



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

MAR 31 1977

TAC No.: 6519  
Docket No.: 50-142

MEMORANDUM FOR: Robert A. Clark, Chief, Operating Reactors Safeguards  
Branch, DOR

FROM: Eugene W. McPeck, Reactor Safeguards Engineer, Operating  
Reactors Safeguards Branch, DOR

SUBJECT: EVALUATION OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO UNIVERSITY OF  
CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES SECURITY PLAN

A review has been made of proposed changes dated January 20, 1977, to the University of California at Los Angeles security plan. The evaluation of these changes are attached.

*Eugene W. McPeck*  
Eugene W. McPeck, Reactor Safeguards  
Engineer  
Operating Reactors Safeguards Branch  
Division of Operating Reactors

Attachment:  
As stated

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELES

SECURITY PLAN

Docket No. 50-142

1. The plan states there is a one-man foot patrol at least once every one and one-half hours around and through the Engineering Building Boelter Hall between the hours of 1630 and 0130. This patrol is to check doors and to see if there is any unusual activity taking place. The patrol should be made once every two hours, between the hours of 0130 and 0800 and once every four hours during all other periods to include weekends and holidays, when the Nuclear Energy Laboratory is unoccupied. Therefore, it is requested that your security plan be changed accordingly.
2. The plan states that the reactor console is not considered essential equipment because the reactor controls have been tied to the intrusion detection system. While the level of protection afforded the reactor console has been increased; the function of the console has not changed. Consequently, it should continue to be identified as an essential component. Please change your security plan accordingly.
3. The removal of the Sargent and Greenleaf padlocks from the crane and concrete plug reduces the effectiveness of the security plan in that control of access to the irradiated fuel element storage pits has been degraded. Consequently, this change in your plan is unacceptable and should not be implemented. Your revised security plan should be modified to reestablish access control

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT LOS ANGELESSECURITY PLANDocket No. 50-142

1. The plan states there is a one-man foot patrol at least once every one and one-half hours around and through the Engineering Building Boelter Hall between the hours of 1630 and 0130. This patrol is to check doors and to see if there is any unusual activity taking place. The patrol should be made once every two hours, between the hours of 0130 and 0800 and once every four hours during all other periods to include weekends and holidays, when the Nuclear Energy Laboratory is unoccupied. Therefore, it is requested that your security plan be changed accordingly.
2. The plan states that the reactor console is not considered essential equipment because the reactor controls have been tied to the intrusion detection system. While the level of protection afforded the reactor console has been increased; the function of the console has not changed. Consequently, it should continue to be identified as an essential component. Please change your security plan accordingly.
3. The removal of the Sargent and Greenleaf padlocks from the crane and concrete plug reduces the effectiveness of the security plan in that control of access to the irradiated fuel element storage pits has been degraded. Consequently, this change in your plan is unacceptable and should not be implemented. Your revised security plan should be modified to reestablish access control.