- n 2. 522 2 2



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20666

JN 25 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR: P. F. McKee, Deputy Director, Comanche Peak Project Division

THRU: R. F. Warnick, Assistant Director for Inspection Programs, Comanche Peak Project Division

FROM: I. Barnes, Senior Project Inspector, Comanche Peak Project Division

SUBJECT: STATUS OF POST TRT ALLEGATIONS SHOWN AS OPEN IN ALLEGATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

A review has been performed of the status of post TRT allegations currently shown as open in the Allegation Management System. The tabulation below summarizes the results of this review.

OSP ALLEGATION NO	CORRESPONDING RIV ALLEGATION NO.	INSPECTION STATUS	NRC INSP. REPORT
OSP-86-A-0148	4-86-A-0005	Open (See Note 1)	
VOSP-86-A-0149	4-86-A-013	Complete	86-26/86-22# A:
· OSP-86-A-0150	4-86-A-015	Complete	86-15/86-12
		(See Note 2)	
OSP-86-A-0151	4-86-A-019	Open	*
		(See Note 3)	
- OSP-86-A-0152	4-86-A-026	Complete	85-22/86-20#
· OSP-86-A-0154	4-86-A-050	Complete	86-26/86-22*
		(See Note 4)	
OSP-86-A-0155	4-86-A-052	Complete	86-31/86-25# Ag
VOSP-86-A-0156	4-86-A-056	Complete	86-26/86-22# 49
· OSP-86-A-0157	4-86-A-066	None planned	*
		(See Note 5)	
· OSP-86-A-0158	4-86-A-072	None Planned	*
		(See Note 6)	
-OSP-86-A-0159	4-86-A-079	Complete	86-31/86-25# Ag
VOSP-86-A-0160	4-86-A-107	Complete	86-31/86-254 Ag

Note 1: The two concerns RIV agreed to handle require more detailed information if meaningful followup is to be performed.

Note 2: Recommend RIV Allegation Coordinator be contacted regarding closeout status.

8802110161 870625 PDR FDIA BAUMAN87-A-97 PDR P. F. McKee

Note 3: Subjects referred by RIV to applicant for followup. Applicant status requires checking before determination of CPPD required actions.

- Note 4: Technical assessments complete. Recommend RIV Allegation Coordinator be Contacted for his input on this subject.
- No specifics provided by alleger to site staff. Subject was referred administrative closeout after contact with RIV Allegation Coordinator.
- ote 6: No safety concerns identified.

t is recommended that, for those allegations which assessments are omplete and documented in issued inspection reports, the specific eedback status to allegers be established and appropriate actions aken to close out the items.

> I. Barnes, Senior Project Inspector, Comanche Peak Project Division

C:

. Gower

- . Hale
- . Lyons
- . Warnick

2

MEETING ON ALLEGATION MANAGEMENT PROCESS

• • •

ł

OBJECTIVES

- Obtain cursory overview of regional instruction dealing with management of allegations and step through the mechanics of an example.
- Outline process for managing and categorizing allegations; cross-referencing against employee concern-type programs; unique sorting/presentation techniques.
- Obtain a brief synopsis of status of project allegations.
- Outline suggested criteria the Allegation Review Committee may use during their deliberations.
- 5. View the typical content of allegation files.
- Define the work outstanding to complete the transition of allegation files from the Region to OSP.

FOIA-87-558 C/7