

1255

DOCKET NUMBER

PROPOSED RULE

PR 50

(53 FR 16435)

DOCKETED  
June 22, 1988

'88 JUN 23 P6:49

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission  
Attn: Docketing and Service Branch  
U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, D.C. 20555


To The Commissioners,

The reason for this letter is to support your decision concerning the rule clarification as to the requirements of emergency planning needs during "low-power" testing at a nuclear power plant. I support your findings that a full-scale public notification system is not necessary during this testing phase.

As a resident of the New Hampshire seacoast area and an employee of New Hampshire Yankee, I feel that Seabrook Station is safely built and that the risks associated with low-power testing at the plant are insignificant. I have been involved in the nuclear industry for eight years and have seen, first hand, the safety that is built into the nuclear power plants being licensed today. I am proud to be associated with an industry that can boast about its commitment to public safety and of its incomparable safety record. For these reasons I support the rule change as proposed. Also, I would like to point out that a fully operational public notification system is in place in the surrounding New Hampshire communities and there "was" one installed in the Massachusetts communities, (available for re-installation at the States request).

In closing, the rule clarification is, in my opinion, just a clarification and does not pose any safety risk to the public.

Sincerely,



Daniel P. Rogers

DS-10