PR-19,20,30 etal. 102)
(50 FR 51992)

RICHARD F. CELESTE

246 N. High Street Post Office Box 118 Columbus, Onio 43266-0118

Telephone (614) 466-3543

May 5, 1986

Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

ATTN: Pocketing & Service Branch

Gentlemen:

This is in reference to the Federal Register Notice of January 9, 1986 announcing the proposed revisions to 10 CFR 20, et al.

Overall I think this is a major improvement in NRC radiation protection standards. I wish to commend particularly:

- * The sum of 5 rem annual whole body occupational dose limit (20.201(a)(1)(i)),
- * The sum of 15 rem annual lens of the eye limit (20.201(a)(3)(i)),
- * The sum of 50 rem to an organ or tissue other than the lens of the eye (20.201(a)(1)(ii)),
- * The 0.5 cem dose to the fetus (20.208),
- * The 0.001 rem collective dose provision (20.304),
- * The use of DAC's based upon ICPP models and other data (20.201(d)).

I think the 0.5 rem fetus dose is particularly important for the NRC to be consistent with current scientific recommendations. I strongly urge that this standard be included in the final regulation.

I am concerned with the implementation of the reference level (20.303). As written this may defacto become a new dose limit of 0.1 rem to individuals in the general public. This would have particular significance to existing x-ray facilities which were designed at the 0.5 rem standard.

D310 PE Alexander, 1136 55. Add: PE Alexander, 1136 55. Wim. almstead, 964 MUBB

Acknowledges by cond ... MAY 9 1986 pd



Secretary of the Commission May 5, 1986 Page 2

While I can understand the difficulty of switching in total to SI units, I find it paradoxical that the U.S. and only two other minor countries do not use SI units.

Sincerely,

Tobert M. Ouillin, CHP

Radiological Health Program Director

RMO/ka