(53 FR 16 435)

'88 JUN 23 P1 :42

COCKETED

DOCKETING A ERVICE

June 22, 1988

Secretary of the Commission Attn: Docketing and Service Branch U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir,

I am writing to give my support to the proposed clarification for low-power testing, that it is not necessary for a plant to have the same full-scale public notification system in place that is required for full-power operation.

For Seabrook, specifically, the proposed rule will not decrease public safety in any way. Seabrook has already gone the extra mile to build the safest nuclear plant ever constructed. It has met every regulatory requirement. It has installed a public notification system very early on, and saw it deliberately removed by Commonwealth of Massachusetts in their attempt to block Seabrook's opening. It has designated and submitted a new system to take the place of the original system. The proposed rule, when approved, will clear up any confusion over the public notification system requirements for low-power testing.

I support any effort to reduce misunderstanding. New England needs the power Seabrook will provide.

Sincerely yours,

Roland C. Bergeron

2 Woods Drive

Newmarket, NH 03857