


JUL 12 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Thomas A. Ippolito, Team Leader
Comanche Peak

FROM: James P. Knight, Assistant Director
for Components & Structures Engineering
Division of Engineering

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK

It has come to my attention that the allegations and technical issues being reviewed by the CPSES Technical Review Team (TRT) do not include the [REDACTED] allegations. Because the [REDACTED] allegations are concerned primarily with substantive technical deficiencies related to specific pipe support designs, whereas the mechanical issues currently being reviewed by the TRT appear to be vague and minor by comparison, we believe that the high level of technical competency on the TRT is not being effectively utilized. We highly recommend that the [REDACTED] issues be included in the TRT scope in order to preclude a rekindling of the issues in the future. We believe to not include them now would be a mistake.


James P. Knight, Assistant Director
for Components & Structures Engineering
Division of Engineering

B607030266 B60623
PDR FOIA
GARDEB6-A-18 PDR

cc: H. Denton
E. Case
R. Vollmer
L. Shao
J. Scinto

FOIA-2000
P/184

(1)

Memorandum for: Thomas A. Ippolito, Team Leader
Comanche Peak

From: L. Shao,

Subject: Comanche Peak Technical Issues
Related to Summary Dispositions.

- References:
1. Memorandum: William J. Dircks to Harold R. Denton et al, "Implementation of the Comanche Peak Plan for the Completion of Outstanding Regulatory Actions", June 12, 1984
 2. Memorandum: James P. Knight to Thomas A. Ippolito, "Comanche Peak", July 12, 1984

(2)

Reference 1 requested action to implement the Loma Prieta Plan for the completion of outstanding regulatory actions. This plan was approved by R. DeYoung, H.R. Dutton and J.T. Collier on June 5, 1984 and was intended to assure overall coordination and integration of all outstanding regulatory actions regarding Loma Prieta. These actions encompassed all licensing, inspection, hearing and allegation issues. The plan shows a clear distinction in responsibility for ~~the~~ 97 allegations in the Civil/Mechanical area and 19 allegations in the Design/Pipe/Pipe supports area. Responsibility for the former was assigned to the Loma Prieta Technical Review Team (TPRT) and the

letter to NRR. Actions regarding: 1) the 97 Civil/Mechanical and 2) the 19 Design Pipe/Pipe Support allegations require resolution of: 1) some 20 pipe support allegations and 2) Applicants' motion for ^{some 21} summary disposition related to Applicants' plan to respond to the ASLB December 28, 1983, Memorandum and Order, respectively.


Reference 2 recommends - that ^{issues pertaining to} the 19 Design Pipe/Pipe Support (Walsh/Doyle) allegations be transferred to the TRT scope of work. We do not concur with the recommendation for the following reasons:

1. The Reference 2 recommendation is contrary to the Comanche Peak plan

Potential Allegers to be Interviewed

Cat. 6

AC-30 -



- Need more specific information as to location of 6'x6' in safeguard building which contains no concrete.

Cat. 15

AC-40 -



- Discuss specific locations which unauthorized or undocumented holes were cut through rebar.

Cat. 8

AQC-2 - Individual "A" &
"B" in IR 78-07

- Regarding alleged concrete test records falsifications.

AQC-3 - Individuals "A",
AQC-7 "B", "C", & "K"
AQC-9 in IR 79-09

- Regarding alleged falsification of aggregate tests, and concrete cylinder strength tests, and recertification of inspectors done "open book" with answers given.

FOIA-95

P/185