Commonwealth Edison Company Dresden Generating Station 6500 North Dresden Road Morris, II. 60450 Tel 815-942-2920



January 26, 1998

JMHLTR: #98-0025

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Subject:

1997 Commitment Revision Annual Report

Dresden Nuclear Power Station

Docket Nos. 50-010, 50-237, and 50-249

Enclosed is the 1997 Report of revisions to commitments made in docketed correspondence for Dresden Nuclear Power Station.

This report contains summary information for the year 1997. Revisions to docketed correspondence were processed using NEI's guidance for Managing NRC Commitments, Revision 2, dated December 19, 1995.

If there are any questions regarding this report, please contact Mr. Frank Spangenberg, Dresden Station Regulatory Assurance Manager, at (815) 942-2920, ext. 3800.

Sincerely,

J. M. Heffley
Site Vice President
Dresden Station

Enclosure

cc: A. Bill Beach, Regional Administrator, Region III
Senior Resident 'nspector, Dresden

ffice of Nuclear Facility Safety - IDNS

A001/1

9802020177 980126 PDR ADOCK 05000010



- Original Document: NRC Inspection Report 237(249)-920(3); Enforcement
  - Conference Inspection Report 237(249)-92012.
- Subject of Change: Use of a checklist similar to the one used by NED for 10
  - CFR 50.59 Safety Evaluations and Screenings.
- Original Commitment: DAP 10-2 will be revised to require the use of a checklist
  - (similar to that used by NED) to provide written negative conformation of design issues when completing a 10 CFR
  - 50.59 screening or Evaluation.
- Revised Commitment: Revised to state that the "Design Issues Worksheet"
  - should be used for 10 CFR 50.59 Safety Evaluations and
  - Screenings.
- Basis: The change to this commitment is to change the word
  - shall to should. The revision does not preclude the use of
  - the checklist, but no longer mandates usage for all
  - Evaluations and Screenings.
- Original Document: TPJLTR: 95-0062, "Response to SALP 13 Report for
  - Dresden Station".
- Subject of Change: Utilization of Peer Evaluators from industry top
  - performers to perform quarterly reviews of Radiation
  - Protection (RP) trends.
- Original Commitment: Peer evaluators from industry top performers will be
  - utilized for quarterly reviews of RP trends.
- Revised Commitment: Deletion of Commitment
- Basis: The original commitment was not specific with relation to
  - time. Quarterly reviews were conducted until the time of this action. Due to improvements in the RP area this
  - commitment was eliminated.