

December 14, 1987

Mr. Donnie H. Grimsley
Director
Division of Rules and Records
Office of Administration
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

FOIA 87-870

451

RE: FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

Dear Mr. Grimsley:

This request is made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S. C. 522, as amended. UNION ASSOCIATES submits this request to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to obtain documents regarding the following:

THE FEBRUARY 18, 1983 MEETING AT THE SHOREHAM NUCLEAR PLANT AMONG NRC REPRESENTATIVES, LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY (LILCO) PERSONNEL, STONE & WEBSTER ENGINEERING (S&W) PERSONNEL AND OTHERS.

In your response, the NRC should consider "documents" to mean any and all forms of written communication, including internal NRC staff memoranda. Your response should also include, but not be limited to, the following:

reports, studies, plans, graphs, charts, diagrams, memoranda, correspondence, test results, working papers, meeting notes and minutes, computer records and print-outs, notes and summaries of conversations and interviews.

These documents are specifically requested from, but not limited to, the following offices of the NRC:

Office of Investigations
Office of Inspection and Audit
Office of Nuclear Reactor Research
Office of Inspection and Enforcement
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research
Office of Analysis and Evaluation of Operational Data
Operating Reactors Branch of the Division of Licensing.

UNION ASSOCIATES requests the NRC to provide all documents prepared or utilized by, in the possession of, or routed through the NRC containing any and all information related to the following.

8803150252 871214 PDR F0IA PALAST87-870 PDR

388-22/8

Page 2 Mr. Donnie Grimsley December 14, 1987

- Purpose of the February 18, 1983 meeting among LILCO, S&W and NRC staff.
- 2. All attendees or other participants at the meeting.
- 3. Job titles of attendees or participants.
- 4. All documents prepared by LILCO or SIW for use at the meeting.
- 5. All documents prepared by NRC for use at the meeting.
- 6. Meeting notes and/or minutes.
- 7. All follow-up documentation prepared by the NRC and/or LILCO and S&W, especially those documents which describe conclusions reached at the meeting or actions taken as a result of the meeting.
- 8. Any document describing instructions by NRC personnel to William J. Museler, especially those documents describing NRC instructions to Museler regarding Category II, Seismic Inspections or discussions related to Category II. Seismic I components.

NOTE TO NRC: There was a meeting between LILCO personnel and NRC staff in Bethesda, Maryland, on February 18, 1983. We are not interested in the meeting in Bethesda. The meeting covered by this FOIA took place at the Shoreham site. Also, to help you with your research I'd like to give you the facts which we know about the Shoreham meeting. Much of the meeting concerned the Readiness Assessment Team's findings during their January 1983 inspection (322-50/83-02). NRC open item 322-50/82-30.03 regarding Category II, Seismic I components at Shoreham was discussed at this meeting, as well. Attending that meeting were James C. Higgins (NRC), E.B. Greenman (NRC), William J. Museler (LILCO), Robert Wiesel (S&W) and others.

In your response, please identify which documents correspond to each request itemized above. If any of the material covered by this request has been destroyed and/or removed, please provie all surrounding documentation, including, but not limited to to a description of the action(s) taken, relevant date(s) and justification(s) for the action(s).

Page 3 Mr. Donnie Grimsley December 14, 1987

For any documents or portions of documents you deny due to a specific FOIA exemption, please provide an index itemizing and describing the documents or portions of documents withheld. Pursuant to Vaughn v. Rosen (1). 484 F.2d 280 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974), the index is required to provide a detailed justification of your grounds for claiming each exemption and an explanation of why each exemption is relevant to the document or portion of the document withheld.

We look forward to your answer within ten days. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Gregory A. Palast
Senior Associate

GAP/sl