

From: James Lieberman ^{OE}
To: WND1.WNP1.ACT ^{A. Shadani, EDO}
Date: 9/24/97 12:17pm
Subject: response to nine mile point on blow out panels

As you know we issued our response on September 12, 1997 concluding that there were violations but noted that the staff was continuing to review the generic issue of reporting. Carl Terry, from 9-Mile called me today requesting an extension of time to respond. They are not satisfied without response and realize it is only a severity level 4 issue. They are concerned with the generic issue and what will they tell their operators to do. I told him he needs to make sure that the FSAR is consulted to determine if the particular discrepancy is described as part of the design basis. 9-Mile is consulting with NEI and our decision. They asked for an extension to the end of the year. We agreed on an extension to December 8, 1997.

CC: TWD1.TWP4.TTM, WNP3.DSH, WNP4.RPZ, KPD1.KPP2.CWH

✓

H/2