88 JN 20 84 55,88

PROPOSED RULE PR 50

(53 FR 16435)

DOCKLIED

APENtions Docketing and Service Branch

Samuel J. Chilk Secretary of the Commission U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

On May 9, 1988, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) published a proposed change to its emergency planning requirements for fuel loading and low-power operation of nuclear power plants.

The proposed rule change would eliminate many existing low power licensing requirements, including offsite emergency response plans, any public-notification systems (including sirens), and the training of offsite emergency response personnel.

As a resident of the Seabrook nuclear plant area, I vigorously oppose the proposed amendment to existing safety requirements.

The proposed rule has been developed with only the utility's interests in mind. The NRC is once again moving to place the public at risk for the sole purpose of allowing Seabrook Station to obtain a low-power license, even though the question of whether it will ever be granted a full-power license is seriously in doubt.

In the interest of public safety, I urge the Commission to reject the proposed rule change. All offsite emergency response planning requirements must be in place before allowing any degree of risk to the public, including risks from low power operation.

Sincerely,

Susan marsh

8807010239 880606 PDR PR 50 53FR16435 PDR

Name and Address: 10 Mercull St West newbring

West newbury Both my husband a myself feel that an evacuation would be impossible. I am an evacuation would be impossible a nurse a work in the Newburyport a nurse a work in the Newburyport prea and my husband is a Newburyport prea and my husband is a Newburyport police officer. The traffic in summer and police officer. The traffic in summer and winty is heavy while we help evacuate who will? Winty is heavy while we help evacuate who will? Winty is heavy while we help evacuate who will?