVEN	NT DATE	(5)	1	LER NUMBER (5)		REPO	DRTD	ATE ((7)		OT	HER FACILITIE	S INVO	VED	(8)	NAT MANUA PERSONNEL MAN	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	R REVISION	Z	MONTH	DAY		YEAR	FAC	ILITY NAME			050	NUMBER		
12	21	98	1998	027	00		01	20		99	FAC	ILITY NAME	AME D		DO	DOCKET NUMBER		
OPERA	TING		THIS RE	PORT IS SUBMITT	ED PURSU	ANT	TO THE	EREQ	UIRE	EMEN	TSO	F 10 CFR	: (Check one or	more) (1	1)	Children and and		
MODE	: (9)	N	20.2	201 (D)		20	J 2203(a))(2)(V)			-	50.73(a)(2)(I)(B)		50	.73(a	i)(2)(viii)	
LEVEL	ER (10)	100	22.2	203(a)(1) 203(a)(2)(i)		20	0.2203(a) 0.2203(a))(3)(i))(3)(ii)			X	50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B))(2)(iii)		73	.73(a)(2)(x)	
energia de la companya de la company	and a second	BERRY CONTRACTOR	20.22	203(a)(2)(ii)		20	0.2203(a))(4)			+	50.73(a)(2)(iv)		10	HER	2	
			20.23	203(a)(2)(iii)		50	0.36(c)(1))			+	50.73(a)(2)(v)	Sp	ecify in	Abstr	act	
			20.22	203(a)(2)(iv)		50) 36(c)(2)			+	50.73(a)(2)(vii)	or	ow n NRC	Form	366A	
		STREET,	diana.cologone.comerco	L	ICENSEE	CON	TACT F	OR TH	IS LI	ER (12	2)		Contraction of the second second					
NAME Dougla	is W. E	Illis - F	Principal	Engineer								TELEPH (508)	ONE NUMBER 830-8160	(Include	Area	Code	9)	
			COMPLI	ETE ONE LINE FOR	EACH CO	MP	ONENT P	FAILUI	RED	ESCR	IBE	IN THIS	REPORT (13)		DE		1010	
CAUSE	SYSTE	м со	MPONENT	MANUFACTURER	TO EPI	X		CAU	JSE	SYSTE	EM	COMPONE	NT MANUFACTL	RER	RE	FO EF	RTABLE EPIX	
D	NM		DR	XXXX	N								-					
Anotas survey and survey			0110		DT EVES			1					EVERATE		-		- VELO	
YES			SUP	LEMENTAL REPO	RTEXPEC	JED	NO						SUBMISSION	MONT		AY	YEAR	
(If ye	(If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)				-							DATE(15)						
ABSTRA	CT (Limit	to 1400 sp	aces, i.e., app	roximately 15 single-space	ed typewritten	lines) (16)											

On December 21, 1998, at 1814 hours, one door in the 4.16 Kv switchgear room 'B' was determined to be inoperable due to degraded door hinges. The door functions to provide several functions including remaining closed in the tornado analysis (UFSAR Appendix H). A limiting condition for operation (LCO) was entered upon identification of the problem.

The direct cause of the original degradation (bottom most hinge) was normal wear. A cause contributing to continued degradation was that there was no approved procedure for the type of weld repair that was necessary to repair the door.

Corrective action taken included the repair of the door. The door was returned to operable status at 2224 hours on December 21, 1998, and the LCO was terminated. A new procedure is being developed to include the type of weld repair that was necessary to repair the door.

The condition was discovered during power operation while at 100 percent reactor power with the reactor mode selector switch in the RUN position. The reactor vessel pressure was approximately 1032 psig with the reactor water temperature at the saturation temperature for that pressure. The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.

an and an and a second and any pairs concert and an annual an easily between providence data and an and a second

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)	
		YEAR	YEAR NUMBER NUMB			
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION	05000-293	1998	027	00	2 of 5	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

BACKGROUND

NRC Form 366A

(6 - 1998)

Appendix H of the Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR) pertains to Pilgrim Station tornado criteria. The tornado design basis is that all structures and equipment necessary to initiate and maintain safe shutdown be designed to withstand short term loading as a result of a tornado. The design basis tornado criteria consist of a three psi pressure drop in three seconds, a 300 mile per hour horizontal wind component applied over the full height of the structure(s), and missiles (4000 pound automobile, 108 pound wooden plank, or a three inch pipe ten feet in length). The tornado analysis model includes venting through large openings, and assumptions that include the position of doors. For the tornado analysis, door 95 is modeled in the closed position.

Door 95 is an interior, hollow metal door that meets Pilgrim Station Specification A-13-ER-Q, "Procurement, Design, Installation, and Inspection of Hollow Metal Doors, Pressed Steel Frames and Hardware." The door is located in the Turbine Building, is equipped with a self-closing mechanism and provides one means of access/egress to and from the 4.16 Kv switchgear room 'B'. The door frame is steel and the door swings open into the switchgear room. The room contains nonsafety-related and safety-related equipment, primarily electrical equipment that is part of the Pilgrim Station Auxiliary Power Distribution System. Door 95 is part of the Pilgrim Station maintenance rule program.

Door 95 and other doors, blowout panels, and floor plugs are modeled in the various Pilgrim Station subcompartment analyses for a tornado, a high energy line break analysis, flooding, etc. These barriers are included in a new surveillance procedure 8.C.42, "Subcompartment Barrier Control Surveillance."

Surveillance procedure 8.C.42 (currently rev. 0) is scheduled to be performed periodically or if a tornado warning is issued. For periodic performance, the procedure is scheduled on a once per refueling outage frequency and is tracked by the Master Surveillance Tracking Program (MSTP).

EVENT DESCRIPTION

On December 21, 1998, at 1814 hours, door 95 was determined to be inoperable due to degraded door hinges. The determination was made as a result of evaluating the as-found condition of the door hinges. The door was determined to be inoperable because the condition of the door hinges would not assure the door would function as a closed door (as modeled in the tornado analysis).

Problem Report 98.9634 was written to document the problem. The NRC Operations Center was notified in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(1)(ii) on December 21, 1998, at 1814 hours. The door was declared inoperable and a 24 hour limiting condition for operation (LCO A98-662) was entered in accordance with Technical Specification 3.9.B, "Auxiliary Electrical System," on December 21, 1998. The door was continuously posted pending door repair.

The condition was discovered during power operation while at 100 percent reactor power with the reactor mode selector switch in the RUN position. The reactor vessel pressure was approximately 1032 psig with the reactor water temperature at the saturation temperature for that pressure.

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)			
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER		
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION	05000-293	1998	027	00	3 of 5	

TEX1 (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

CAUSE

NRC Form 366A

(6-1998)

The direct cause of the original degradation (bottom most hinge) was normal wear. A cause contributing to continued degradation (ultimately, all three hinges) was that there was no approved procedure for the type of weld repair that was necessary to repair door 95.

The repair of door 95 was originally initiated by a maintenance request (MR 19702518) written on October 11, 1997. At that time, the bottom-most of the door's three hinges was degraded. When MR 19702518 was written, the other two hinges were not degraded and the condition of the door was judged acceptable by a civil-structural engineer. The degradation consisted of the separation of the weld that connects the door hinge reinforcing plate to the door's metal covering. The hinge reinforcing plates are located within the door at the hinge jamb.

The maintenance request was prioritized in accordance with procedure 1.5.20, "Work Control Process," Attachment 3 (MR prioritization) in October 1997. The work control process includes work planning. As part of the work planning, a weld repair of the hinge reinforcing plate was decided instead of a replacement of the entire door. In April 1998, and before a weld repair of the hinge reinforcing plate could be accomplished, surveillance procedure 8.B.17.1, "Inspection of Fire Door Assemblies," was conducted. [Procedure 8.B.17.1 is scheduled to be performed once per year and is tracked by the MSTP.] During the surveillance, degradation of the metal covering of the door occurred in the area of the degraded hinge reinforcing plate weld. The metal covering degradation was most likely due to continued door use with the degraded hinge. The metal covering degradation affected the fire protection characteristics of the door and, therefore, a fire watch was established in accordance with the fire protection program on April 24, 1998.

The hinge reinforcement plates are approximately 1/8 inch in thickness, and the metal covering of the door is 16 gauge (i.e., less than 1/16 inch in thickness). At that time, procedure 3.M.4-15.4, "General Welding Procedure Structural Welding Code," included provision for metal welding of materials greater than or equal to 1/8 inch in thickness. Therefore, the procedure could not be used for the repair of the hinges because the repair required welding of the metal covering to the hinge's reinforcing plate.

Welding requirements include welder training and welder qualifications. The welding requirements were developed by the end of the summer in 1998 and welder qualifications began for the weld repairs to the door.

Once the welding qualifications were completed, procedure 3.M.4-15 was revised (to rev. 37) and approved on December 10, 1998. The revision provided for the use of the procedure for metal materials less than 1/8 inch in thickness. The procedure revision, however, did not include acceptance criteria for door welds and, therefore, an engineering document specifying acceptance criteria was requested. The engineering document (FRN 98-01-103) specifying acceptance criteria was developed, and approved on December 17, 1998.

The work package for MR 19702518 was updated and prioritized for work at the next earliest timeframe.

On December 21, 1998, the performance of preventive maintenance procedure 3.M.4-108, "Mechanical Inspection and Preventive Maintenance for Facility Doors," began. [Procedure 3.M.4-108 is scheduled to be performed monthly and is tracked by the MSTP (node S9812324).] The procedure includes door 95. The door

NRC Form 366A (6-1998)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION								
	LICENSEE EVENT REP TEXT CONTINUATION	ORT (LE	T (LER)						
, FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	NATION OF BUCKMARK WAR BUCK	LER NUMBER (6)	far af an ann an ann an an an an an an an an a	PAGE (3)				
		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION					

05000-293

1998

027

00

4 of 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION

did not meet the acceptance criteria of the procedure and the maintenance planner for MR 19702518 was notified of the problem. The planner notified a civil-structural engineer regarding the as-found condition of the door. The engineer evaluated the as-found condition of the door and concluded the door was not operable for the tornado function. The welds of all three of the door's hinge reinforcing plates had degraded. The degradation was such that the door's closing mechanism would not close the door without human assistance and, when in the closed and latched position, the door would not be assured of remaining closed if a sufficiently large differential pressure were to occur such as that postulated in the tornado analysis. The door was operable for the door's other functions (access control, high energy line break, and fire).

CORRECTIVE ACTION

Corrective action taken included the following:

The metal covering and hinge reinforcement plates of door 95 were weld repaired, the door was restored to operable status, and the LCO (A98-662) was terminated at 2224 hours on December 21, 1998.

Corrective action planned includes the following:

A new procedure, 3.M.4-15.5 (rev. 0), "Welding Procedure and Welder Performance Qualification Procedure -Structural Sheet Steel," has been initiated. Essentially, the procedure incorporates the engineering document (FRN 98-01-103) issued for the weld repair of doors including door 95. The procedure is expected to be issued by the end of March 1999.

SAFETY CONSEQUENCES

The condition posed no threat to public health and safety.

Although the problem with door 95 is classified as a maintenance rule failure, the problem did not result in a functional failure of equipment in switchgear room 'B'.

Tornadoes are an infrequent phenomenon in the coastal New England region where Pilgrim Station is located. The likelihood of a tornado in the Pilgrim Station area is approximately 3.0E-05 per year (NUREG/CR-4461). The as-found condition of door 95 on December 21, 1998, could have existed for as long as one month (since procedure 3.M.4-108 was previously performed on November 20, 1998). Therefore, the likelihood of a tornado occurring while the condition existed was less than 3.0E-05.

This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) because door 95 was inoperable for the tornado analysis function.

NRC Form 366A (6.1998)		U.S.	NUCLEAR REGI	ULATORY CO	MMISSION
LICE	NSEE EVENT REP	ORT (LE	ج)		
	TEXT CONTINUATI	ON			
· FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6) SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	FAGE (3)
PILGRIM NUCLEAR POWER STATION	05000-293	YEAR 1998	NUMBER 027	NUMBER 00	5 of 5
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NI	IL RC Form 366A) (17)		NAME OF CONTRACT OF CAMPACITY		
SIMILARITY TO PREVIOUS EVENTS					
A review was conducted of Pilgrim Station L control of safety-related compartment barrie	ERs submitted since ers. The review iden	e 1992. Th tified the fo	e review focuse llowing reports:	d on LERs in	volving
LER 98-011-00 involved two Reactor Buildir elevation floor;	ng floor plugs remov	ed from the	their normally i	nstalled locat	tion on 23'
LER 97-028-00 involved the Intake Structure assumptions;	e that was in a config	guration inc	consistent with to	ornado analy	sis
LER 97-023-01 involved the Radwaste Build analysis assumptions;	ding trucklock doors	in a configu	iration inconsist	ent with torna	ado
LER 97-010-01 involved an Auxiliary Buildin analysis assumptions.	g door in a configura	ation incons	sistent with high	energy pipe	break
ENERGY INDUSTRY IDENTIFICATION SY	STEM (EIIS) CODE	S			
The EIIS codes for this report are as follows	:				
COMPONENTS	COD	ES			
Door	DR				
SYSTEMS					
Medium-voltage power system - Class 1 Turbine Building	EA NM				