Mr. Lec Liu Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer IES Utilities Inc. 200 First Street, SE. P.O. Box 351 Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0351

SUBJECT:

DUANE ARNOLD ENERGY CENTER - REVISED REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR RESOLUTION OF USI A-46 (M69444)

Dear Mr. Liu:

On October 29, 1997, the NRC issued a Request for Additional Information (RAI) seeking information needed to resolve USI A-46 for the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC). Subsequently, the NRC staff determined that it needed to revise these questions and verbally notified DAEC that new questions would be forthcoming. The revised questions are enclosed. Please provide your response within 60 days so that the NRC can complete its review promptly. If you have any questions about our review, please contact me at (301) 415-3028.

This request for information affects fewer than 10 respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under Pub. L. 96-511.

Sincerely,
original signed by
Glenn B. Kelly, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-331

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Distribution:

Docket File PUBLIC GGrant, RIII EAdensa

EAdensam (EGA1) HAsher

PDIII-3 R/F

ACRS CWu

NRC FILE CENTER CORV

OGC, 015B18

RSavio

RWessman

nan

G:\DUANEARN\69444RAJ.WPD

OFFICE	PM: PDIII-3	E	LA:PDIII-3 E
NAME	GKelly:		CBoyle CXO
DATE	11/13/97		11/18/97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



Mr. Lee Liu
Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer
IES Utilities Inc.
200 First Street, SE.
P.O. Box 351
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0351

SUBJECT: DUANE ARNOLD ENERGY CENTER - REVISED REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR RESOLUTION OF USI A-46 (M69444)

Dear Mr. Liu:

On October 29, 1997, the NRC issued a Request for Additional Information (RAI) seeking information needed to resolve USI A-46 for the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC). Subsequently, the NRC staff determined that it needed to revise these questions and verbally notified DAEC that new questions would be forthcoming. The revised questions are enclosed. Please provide your response within 60 days so that the NRC can complete its review promptly. If you have any questions about our review, please contact me at (301) 415-3028.

This request for information affects fewer than 10 respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under Pub. L. 96-511.

Sincerely,
original signed by
Glenn B. Kelly, Senior Project Manager
Project Directorate III-3
Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-331

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Distribution:

Docket File

PUBLIC

PDIII-3 R/F

ACRS

GGrant, RIII

EAdensam (EGA1)

HAsher

CWu

OGC, 015B18

RSavio

RWessman

Kwessma

G:\DUANEARN\69444RAJ.WPD

OFFICE	PM: PDIII-3	E	LA: PDIII-3 E
NAME	GKelly:		CBoyle CXC
DATE	11/13/97		11/18/97

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY



NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 18, 1997

Mr. Lee Liu
Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer
IES Utilities Inc.
200 First Street, SE.
P.O. Box 351
Cedar Rapids, IA 52406-0351

SUBJECT:

DUANE ARNOLD ENERGY CENTER - REVISED REQUEST FOR

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR RESOLUTION OF USI A-46 (M69444)

Dear Mr. Liu:

On October 29, 1997, the NRC issued a Request for Additional Information (RAI) seeking information needed to resolve USI A-46 for the Duane Arnold Energy Center (DAEC). Subsequently, the NRC staff determined that it needed to revise these questions and verbally notified DAEC that new questions would be forthcoming. The revised questions are enclosed. Please provide your response within 60 days so that the NRC can complete its review promptly. If you have any questions about our review, please contact me at (301) 415-3028.

This request for information affects fewer than 10 respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under Pub. L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

Glenn B. Kelly, Senior Project Manager

Coh 33 762

Project Directorate III-3

Division of Reactor Projects III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket No. 50-331

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Lee Liu IES Utilities Inc.

cc:

Jack Newman, Esquire Kathleen H. Shea, Esquire Morgan, Lewis, & Bockius 1800 M Street, NW. Washington, DC 20036-5869

Chairman, Linn County Board of Supervisors Cedar Rapids, IA 52406

IES Utilities Inc. ATTN: Gary Van Middlesworth Plant Superintendent, Nuclear 3277 DAEC Road Palo, IA 52324

John F. Franz, Jr. Vice President, Nuclear Duane Arnold Energy Center 3277 DAEC Road Palo, IA 52324

Ken Peveler Manager of Regulatory Performance Duane Arnold Energy Center 3277 DAEC Road Palo, IA 52324

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspector's Office Rural Route #1 Palo, IA 52324

Regional Administrator, RIII U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532-4531

Parween Baig Utilities Division lowa Department of Commerce Lucas Office Building, 5th floor Des Moines, IA 50319

REQUEST FOR DITIONAL INFORMATION

DUANE ARNOLD ENERGY CENTER

USI A-46

- References: 1. Letter from John Franz (DAEC) to NRC, "Response to NRC Request for Additional Information Resolution of USI A-46," dated August 30, 1996.
 - Letter from John Franz (DAEC) to NRC, "Response to NRC Request for Additional Information - Resolution of USI A-46," dated July 2, 1996.
- On pages 46 and 47 of Addendum 7 of Reference 1. you have provided a Table showing the elevations (in safety-related structures) where the in-structure response spectra (IRS) exceeds the corresponding (at the same damping value) reference spectra (1.5 times the bounding spectrum). Referring to your response to Question 6 in Reference 2, we believe that you have evaluated the equipment and their anchorages using the IRS when the equipment frequencies are less than 8 Hz. (a) For the equipment (within 40 feet above the effective grade) with fundamental frequencies above 8 Hz. provide the method(s) used for their evaluation where the IRSs exceed the corresponding reference spectra at frequencies above 8 Hz. (b) If Method A in Table 4-1 of the GIP-2 was used, provide your technical justification for not following the GIP limitation on the use of Method A in Section 4.2.3 when the ground spectrum times 1.5 is less than the IRSs for elevations within 40 feet above the grade level.
- In evaluating the raceway supports, a recent audit of a GIP-2 plant indicated that some licensees may be misusing the "ductile support" definition of Figure 8-7 of GIP-2 to avoid the check for lateral seismic loads. In this context, please provide the following information: (a) the number of supports (percentage of the total number of supports evaluated in the limited analytical review) considered as ductile, (b) the specific criteria used in determining that the supports are ductile, and (c) the extent to which the supports (that do not meet the vertical capacity check) and their anchorages will deform under the two horizontal components of the design basis earthquake.

Enclosure