

South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P.O. Box 289 Wadsworth, Texas 77483

November 23, 1998 NOC-AE-000355 File No.: G26 10CFR50.73 STI: 30761424

m

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

> South Texas Project Unit 1 Docket No. STN 50-498 Licensee Event Report 98-010 Entry into Technical Specification 3.0.3 for Inoperable Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Ventilation System

Pursuant to 10CFR50.73, the South Texas Project Nuclear Operating Company submits the attached Unit 1 Licensee Event Report 98-010 regarding Entry into Technical Specification 3.0.3 for Inoperable Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Ventilation System. This event did not have an adverse effect on the health and safety of the public.

Licensee commitments are found in the corrective action section of the attachment. If you should have any questions on this matter, please contact Mr. S. M. Head at (512) 972-7136 or me at (512) 972-7800.

12 Nonlier

G. L. Parkey <sup>0</sup> Plant Manager, Unit 1

KAW/

Attachment: LER 98-010 (South Texas, Unit 1)



Jerr

e:\wp\nf\nrc-wk\ler-98\ler19810.doc

NOC-AE-000355 File No.: G26 Page 2

Ellis W. Merschoff Regional Administrator, Region IV U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011-8064

Thomas W. Alexion Project Manager, Mail Code 13H3 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555-0001

Cornelius F. O'Keefe Sr. Resident Inspector c/o U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77404-0910

J. R. Newman, Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M. Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

M. T. Hardt/W. C. Gunst City Public Service P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704 Jon C. Wood Matthews & Branscomb One Alamo Center 106 S. St. Mary's Street, Suite 700 San Antonio, TX 78205-3692

Institute of Nuclear Power Operations - Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-5957

Richard A. Ratliff Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756-3189

D. G. Tees/R. L. Balcom Houston Lighting & Power Co. P. O. Box 1700 Houston, TX 77251

Central Power and Light Company ATTN: G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson P. O. Box 289, Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 77483

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555-0001

## C:

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION (4-95) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)					APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 04/30/98 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THI MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO TH LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWAR COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (1-6 F30) LIS NUCLES										
		10	digits/cha	aracters for e	each block	)			THE P	APE	ORY CO	MMISSION, WAS	SHINGTO	N, DC 205	55-0001, AND T
FACILITY N	AME (1)		น และที่จองและ หมะเล	natività Nationale de la competenza de la competenza					DOCKE	TN	UMBER (	2)			PAGE (3)
South T	lexas,	Unit 1									050	000 498		PAGE 1 OF 5	
TITLE (4)								CALLS AND AND A REAL PROPERTY.	Charles Made and Party of Control			and an address of the second system as the	and a second		
Entry in	nto Te	chnical	Specific	cation 3.0.3	for Inop	erable Fi	iel Ha	ndling	Build	ling	g Exh	aust Ventila	tion Sy	stem	
EVEN	IT DATI	E (5)		LER NUMBER	R (6)	REPO	RTDAT	E (7)	-	monut	0	THER FACILIT	IES INV	DLVED (	B)
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER		
10	21	98	98	010	00	11	19	98	FACILITY NAME				DOCKET NUMBER		
OPERA	TING		THIS RE	PORT IS SUB	MITTED PU	RSUANT	TO THE	REQUI	REMEN	ITS	OF 10	CFR &: (Check	cone or	(1) (1)	05000
MODE	(9)	1	120.2	201(b)		20 2203/	a)/2)///			21	50 72/0	1/21/11		1507	A/
POWER			20.2203(a)(1)			20.2203(a)(3)(i)				50.73(a)(2)(i)				50.75(a)(2)(Vill)	
LEVEL	(10)	100				20.2200(d)(0)(l)			50.		55.75(a)(z)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(x)	
		1 100	20.2	203(a)(2)(1)		20.2203(a)(3)(ii)			50.73(a)(2)(iii)				73.71		
			20.2	203(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203(	20.2203(a)(4)			50.73(a)(2)(iv)				OTHER	
			20.2203(a)(2)(iii) 50.30			50.50(0)	50.00(0)(1)			-	50.73(a	1)(2)(V)		in NRC Form 366A	
anta anti anti anti anti anti anti anti			20.24	203(8)(2)(1)	LICEN	50.36(C)(	2)	0.0.71.110			50.73(a	l)(2)(VII)			CALIFORNIA COMPLEMENTS
NAME					LICEN	SEE CON	ACTE	OR THIS	TER (	12) ELE	PHONE N	UMBER (Include Ar	ea Code)		601-Fats Westman and Jahr and Street and
Scott M	I. Hea	d - Lic	ensing S	upervisor								(512)	972-7	136	
	NAMES OF COLUMN		COMPL	ETE ONE LIN	E FOR EAC	HCOMPO	NENTE	AILURE	DESC	RI	BED IN	THIS REPORT	(13)	100	
CAUSE	SY	STEM	COMPON	ENT MANUFAC	TURER	ORTABLE		CAU	SE SYSTEM COMPO		COMPONENT	MANUF	ACTURER	REPORTABLE	
Х		VG	MO	R16	55	YES									TO EPIX
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)						L	EXPECTED MONTH DAY SUBMISSION				Y YEAR				
YES (If yes	YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).					)			DA	TE (15)					

On October 19, 1998, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At 1414 hours on October 19, 1998, the Unit 1 Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Booster Fan (11A) was declared inoperable when a ground indication was discovered during a surveillance procedure. It was determined that the fan motor required replacement. The existing design configuration required a temporary modification to isolate the fan/motor from the rest of the system for removal and replacement because the common exhaust and supply plenums associated with the three Fuel Handling Building exhaust booster fans would be breached. During the time the fans are placed in "pull to lock" the Fuel Handling Building ventilation is inoperable, which is a condition prohibited by Technical Specification 3.7.8 and 3.3.2, Table 3.3-3. Both of these conditions would require that Technical Specification. Work was performed on the system and on October 21, 1998, at 1532 hours the train A Fuel Handling Building Exhaust air system was restored to operable status. Corrective actions include a review of preventative maintenance currently performed on the motors, implementation of a plant modification to allow isolation of individual components or trains on-line, determination of root cause for the motor insulation failure, and replacing/repairing all FHB exhaust booster fan motors.

NRC FORM 366 (4-95)

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)			U.S. NUCL	EAR REGULATOR	Y COMMISSION
LICENSEI	E EVENT REPORT (I EXT CONTINUATION	ER)			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	T	LER NUN	PAGE (3)	
South Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	Page 2 of 5
		98		010 00	

## DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On October 19, 1998, Unit 1 was in Mode 1 at 100% power. At 1414 hours on October 19, 1998, the Unit 1 Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Booster Fan (11A) was declared inoperable when a ground indication was discovered during a surveillance procedure. Technical Specifications 3.7.8, and 3.9.12 were entered. It was determined that the fan motor required replacement.

The existing design configuration required a temporary modification to isolate the fan/motor from the rest of the system for removal and replacement because the common exhaust and supply plenums associated with the three Fuel Handling Building exhaust booster fans would be breached. During the time the fans are placed in "pull to lock" the Fuel Handling Building ventilation is inoperable, which is a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications 3.7.8 and 3.3.2, Table 3.3-3. This condition would require that Technical Specification 3.0.3 be entered. The South Texas Project requested discretion from complying with the actions of Technical Specification 3.0.3 during breach of the system to install and remove the temporary modification. The Nuclear Regulatory Commission approved this request.

On October 21, 1998, at approximately 1314 hours, Technical Specification 3.0.3 was entered when all trains of the Fuel Handling Building Exhaust air system were secured to support installation of the temporary modification.

On October 21, 1998, at approximately 1532 hours, the temporary modification was installed and satisfactorily tested, and Technical Specification 3.0.3 was exited when Trains B and C were restored to operable status. Following completion of the motor replacement of fan 11A, Technical Specification 3.0.3 was re-entered on October 22, 1998, at 1411 hours, when all trains of Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Air System were secured to support the removal of the temporary modification.

On October 22, 1998, at approximately 1550 hours, the temporary modification was removed and the post restoration test was completed. Technical Specification 3.0.3 was exited when Trains B and C were restored to operable status. On October 22, 1998, Train A Fuel Handling Building Exhaust air system was restored to operable status following the satisfactory completion of testing and Technical Specifications 3.7.8 and 3.9.12 were exited.

## CAUSE OF EVENT:

The cause of entering Technical Specification 3.0.3 was plant design does not support motor repairs in the allowed outage time without shutting down the plant.

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)	an a		U.S. NUCL	EAR REGULATOR	YCOMMISSION				
LICE	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	T	LER NUN	ABER (6)	PAGE (3)				
South Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION	Page 3 of 5				
		98		010 00					

## ANALYSIS OF EVENT:

Failure to meet Technical Specification requirements is reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). The South Texas Project requested enforcement discretion from the provisions of Technical Specification 3.0.3 as it applies to the requirements of Technical Specification 3.7.8 and 3.3.2 (Table 3.3-3 Functional Unit 11) to maintain three independent Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Booster Fans, and three independent Fuel Handling Building Main Exhaust Fans and required actuation instrumentation operable. This request was approved by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission on October 21, 1998. For the removal of the Unit 1 Exhaust Booster Fan motor 11A, Technical Specification 3.0.3 was entered twice in support of installation/restoration of a temporary modification which installed blank plates at the inlet and exhaust of the fan to isolate it from the rest of the system.

The purpose of the Fuel Handling Building HVAC System is to mitigate the consequences of a fuel handling accident as well as a Loss-of-Coolant Accident (LOCA) by limiting plant site boundary dose to within the guidelines of IOCFRIO0. This is accomplished by routing exhaust air from the spent fuel pool and the remainder of the Fuel Handling Building through HEPA filters and iodine removal carbon filters if high levels of airborne radioactivity are detected in the exhaust air (automatically upon a Safety Injection signal).

Operability of the Fuel Handling Building Exhaust Air System ensures that radioactive material leaking from the Emergency Core Cooling equipment within the Fuel Handling Building, following a loss of coolant accident, and radioactive material release from an accident involving an irradiated assembly in the Fuel Handling Building are filtered prior to reaching the environment.

Normally, exhaust air bypasses the filter units and is exhausted directly to the plant main vent stack. Upon detection of high radiation or Safety Injection signal, exhaust air is routed through the filter units, the exhaust booster fans, and main exhaust air fans, and is then delivered to the plant main vent stack.

Two accident scenarios are relevant with regard to the Fuel Handling Building HVAC system. The Fuel Handling Accident was precluded by ensuring that no loads were carried over the spent fuel pool and no irradiated fuel was moved during the time the repair activities occurred. The Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident, although clearly within the design basis of the plant, is a highly unlikely occurrence. In the event that a Large Break Loss of Coolant Accident were to occur, it would take a minimum of 16 minutes for the Refueling Water Storage Tank to empty and the Emergency Core Cooling System to go into the recirculation mode. At that point it would be assumed that radiation leakage from the Emergency Core Cooling System would require the Fuel Handling Building HVAC system to be in service. Sixteen minutes is ample time to secure the work, restore the plenum, take the fans out of pull-to-lock, and for the workers to exit the Fuel Handling Building.

Compensatory measures were taken during the repairs to ensure that, in the unlikely event emergency operations were required, adequate time was available to manually start the Fuel Handling Building Main and Exhaust fan motors. These included:

NRC (4-95	FORM 366A			U.S. NUCL	EAR REGULATON	RY COMMISSIO
(4.95	LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CON	T REPORT (I	ER)			
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET		LER NUM	ABER (6)	PAGE (3)
Sou	th Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	Page 4 of 5
Children and Chi			98		010 00	
1.	Informing the Control Room prior to opening the Fu	uel Handling Bu	ilding	Exhaust I	Booster Fan c	ommon
2.	Maintaining a watchstander at each opening in conti The following contingency actions were also in place	nuous commun e during the wo	ication rk:	n with the	Control Roon	n.
	<ul> <li>Securing work, removing loose material, and reiduring this process a reactor trip occurred or if t Containment Building radioactivity that would be boundary leak.</li> </ul>	nstalling the acc he Control Roo e an indication	ess pa m not of a R	anel to the iced an ind eactor Co	plenum if at a crease in the F olant System	any time Reactor pressure
	<ul> <li>Manually starting the required Fuel Handling Bu in automatic, as required if a reactor trip occurre</li> </ul>	ilding Main and d after plenum	Exha integr	ust Boost ity is conf	er Fans, or pla irmed.	acing them
Tł	ere were no adverse safety or radiological consequences	from this event.				
<u>C(</u>	DRRECTIVE ACTIONS:					
1.	A review of existing periodic and preventive mainter Several enhancements were identified for developme	nance performedent. These inclu	d on th ide:	nese moto	rs was conduc	cted.
	<ul> <li>Revising the lube/inspection activity</li> <li>Developing new yearly PM activities for insu</li> <li>Developing new three year PM activities to p</li> </ul>	lation resistanc	e testi p volta	ng the boo age testing	oster fan moto	ors
	The schedule for completion of this evaluation and t below.	esting is provid	ed in t	he Plan of	f Action descr	ibed
2.	STPNOC is developing a modification that will allow without rendering the other two fans inoperable. Th (spring 1999) and for Unit 2 in 2RE07 (fall 1999).	w maintenance a is modification	und/or will be	replaceme implemen	ent of a FHB nted for Unit	booster fan 1 in 1RE08
3.	A Technical Specifications change was submitted on an exhaust booster fan, without entering Technical S components would be made inoperable during the m	Specification 3.0 Specification 3.0	1998 ).3, wl vity.	, to allow hen all exh	up to 12 hour haust air system	s to repair ms
4.	A root cause for the motor insulation failure was con Booster Fan 11A was due to inadequate insulation des	mpleted and ind ign and manufac	icates turing	the that the quality.	e failure of the	Exhaust
5.	Finalize a plan of action to rewind failed and spare R systematically replace/repair all FHB exhaust booster below.	eliance frame 32 fan motors. I	e6TCZ Details	of this pl	o improved sta an of action a	indards and re included

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)		na ventet elemente	U.S. NUCL	EAR REGULATO	RY COMMISSIO
LICENSEE	EVENT REPORT (I	LER)			
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1		ARER (6)	PACE (2)
South Texas, Unit 1	05000 498	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL	REVISION	Page 5 of 5
		08	NUMBER	NUMBER 010 00	
				010 - 00	
	Plan of Action				
1 Conduct a thorough root cause analysis o	n the foiled Evel Mend	11: D.	11.1		
11A motor. (Completed November 9, 199	98)	inng Bi	lilding (F	(HB) exhaust	booster fan
2. Establish a repair contract with a qualifie	d vendor to rewind Sa	fety Cl	ass 1E R	eliance frame	326TC7
50-hp motors utilizing the STPNOC spec	cifications. (Complete	d Nove	mber 12.	1998)	STOLE
3. Ship the two failed motors to the qualifie	d vendor for rewind by	y Nove	mber 30,	1998.	
<ol><li>After one newly rewound motor is return</li></ol>	ed to the STPNOC wa	irehous	e, send th	ne remaining	spare
motor to a qualified repair vendor for dia	gnostic testing and rev	wind. E	valuate f	the results of	diagnostic
testing by April 15, 1999.					
5. Install plant modification in Unit 1 during	g the 1RE08 (Spring 1	999) re	fueling o	outage to facil	itate FHB
6 Systematically replace Unit 1 motor and re	eplacement.		F00 1.		
restocking the warehouse spares	n upgraded spares prio	or to IR	E09 whi	le rewinding	and
7. Install plant modification in Unit 2 during	a 28E07 (Eall 1000) .	afuolin	a outogo	to facilitate I	CUD
exhaust booster fan motor removal and re	eplacement	eruenn	g outage	to facilitate r	THB
8. Systematically replace Unit 2 motors with	h upgraded spares prio	or to 2R	E08 whi	le rewinding	and
restocking the warehouse spares.	10-10-1-1-1-1			ie ie in maning	
In addition, the following items have been incorr	porated in the STPNO	C preve	entive/pre	edictive main	tenance
programs to closely monitor the performance of	the FHB Exhaust Boos	ster Far	n n'otors:		
heen implemented	ces that consider tempe	erature	correctio	on and beimidi	ity have
2. Testing on both the U1 and U2 FHB Exhaust	Booster Fan motors h	as hee	comple	ted as of Nou	ambar 5
1998.	Dooster I an motors I	145 0001	reompie	ted as of Nov	ember 5,
3. DC step voltage PMs have been developed as	nd are being scheduled	d for pe	rformand	ce.	
4. Vibration monitoring parameters have been a	adjusted to capture hig	h frequ	ency pea	ks that could	indicate
potentially loose stator coils.					
ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:					
There have been three prior failures of a Fuel Hau	dling Building Exhaus	t Boost	er Fan ir	1087 1002	and 1008
In July 1987, prior to issuance of the initial Opera	ting License, an Exhau	ist Boos	ter Fan n	notor failed 7	The cause
was determined to be a random failure of the moto	or and the motor was re	eplaced	On Aug	ust 15, 1992.	a fan
motor had an existing ground when it failed duri	ng a surveillance. In A	pril of	1998, Ui	nit 1 LER-98-	-004
reported a fan motor that had an existing ground	when it failed while b	eing re	stored fro	om a surveilla	ance test.
The April 1998 failure exhibited similar symptom	is as the October 1998	failure.			
The fan motor is a Reliance 50 HP, 460 Volt, 3PH	1. 60 Hz, Frame 326T7	C. The	fan is a	vaneaxial typ	e Model
number 36-26-1770 (2-stage). The failure of this t	type of motor at STP w	as not a	substan	tial safety haz	ard per

10CFR21.

-