U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION NRC Form 386 APPROVED ONE NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) DOCKET NUMBER (2) FACILITY NAME (1) 0 |5 |0 |0 |0 | 3| 2|2 | 1 | OF | 0|2 Shoreham Nuclear Power Station Unit #1 Continuous Fire Watch Required By Tech. Spec. 3.7.8 was missed due to Fire Watch being stuck in Elevator OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8) EVENT DATE (6) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7) SEQUENTIAL NUMBER DOCKET NUMBER(S) FACILITY NAMES MONTH DAY YEAR 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 4 0 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR \$ (Check one or more of the following) (11) OPERATING 20 408(4) 80 73(a)(2)(iv) 73.71(b) 20 A02(h) 73,71(c) 90 73(a)(2)(v) 30.405(a)(1)(i) BC 38(+)(1) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text NRC For 366A) 0,0 20 408(a)(1)(ii) 60.36(e)(2) 80.73(a)(2)(vii) 80.73(a)(2)(viii)(A) 20 408(4)(1)(0) 60 73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 60.73(a)(2)(ii) 20.406 (a)(1)(iv) 90.73(a)(2)(x) 80.73(a)(2)(iii) 20 408 (a) (1) (v) LICENSES CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) TELEPHONE NUMBER NAME AREA CODE 5, 1, 6 9, -, 8, 3, 0, 0 Robert W. Grunseich, Operational Compliance Engineer COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT 113 REPORTABLE MANUFAC. TURER MANUFAC FPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT O NPRO O NPROS

ABSTRACT /Limit to 1400 spaces i.e. approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines (16)

YES I'M VOL COMPINE EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 114

On March 10, 1986 at approximately 0330, a continuous fire watch patrol in the Reactor Secondary Building, required by Technical Specification 3.7.8 was not met due to the fire watch patrol being stuck in an elevator for approximately twenty five minutes. The plant was in Operational Condition 5 (Refuel) with the mode switch in Shutdown and all rods inserted in the core. continuous fire watch was required due to inoperable fire rated assemblies (fire stops and seals, and fire dampers). continuous fire watch patrol had entered the elevator at elevation 112' and was on his way up to elevation 150' to continue his tour. However, the elevator became stuck in between the two elevations. The Watch Engineer was immediately notified of the problem and at 0355, the individuals in the elevator were removed and the continuous fire watch was resumed. The elevator was subsequently repaired later that day. Plant Management was notified of the event and the NRC was notified at 0704 per 10CFR50.72. This is an isolated case and no further corrective action is warranted.

LERs 86-002 and 86-013 discuss similar events concerning missed firewatches.

8604110271 860404 PDR ADOCK 05000322 PDR IE22

YEAR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED DMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85		
PACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LES NUMBER IS:			PAGE (3)	
			YEAR	SEQUENT A.			
Shoreham Nuclea	ar Power Station Unit	#1 0 5 0 0 0 0 3 2 2 2	8 6	0,1,5	_ 0,0	012 01 0	12

On March 10, 1986 at approximately 0330, a continuous fire watch patrol in the Reactor Secondary Building, required by Technical Specification 3.7.8 was not met due to the fire watch patrol being stuck in an elevator for approximately twenty five minutes. The plant was in Operational Condition 5 (Refuel) with the mode switch in Shutdown and all rods inserted in the core.

The continuous fire watch was required due to inoperable fire rated assemblies (fire stops and seals, and fire dampers). The continuous fire watch patrol had entered the elevator at elevation 112' and was on his way up to elevation 150' to continue his tour. However, the elevator became stuck in between the two elevations. The Watch Engineer was immediately notified of the problem and at 0355, the individuals in the elevator were removed and the continuous fire watch was resumed. The elevator was subsequently repaired later that day. Plant Management was notified of the event and the NRC was notified at 0704 per 10CFR50.72.

There was minimal safety significance to the event. Detectors in the area would have detected a fire and an alarm would have annunciated in the Control Room. Had the event occurred under a more severe set of conditions (5% power), there would still be minimal safety significance.

This was an isolated case and no further corrective action is warranted. However, a tailboard meeting was held for all firewatch personnel to re-emphasize the importance of notifying firewatch relief as soon as possible when they are unable to perform their required duties.

LERs 86-002 and 86-013 discuss similar events concerning missed firewatches.



## LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY

SHOREHAM NUCLEAR POWER STATION . P.O. BOX 628 - WADING RIVER, NEW YORK 11792

TEL (516) 929-8300

April 4, 1986

PM-86-089

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

In accordance with 10CFR50.73, enclosed is a copy of Shoreham Nuclear Power Station Unit 1's Licensee Event Report 86-015.

Sincerely yours,

William E. Steiger, Jr.

Plant Manager

WES/rg

Enclosure

cc: Dr. Thomas E. Murley, Regional Administrator
John Berry, Senior Resident Inspector
Institute of Nuclear Power Operations, Records Center
American Nuclear Insurers

SR. A21. 0200

1622