

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Report No. 50-483/86005(DRSS)

License No. NPF-30

Docket No. 50-483

Licensee: Union Electric Company
P. O. Box 149 - Mail Code 400
St. Louis, MO 63166

Facility Name: Callaway County Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1

Inspection Conducted: March 10-14, 1986 (onsite) and
March 17-21, 1986 (in-office)

Date of Last Physical Security Inspection: April 29 through May 3, 1985

Type of Inspection: Unannounced Physical Security Inspection

Inspectors: J. R. Kniceley
J. R. Kniceley
Physical Security Inspector

5/12/86
Date

J. L. Belanger
J. L. Belanger
Physical Security Inspector

5/12/86
Date

Reviewed By: J. R. Creed
J. R. Creed, Chief
Safeguards Section

5/14/86
Date

Approved By: W. L. Axelson
W. L. Axelson, Chief
Nuclear Materials Safety
and Safeguards Branch

5/12/86
Date

Inspection Summary

Inspection on March 10-21, 1986 (Report No. 50-483/86005(DRSS))

Areas Inspected: Included a review of the Security Plan and Implementing Procedures; Management Effectiveness; Security Organization; Security Program Audit; Records and Reports; Testing and Maintenance; Physical Barriers - Protected and Vital Areas; Lighting; Assessment Aids; Access Control - Personnel, Packages, and Vehicles; Detection Aids - Protected and Vital Areas; Alarm Stations; and Communications. The inspection also addressed licensee

Enclosure contains
SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION
Upon separation this
page is Decontrolled

actions on previously identified program weaknesses/concerns. A review of allegations of security improprieties made by an anonymous alleger was also completed. In addition, the inspectors reviewed the licensee actions taken as a result of telephone threats made to the plant. The inspection began during the day shift.

Results: Based on this inspection, one violation was identified:

Physical Barriers - Protected Area: The licensee failed to have an adequate alarmed barrier from the owner controlled area to the protected area.

The allegations of security improprieties could not be substantiated. However, as a result of the actions taken by the licensee to address the allegations, the above noted violation was discovered. The licensee's investigative actions and increased security measures pertaining to the security related telephone threats were reviewed and considered adequate.

(DETAILS: UNCLASSIFIED SAFEGUARDS INFORMATION)