

### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION IV

611 RYAN PLAZA DRIVE, SUITE 400 ARLINGTON, TEXAS 76011-8064 FEB - 4 1998

John R. McGaha, Vice President - Operations River Bend Station Entergy Operations, Inc. P.O. Box 220 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

SUBJECT: NRC INSPECTION REPORT 50-458/97-017

Dear Mr. McGaha:

Thank you for your letter of January 23, 1998, in response to our letter and Notice of Violation dated December 24, 1997. We have reviewed your reply and find it responsive to the concerns about a failure to meet a Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation associated with one of the primary containment air locks raised in our Notice of Violation. We will review the implementation of your corrective actions during a future inspection to determine that full compliance has been achieved and will be maintained.

Sincerely,

Elmo E. Collins, Chief

Project Branch C

Division of Reactor Projects

Elmo & Collins

Docket No.: 50-458 License No.: NPF-47

CC: Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer Entergy Operations, Inc. P.O. Box 31995 Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

ADOCK



Vice President
Operations Support
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P.O. Box 31905
Jackson, Mississippi 39286-1995

General Manager
Plant Operations
River Bend Station
Entergy Operations, Inc.
P.O. Box 220
St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Director - Nuclear Safety River Bend Station Entergy Operations, Inc. P.O. Box 220 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

Wise, Carter, Child & Caraway P.O. Box 651 Jackson, Mississippi 39205

Mark J. Wetterhahn, Esq. Winston & Strawn 1401 L Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3502

Manager - Licensing River Bend Station Entergy Operations, Inc. P.O. Box 220 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

The Honorable Richard P. Ieyoub Attorney General Department of Justice State of Louisiana P.O. Box 94005 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-9005

H. Anne Plettinger 3456 Villa Rose Drive Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806 President of West Feliciana Police Jury P.O. Box 1921 St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775

William H. Spell, Administrator Louisiana Radiation Protection Division P.O. Box 82135 Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70884-2135

## boc to DCD (IE01)

bcc distrib. by RIV: Regional Administrator **DRP** Director Branch Chief (DRP/C) Project Engineer (DRP/C) Branch Chief (DRP/TSS)

Senior Resident Inspector (Grand Gulf) DRS-PSB MIS System RIV File Resident Inspector

DOCUMENT NAME: R:\\_RB\RB717AK.GDR
To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures

RIV:PE:DRP/C	C:DRP/C	
PJAlter;df AC	EECollins	
2/2 /98	2/9/98	

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

bcc to DCD (IE01)

bcc distrib. by RIV:
Regional Administrator
DRP Director
Branch Chief (DRP/C)
Project Engineer (DRP/C)
Branch Chief (DRP/TSS)

Senior Resident Inspector (Grand Gulf) DRS-PSB MIS System RIV File Resident Inspector

DOCUMENT NAME: R:\\_RB\RB717AK.GDR

To receive copy of document, indicate in box: "C" = Copy without enclosures "E" = Copy with enclosures "N" = No copy

RIV:PE:DRP/C	C:DRP/C		
PJAlter;df AL	EECollins		
2/4 /98	2/9/98		

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



Entergy Operations, Inc. River Bend Station 5485 U.S. Highway 61 P.O. Box 220 St. Francisville, LA 70775 Tel 504 336 6225 Fax 504 635 5068

Rick J. King

Nuclear Salety & Regulatory Affairs

REGIONIV

January 23, 1998

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk, OP1-17 Washington, DC 20555

Subject:

Reply to Notice of Violation in IR 97-017

River Bend Station - Unit I

License No. NPF-47 Docket No. 50-458

File Nos.:

G9.5, G15.4.1

RBG-44354 RBF1-98-0007

Gentlemen:

Pursuant to the provisions of 10CFR2.201, Attachment A provides the Entergy Operations, Inc. response to the Notice of Violation (NOV) described in NRC Inspection Report (IR) 50-458/97-017.

The subject violation, 50-458/97017-04, involves a failure to meet a Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation Action associated with one of the primary containment airlocks.

Should you have any questions regarding the attached information, please contact Mr. David Lorfing of my staff at (504) 381-4157.

Sincerely,

RJK/MGM

attachment

Reply to Notice of Violation in 50-458/97-017 January 23, 1998 RBG-44354 RBF1-98-0007 Page 2 of 2

cc: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region IV 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

> NRC Sr. Resident Inspector P.O. Box 1050 St. Francisville, LA 70775

David Wigginton NRR Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission M/S OWFN 13-H-3 Washington, DC 20555

# ATTACHMENT A REPLY TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION 50-458/97017-04

Page 1 of 3

#### Violation:

During an NRC inspection conducted on October 12 through November 29, 1997, one violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," NUREG-1600, the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.0.1 states, in part, "failure to meet a Surveillance, whether such failure is experienced during the performance of the Surveillance or between performances of the Surveillance, shall be failure to meet the LCO."

Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.6.1.2.1 states, in part, "Perform required primary containment air lock leakage rate testing in accordance with the Primary Containment Leakage Rate Testing Program."

Technical Specification 3.6.1.2, Condition A, applies to containment air locks with one door inoperable. Technical Specification 3.6.1.2, Condition B, applies to containment air locks with the primary containment air lock interlock mechanism inoperable.

Technical Specification 3.6.1.2, Condition C, requires, in part, with one or more primary containment air locks inoperable for reasons other than Technical Specification 3.6.1.2, Conditions A or B, immediately initiate action to evaluate primary containment overall leakage rate per Technical Specification 3.6.1.1, using current air lock test results.

Contrary to the above, on October 30, 1997, at 8 a.m., the lower primary containment air lock failed during the performance of Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 3.6.1.2.1, thus rendering the air lock insperable for reasons other than Technical Specification 3.6.1.2, Conditions A or B, and action to evaluate primary containment overall leakage rate per Technical Specification 3.6.1.1, using current air lock test results, was not completed.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1) (50-458/97017-04)

# ATTACHMENT A REPLY TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION 50-458/97017-04

Page 2 of 3

#### Reasons for the Violation:

The primary cause of this violation was related to human performance, in that a complex knowledge based decision was required, but was made using inappropriate assumptions. The Operations Shift Superintendent (OSS), who was responsible for evaluating the condition, made an incorrect assumption regarding the applicable Technical Specifications. The OSS used the Technical Specification Bases discussion for SR 3.6.1.2.1 which discusses a method for quantifying the maximum leakage through the air lock. This method states that the actual air lock leakage (from inside containment to outside containment) is the lesser of the two barriers (air lock doors). The OSS assumed that the only leakage paths, through the air lock doors, were through the door seals or the equalization valves. There was no indication of a seal problem, since the seals were acceptable at the time of the surveillance performance. The OSS had been informed that the equalization valves on the outer door of the air lock were leaking. Focusing on the valve leakage, he neglected other possible paths for leakage, such as tubing, fittings, etc. It should be noted that the inner door equalization valves tested satisfactorily.

A contributing cause was related to work practices, regarding not obtaining one or more peer checks while making complex knowledge based decisions. The OSS discussed the Technical Specification (a remination with several other Senior Reactor Operators, and other Operations manager at personnel, but he did so in an informative manner rather than as a consultation for a peer check. The OSS may have inadvertently impressed his own conclusions upon those individuals, instead of allowing them to independently review and advise him.

#### Corrective Actions That Have Been Taken:

At 1330 on October 30, 1997, Technical Specification 3.6.1.2 Condition "C" Actions were entered. The OSS contacted the system engineer to verify that action was being taken to evaluate primary containment overall leakage in accordance with Required Action C.1. Upon repair of the leaking equalization valves, Technical Specification SR 3.6.1.2.1 was completed on the air lock satisfactorily. Technical Specification Limiting Condition for Operation 3.6.1.2 was met and Condition "C" Actions were exited at 0745 on October 31, 1997.

The OSS involved in this event has been counseled by management. This event was addressed, including a more thorough review of applicable Technical Specifications and ensuring that a proper peer check is conducted.

# ATTACHMENT A REPLY TO NOTICE OF VIOLATION 50-458/97017-04

Page 3 of 3

#### Corrective Actions That Will Be Taken to Avoid Further Violations:

Distribution of this event in a shift briefing will be performed to inform other Operations personnel of the need to ensure: thoroughness in the review of applicable Technical Specifications; proper peer checking methods are utilized; and that decisions can be substantiated through appropriate documentation.

Additionally, a discussion of this event, focusing on implementation of Technical Specification actions upon failing surveillances, will be included in the next livensed operator requalification training module.

## Date When Full Compliance Will Be Achieved:

River Bend Station is currently in full compliance.