November 24, 1998

Mr. William T. Cottle President and Chief Executive Officer STP Nuclear Operating Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON NEW FUEL DESIGN. SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 (STP) (TAC NOS. MA1472 AND MA14.3)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

. .

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff is reviewing STP Nuclear Operating Company's (STPNOC's) March 31, 1998, response to NRC's March 11, 1998, questions regarding the potential for irregular fuel rod bowing to occur with the new fuel design [P+ fuel (ZIRLO[™] with protective bottom grids)] due to the placement of fuel rods near the bottom nozzle. Based on its review, the staff has determined that additional information is needed, as discussed in the enclosure.

This request was discussed with Ms. Kathleen Work of your staff on November 3, 1998, and a mutually agreeable target date of a response to the enclosure within 60 days was established. The staff appreciates the efforts expended with respect to this matter.

Since

OR AL SIGNED BY:

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

PDR

Docket File EAdensam (EGA1) TAlexion TGwynn, RIV

PUBLIC PD4-1 r/f JHannon CHawes OGC ACRS TCollins MChatterton

SWu JZwolinski

Document Name: STPA1472.RAI

OFC	PM/BBAT	LA/PD4-1	BC/SRXB	PD/PD4-1
NAME	TAlexide	CHawes	TCollins to	JHannon
DATE	113/98	h13 198	11 123 198	11 124 198
COPY	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO	YES/NO

PDR

9811300166 981124 ADOCK 05000498 1100

a work of a manufact of head of a manufact of and at



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

November 24, 1998

Mr. William T. Cottle President and Chief Executive Officer STP Nuclear Operating Company South Texas Project Electric Generating Station P. O. Box 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ON NEW FUEL DESIGN. SOUTH TEXAS PROJECT, UNITS 1 AND 2 (STP) (TAC NOS. MA1472 AND MA1473)

Dear Mr. Cottle:

The Nuclear Regul tory Commission (NRC) staff is reviewing STP Nuclear Operating Company's (STPNOC's) March 31, 1998, response to NRC's March 11, 1998, questions regarding the potential for irregular fuel rod bowing to occur with the new fuel design [P+ fuel (ZIRLO™ with protective bottom grids)] due to the placement of fuel rods near the bottom nozzle. Based on its review, the staff has determined that additional information is needed, as discussed in the enclosure.

This request was discussed with Ms. Kathleen Work of your staff on November 3, 1998, and a mutually agreeable target date of a response to the enclosure within 60 days was established. The staff appreciates the efforts expended with respect to this matter.

Sincerely,

Thomas W. Alexion, Project Manager Project Directorate IV-1 Division of Reactor Projects III/IV Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/encl: See next page

Mr. William T. Cottle STP Nuclear Operating Company

CC:

Mr. Cornelius F. O'Keefe Senior Resident Inspector U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission P. O. Box 910 Bay City, TX 77414

A. Ramirez/C. M. Canady City of Austin Electric Utility Department 721 Barton Springs Road Austin, TX 78704

Mr. M. T. Hardt Mr. W. C. Gunst City Public Service Board P. O. Box 1771 San Antonio, TX 78296

Mr. G. E. Vaughn/C. A. Johnson Central Power and Light Company P. O. Box 289 Mail Code: N5012 Wadsworth, TX 74483

INPO Records Center 700 Galleria Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339-3064

Regional Administrator, Region IV U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400 Arlington, TX 76011

D. G. Tees/R. L. Balcom Houston Lighting & Power Co. P. O. Box 1700 Houston, TX 77251

Judge, Matagorda County Matagorda County Courthouse 1700 Seventh Street Bay City, TX 77414 South Texas, Units 1 & 2

Jack R. Newman, Esq. Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5869

Mr. Lawrence E. Martin Vice President, Nuc. Assurance & Licensing STP Nuclear Operating Company P. O. Elox 289 Wadsworth, TX 77483

Office of the Governor ATTN: John Howard, Director Environmental and Natural Resources Policy P. O. Box 12428 Austin, TX 78711

Jon C. Wood Matthews & Branscomb One Alamo Center 106 S. St. Mary's Street, Suite 700 San Antonio, TX 78205-3692

Arthur C. Tate, Director Division of Compliance & Inspection Bureau of Radiation Control Texas Department of Health 1100 West 49th Street Austin, TX 78756

Jim Calloway Public Utility Commission of Texas Electric Industry Analysis P. O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- NRC's March 11, 1998, letter asks that data or analysis be provided that addresses fuel rod bowing for the new fuel design. It furthers asks if none is available, propose a plan for confirmatory post-irradiation examination (PIE).
 - If no data is available, please so state.
 - b. If no analysis is available, please so state.
 - c. If there is only partial analysis, please indicate what is covered by analysis. In addition, please provide a summary of the partial analysis (i.e., assumptions, codes used, and results).
 - d. The PIE should verify the results of analysis.
 - e. The PIE should supplement those areas not covered by analysis.
 - f. The PIE should address fuel rod growth, assembly growth and fuel rod bowing.

Please address all of the above.

.

- 2. The second paragraph on page 2 of the March 31, 1998, response makes comparisons between the 14-foot fuel design at South Texas and the Westinghouse 12-foot design. It states that when comparing the 14-foot fuel to 12-foot fuel, the 14-foot fuel has a greater diameter, which presumably makes the fuel rod stiffer and less susceptible to bowing. However, also as discussed on page 2, when comparing the 14-foot fuel to 12-foot fuel to 12-foot fuel with intermediate flow mixers, the 14-foot fuel has an increased grid span length, which presumably makes the fuel rod more susceptible to bowing. Therefore, comparisons between the 14-foot fuel and the 12-foot fuel are inconclusive unless these two competing factors can be quantified to determine which one has the greater effect. Please explain.
- 3. Please address the above questions for both the Phase 1 Zirlo-clad fuel in Unit 1 and the Phase 2 Zirlo-clad fuel planned for Unit 2 during the October refueling outage. (Note that NRC's March 11, 1998, questions were inadvertently limited to the Phase 1 fuel).

ENCLOSURE