

APPENDIX A

18 2.25
3 of 10
2
THIS DOCUMENT IS UNCLASSIFIED
REPRODUCED WITHOUT NECESSARY
APPROVAL OF ISIV

Sacramento Municipal Utility District
P. O. Box 15830
Sacramento, California 95830

Docket No. 50-312
License No. DPR-54

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Based on the results of the NRC inspection conducted on October 16-19, 1978, it appears that certain of your activities were not in full compliance with applicable NRC regulations and conditions of your license, as indicated below:

1. The licensee's Security Plan Implementing Procedure (SPIP) No. 27, Escorts, states in part in Paragraph 1.5, "It shall be the escort's responsibility to provide continuous observation of the visitor while at Unit No. 1."

10 CFR 73.55 (b)(3) states, "The licensee shall establish, maintain and follow written security procedures which document the structure of the security organization and which detail the duties of guards, watchmen, and other individuals responsible for security."

Contrary to the above, the inspector observed on October 17, 1978, that a security guard was escorting two "escort required visitors," employees of Independent Disposal Service and their truck while collecting garbage within the protected area. The inspector noted that while the visitors worked at the rear of the truck, the security guard remained seated in the cab of the truck, where continuous observation of the workers was not possible.

This is an infraction.

2. The licensee's approved security plan, dated May 6, 1974, General Order 117-9, Section 4.2.3 states, "Gates 2 through 7 will be locked at all times unless otherwise directed."

10 CFR 73.2(m) states in part, "Lock . . . in the case of fences, walls, and buildings means an integral door lock or padlock which provides protection equivalent to a six-tumbler cylinder lock. Locked means protected by an operable lock."

Contrary to the above, the inspector determined on October 16, 1978, through observation and interview of licensee personnel that approximately November 1977, the licensee stopped using a six-pin tumbler padlock to lock protected area portals and started using a four-digit combination padlock not meeting the definition of 73.2(m).

This is an infraction.

- 3. 10 CFR 73.55(g)(2) states, "Each intrusion alarm shall be tested for performance at the beginning and end of any period that it is used for security. If the period of continuous use is longer than seven days, the intrusion alarm shall also be tested at least once every seven (7) days."

Contrary to the above, the inspector determined through review of licensee records on October 18, 1978, that the licensee failed to test the following protected area alarm zones at least once every seven days. During the dates indicated below, no tests of the alarm zones were documented in licensee records.

Zone A-1

- October 8, through 18, 1978
- August 19, through 28, 1978
- August 5, through 15, 1978
- July 15, through 26, 1978
- June 30, through July 10, 1978

Zone B-12

- September 28, through October 8, 1978
- August 18, through 27, 1978
- August 6, through 15, 1978
- July 23, through August 6, 1978
- July 8, through July 18, 1978
- June 25, through July 3, 1978

This is a deficiency.