



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

NOV 28 1988

MEMORANDUM FOR: Charles E. Rossi, Director
Division of Operational Events Assessment, NRR

FROM: Edward J. Butcher, Chief
Technical Specifications Branch
Division of Operational Events Assessment, NRR

SUBJECT: MINUTES OF MEETING WITH NUMARC TASK GROUP WHICH IS WRITING
NEW STS FOR CONTAINMENT

On Wednesday, October 26, 1988, the staff met with the NUMARC task group which is writing the new Standard Technical Specifications (STS) containment sections to hear their early estimate of anticipated technical changes. The staff was also interested in discerning any technical change that might require a review effort outside the normal STS process.

The owners groups commented that the following technical changes are to be proposed with the new STS for large dry containments:

1. A new definition of "CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY."

The owners groups commented that a more clear definition was required to accomplish the following:

- A. Resolve conflicts between the allowed outage times (AOT) for restoration of CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY and restoration of individual components required for CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY. For example, the owners groups commented that some specifications have a 4 hour AOT to restore CONTAINMENT INTEGRITY, while other specifications have only a 1 hour AOT to restore certain containment isolation valves.
 - B. Establish consistency among the owners groups definitions.
2. The owners groups commented that they were considering combining all attributes of containment integrity, consistent with the new definition, into a single specification.
3. The "split report" allowed the specification for "Containment Leakage" to be relocated outside technical specifications; however, it required Pa, La, Ld, and Lt to be retained in technical specifications or in the bases of the appropriate containment LCO. The owners groups propose to relocate Pa, La, Ld, and Lt to section 5 of the technical specifications.
4. The owners groups propose to modify the specification for containment air locks to require one door to be closed instead of two doors.

The owners groups are to provide justification for this proposal in their submittals.

8812010074 881128
PDR REVGP ERGNUMRC
PDC

DF03
11

Chm-7
NUMARC

5. Crystal River (lead plant) representatives commented that they intended to reformat their containment air lock specification to be closer to the standard than it is currently: e.g., two LCOs instead of one.
6. The owners groups commented that they would propose a clarification of operability of containment purge valves; i.e., a closed valve would be "operable."
7. The owners groups propose to relocate the requirements for containment isolation valve post maintenance operation and stroke time verification to section 4.0 of technical specifications. They plan to include all ASME Code, Section XI surveillance requirements in section 4.0 of technical specifications.
8. The owners groups propose to combined the containment spray and containment cooling requirements into a single specification, especially for B&W plants. Their goal is to justify increased allowed outage times.

The owners groups commented that they would provide justification based on qualitative analyses in the FSARs. They stated that these analyses show (1) that each of two coolers, and in some cases each of three, provide 50% of the required cooling; and (2) that each of two sprays provide 50% of the required cooling.

9. The owners groups also commented that they were considering a proposition to delete staggered testing for hydrogen analyzers and containment cooling. However, at the time of the meeting they were not ready to address the issue.

The owners groups commented that while other technical changes could develop, the above are the most significant ones known at the time of the meeting.

The staff did not identify any technical change that would require a review effort outside the normal SRS review process.

Edward J. Butcher, Chief
Technical Specifications Branch
Division of Operational Events Assessment, NRR

Enclosures:
Meeting Attendees

DISTRIBUTION:

Please see attached

FFR
(MINUTES/10/26/CONTAIN/MARK)
TSB:DOEA:NRR TSB:DOEA:NRR
FMReinhart:pmc DCFischer
11/16/88 11/16/88

SPLB:NRR C:ECEB:NRR C:TSB:DOEA:NRR
JCraig GEMcCracken EJButcher
11/23/88 11/23/88 11/ /88

5. Crystal River (lead plant) representatives commented that they intended to reformat their containment air lock specification to be closer to the standard than it is currently; e.g., two LCOs instead of one.
6. The owners groups commented that they would propose a clarification of operability of containment purge valves; i.e., a closed valve would be "operable."
7. The owners groups propose to relocate the requirements for containment isolation valve post maintenance operation and stroke time verification to section 4.0 of technical specifications. They plan to include all ASME Code, Section XI surveillance requirements in section 4.0 of technical specifications.
8. The owners groups propose to combine the containment spray and containment cooling requirements into a single specification, especially for B&W plants. Their goal is to justify increased allowed outage times.

The owners groups commented that they would provide justification based on qualitative analyses in the FSARs. They stated that these analyses show (1) that each of two coolers, and in some cases each of three, provide 50% of the required cooling; and (2) that each of two sprays provide 50% of the required cooling.

9. The owners groups also commented that they were considering a proposition to delete staggered testing for hydrogen analyzers and containment cooling. However, at the time of the meeting they were not ready to address the issue.

The owners groups commented that while other technical changes could develop, the above are the most significant ones known at the time of the meeting.

The staff did not identify any technical change that would require a review effort outside the normal STS review process.

Original Signed by

Richard L. Emch, Jr. *RL*

Edward J. Butcher, Chief
Technical Specifications Branch
Division of Operational Events Assessment, NRR

Enclosures:
Meeting Attendees

DISTRIBUTION:
Please see attached

(MINUTES/10/26/CONTAIN/MARK) *(see previous concurrence)
*TSB:DOEA:NRR *TSB:DOEA:NRR *C:SPLB:NRR *C:ECEB:NRR C:TSB:DOEA:NRR
FMReinhart:pmc DCFischer JWCraig CEMcCracken EJButcher
11/16/88 11/16/88 11/25/88 11/28/88 11/28/88

NOV 28 1988

DISTRIBUTION:

TEMurley
JHSniezek
FJMiraglia
DMCrutchfield
SAVarga
BKGrimes
JGPartlow, OSP
FJCongel
FGillespie
GHolahan
SDRichardson

JHConran
CHBerlinger
WDLanning
JLisberman, OE
LShao
JWRoe
ELJordan, AEOD
CIGrimes
HLThompson, Jr. NMSS
MMalsch
WKKennedy

PDR
OTSB Members
OTSB R/F
DOEA R/F
Central Files

Regional Administrators
NRC Participants
OTSB S/F - (MEETING NOTICE)

MEETING ATTENDEES

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>
Jerry C. Jones	GPC BWROG
Walt Smith	NUMARC
Rob Woolley	CAISC-SPEC-SERVICES
Courtney Smyth	GPU Nuclear B&WOG
Steve Wilson	PG&E
Joan Bufe-Carr	FPC B&WOG
Chris Morgan	Westinghouse
Roger Ouellette	Duke Power BWROG
Dan Green	FPC B&WOG
Dan Foley	Combustion Engineering CEOG
Harold Chernoff	Wolf Creek
Kent D. Daschke	Westinghouse
David Fischer	NRC/NRR/OTSB
Mark Reinhart	NRC/NRR/OTSB
Millard Wohl	NRC/NRR/OTSB
Kulin Desai	NRC/NRR/OTSB
Frank J. Witt	NRC/NRR/ECEB
Chang-Yang Li	NRC/NRR/SPLB