



**Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards  
Procedure Approval**

***Review of State Regulatory Requirements,  
Interim State Agreement (SA) Procedure (SA)--201***

---

Issue Date:

Review Date:

---

Michael Layton  
*Director, NMSS/MSST*

Original signed by

*Date:*

---

~~Paul Michalak~~  
~~Branch~~ Lizette Roldan-Otero  
Acting Chief,  
NMSS/MSST/SALPBSALB

Original signed by

*Date:*

---

Michelle Beardsley  
*Procedure Contact,*  
MSST/SALPBSALB

Original signed by

*Date:*

---

**ML19344C539ML20183A323**

**NOTE**

***Any changes to the procedure will be the responsibility of the NMSS Procedure Contact. Copies of the NMSS procedures are available through the NRC ~~website.~~ Web site at <https://scp.nrc.gov>.***

## I. INTRODUCTION

This procedure establishes the process for the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (~~NRC~~~~NRC's~~) review and comment on proposed and final Agreement State regulations, other Agreement State legally binding requirements (LBR), and Suggested State Regulations (SSRs) promulgated by the Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, Inc. (CRCPD).

NOTE: In this document the word State refers to both the Agreement States and CRCPD; and the terms "rules" and "regulations" are used interchangeably.

Formatted: Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: Multiple  
1.01 li

## II. OBJECTIVES

- A. To provide guidance for use by the Agreement States and those States applying for Agreement State status on the preparation and submittal of proposed and final State regulations and other LBRs (e.g., license conditions and orders); and for use by the CRCPD on the preparation and submittal of SSRs, for the NRC staff's review.
- B. To establish the procedures to be followed by the NRC staff for review of Agreement State regulations or other LBRs, and SSRs including the scope of review, staff responsibilities, timeliness, and products to be prepared and communicated to the Agreement State ~~or CRCPD~~ documenting the results of the review.
- C. To provide guidance to NRC staff on the significance of differences between Agreement State regulations, other LBRs, or SSRs and the NRC's regulations.
- D. To meet the following performance objectives:
  1. ~~The~~~~An~~ acceptance review of incoming packages should be completed within three days of receipt by the State Agreement and Liaison Programs Branch (SALB), Division of Materials Safety, Security, State and Tribal Programs (MSST); In doing this review, the SRRC reviews the package to ensure that all of the components needed for review are submitted. If the submission is complete, the NRC (through the AgreementStateRegs.Resource mailbox) sends a verification e-mail to the State program acknowledging the receipt, and the staff member assigned to review the package;
  2. Incoming regulation submission packages that have been determined to be complete should be assigned to the reviewer within three business days of the acceptance review, and the Agreement State ~~/or~~ CRCPD should be notified accordingly;
  3. The technical review should be completed within 14 business days of ~~review~~ assignment;
  4. Concurrence from other offices should be completed within 14 business days

Formatted: Line spacing: single

of the request for concurrence. In a case involving the concurrence of more than one other office, the process will be carried out concurrently. Legal review should be completed within 14 business days. Potential legal issues should be addressed in a timely manner.

5. The Agreement State/~~CRC~~CPD will be contacted before the final regulation review letter is sent, to relay any comments resulting from the review.

~~6.~~ A final comment letter will be sent electronically, and a paper copy will be mailed within 60-~~to~~ 120 days from the receipt of a complete package.

~~6.7.~~ The overall annual goal for completion of review submission packages is to complete 85% percent of ~~regulation~~ review packages within 60 days of receipt of a complete package, and 100% percent within 120 days of receipt of a complete package.

### III. BACKGROUND

- A. Each Agreement State has the responsibility to promulgate regulations or other LBRs that satisfy the compatibility requirement of Section 274 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. Agreement States generally fulfill that responsibility through promulgation of regulations. Each Agreement State possesses detailed knowledge of its own requirements; therefore, Agreement States should determine whether their regulations or other LBR are compatible with the NRC's regulations and identify where there are significant differences which could affect compatibility.
- B. Agreement States, and all States seeking an Agreement with the NRC, are requested to submit for review, proposed amendments to their regulations or other proposed LBRs. Such requests ~~should usually~~ may be submitted prior to or at the same time they are published for public comment. While it is not mandatory that proposed regulations, LBRs, and SSRs be submitted to the NRC for review, it is highly recommended to avoid the need to revise final regulations, LBRs, and SSRs, once published.
- C. Agreement States are required to submit final regulations or other final LBRs to the NRC for a compatibility review. The requested submittal ~~shall~~ must include requirements satisfying the compatibility and health and safety (H&S) designations associated with equivalent regulations of the NRC.
- D. To assist Agreement States in promulgating compatible regulations or other LBRs within three years of the effective date of changes to the NRC's regulations, the NRC staff prepares and publishes a *Summary of Change Document*. Included in the document is identification of each regulation, the specific sections modified or established by the regulation change, the effective date of the change, and the compatibility or ~~health and safety~~ H&S designation. This information is also found in the Regulation Toolbox on the ~~Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) website~~ state communications portal.

Web site: <https://scp.nrc.gov/regresources.html>.

#### IV. ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

~~NOTE: In the following section, the word "regulations" also refers to "other legally binding requirements," such as license conditions and the SSRs. The word State refers to the Agreement States and CRCPD.~~

~~C.A.~~ The Director, MSST, The MSST Director has overall responsibility for the review and determination of the compatibility of State regulations.

~~D.B.~~ The MSST Deputy Director, MSST, is designated to receive State regulations and has the responsibility for managing, reviewing, and signing the NRC regulations review letter. This includes reviewer assignments, assignment of due dates, and changes to due dates. The Deputy Director also keeps the State Regulation Review Coordinator (SRRRC) informed when a State regulation review package is received so the SRRRC can track the status of the review through closure. The Deputy Director may designate the Branch Chief, SALB or the SRRRC to carry out these responsibilities, including signing the regulation review letter for the Deputy Director, as necessary.

~~E.C.~~ The SALB Branch Chief, SALB, is the first line supervisor for the SRRRC. The Branch Chief may be designated by the Deputy Director to carry out the Deputy Director's responsibilities, including reviewer assignments, or signature authority for the regulation review letter, as necessary.

~~F.D.~~ The SRRRC is responsible for the overall coordination, tracking, auditing, and quality control of the regulation review process. As part of this responsibility, the SRRRC: (1) audits the technical reviewer's draft comment letter, and reviewer summary sheets to ensure technical and procedural consistency of reviews among reviewers; (2) addresses potential delays or other issues associated with specific regulation reviews; (3) maintains the *Chronology of NRC Amendments*; (4) acts as the point of contact for questions during the review process, (5) serves as liaison between the submitter, the reviewer, and the Office of the General Council (OGC) throughout the review process; (6) facilitates preparation of a final letter and compatibility comment sheet, if applicable; and (7) as designated by the Deputy Director and Branch Chief, the SRRRC may also assign technical reviewers, due dates, and approve changes to due dates.

~~G.E.~~ The Regional State Agreements Officers (RSAORSAOs) and the Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards (NMSS) staff are responsible for conducting the technical reviews of Agreement State regulations, as assigned.

~~H.F.~~ Administrative support to the regulation review process includes the processing of all incoming and outgoing correspondence and review documents in the Agencywide Document Access and Management System (ADAMS).

## V. GUIDANCE

This guidance applies to Agreement States, those States seeking an Agreement, and the CRCPD, and pertains to the submittal of proposed and final regulations or LBRs to the NRC staff for review. The NRC goal is to conduct a single review for proposed regulations and LBRs, and a single review for final promulgated regulations and LBRs to confirm they are compatible with equivalent NRC regulations. The NRC will not routinely conduct more than one review of the proposed regulations: or LBRs. Although many Agreement States base their regulations on the CRCPD SSRs, until the SSRs are updated and reviewed regarding compatibility and given federal concurrence by the NRC, the Agreement State should not assume that regulations based on SSRs are necessarily compatible.

### A. Overview

1. Proposed and final regulations and LBRs should be submitted for review to the MSST Deputy Division Director, ~~MSST~~. When possible, regulations should be submitted electronically to [AgreementStateRegs.Resource@nrc.gov](mailto:AgreementStateRegs.Resource@nrc.gov) with a carbon copy (cc) to the MSST Deputy Director, ~~MSST~~. Contact information for the MSST Deputy Director, ~~MSST~~ can be found here on the Regulation Toolbox at: <https://scp.nrc.gov/regcontacts.html>. In accordance with NRC procedures, all incoming regulations will be entered into ADAMS.
2. The Regulation Toolbox on the NMSS-website state communications portal Web site contains guidance on the submission of proposed and final regulations, and other LBRs. Sample letters on the form, content, and process to be followed for preparation and submittal of proposed and final regulations, and other LBRs to the NRC staff for review can be downloaded for use by both the Agreement States and NRC technical reviewers.
3. Regulations should be submitted to the NRC at least 60~~120~~ days prior to the date by which comments are needed.
4. LBRs that an Agreement State proposes to adopt to meet the requirements of an NRC rule should be submitted using the same submittal process as a regulation review. In the submittal letter, the Agreement State should explain how the LBR meets the requirements of the NRC rule. Agreement States should only submit LBRs for review that are intended to substitute for NRC rules. Agreement States should submit these prior to implementation. The use of LBRs instead of promulgating a regulation amendment will be documented on the State Regulation Status (SRS) sheet. An Agreement State should not add or implement any license conditions meant to meet the requirements of an NRC rule that have not been reviewed by the NRC.
5. LBRs that an Agreement State proposes to add, other than for those submitted to meet the requirements of an NRC rule as stated above, should also be submitted to NRC for a compatibility review before implementation.

unless it is one of the standard license conditions that are listed in NUREG-1556, Volume 20. As noted in the Agreement State Program Policy Statement, an Agreement State has the flexibility to adopt and implement program elements within the State's jurisdiction (i.e., those items that are not areas of exclusive NRC regulatory authority such as 10 CFR Parts 10, 11, and 150) that are not addressed by the NRC, or program elements not required for compatibility (i.e., those NRC program elements not assigned to Category A, B, or C). However, such program elements of an Agreement State relating to agreement material shall (1) should not create conflicts, duplications, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis; (2) not preclude a practice authorized by the AEA and in the national interest; and (3) not preclude the ability of the NRC to evaluate the effectiveness of Agreement State programs for agreement material with respect to protection of public health and safety. For purposes of compatibility, the State shall adopt program elements assigned compatibility categories A, B, and C<sup>1</sup>. Any constraints that prevent the Agreement State from promulgating a rule that satisfies the compatibility or H&S designation in a timely fashion should be documented in the submittal letter to the NRC.

5. Agreement States can incorporate NRC regulations by reference if authorized by State administrative law. This approach can be an efficient and effective method for adopting and maintaining compatible regulations with the NRC within the usual three-year time frame. However, the Agreement State will still need to submit the regulations that were incorporated by reference for NRC review.  
~~Any constraints that prevent the Agreement State from promulgating a rule that satisfies the compatibility or health and safety designation in a timely fashion should be documented in the submittal letter to the NRC.~~

6. to avoid any delays due to NRC comments after the final rules are promulgated.

6.7. Additional relevant information, as necessary, may be requested, such as a copy of the State regulations package, public proceedings, advisory committee comments, and public comments that influenced the text of the final regulations.

7.8. The Agreement State has the responsibility of demonstrating that the requirements adopted other than by regulation, are legally binding on the licensee.

8.9. A regulatory review process flowchart can be found in the Regulation Toolbox on the NMSS website. (state communications portal Web site: <https://scp.nrc.gov/regulationtoolbox/regreviewprocess.pdf>)

An Agreement State is not required to adopt amendments that are not included in the Agreement State's regulatory authority under their

Formatted: Not Expanded by / Condensed by

Formatted: Not Expanded by / Condensed by

Formatted: Right, Indent: Left: 1.25", First line: 0", Space Before: 0.2 pt, Line spacing: Multiple 1.01 li, Tab stops: 1.25", Left

Formatted: Indent: Hanging: 0.25", Tab stops: 1.25", Left

Formatted: Tab stops: 1.25", Left

Formatted: Right: 0"

<sup>1</sup> The Policy Statement and MD 5.9 contain definitions of the compatibility categories.

274b Agreement with the NRC. For example, an Agreement State without uranium mill authority does not have to adopt uranium mill tailings regulations or revisions to the uranium mill tailings requirements.

Formatted: Not Expanded by / Condensed by

10. These rulemakings will be noted as "non-applicable" on the State's SRS sheet.

B. Requirements for submission

The Agreement State, State applying for Agreement State status or CRCPD, in its transmittal letter to the NRC, should:

1. identify the specific regulation sections that are being changed using ~~the~~ tracked changes or similar format;
2. identify the amendment(s) for which the regulations are being submitted using the name and RATS ID number. (Sample transmittal letters can be found in the Regulation Toolbox on the NMSS website state communications portal Web site);
3. include a cross reference table indicating:
  - a) the equivalent State to NRC regulations;
  - b) whether there ~~is~~ are significant differences between the State rule and the equivalent NRC rule, and whether the Agreement State believes its regulation satisfies the compatibility and health and safety H&S component criteria in Management Directive (MD) 5.9, *Adequacy and Compatibility of Program Elements for Agreement State Programs*; and
  - c) for those sections that are not compatible, describe the State's rationale for promulgating a regulation that is not compatible with the NRC's regulation.

C. Review Period

The ~~sixty~~60-day review period will begin following confirmation by the SRRC that all required information has been provided. The Agreement State ~~and~~ CRCPD will be notified electronically that the submission has been accepted for review. A regulation submission package that is missing the required information may lead to delays in the review. The Agreement States, States applying for Agreement State status, and CRCPD are encouraged to contact the SRRC prior to submitting a package for review to ensure that all required items have been addressed.

D. Technical Reviewer Assignment

The Deputy Director (or designee) will normally assign review of a regulation to



the ~~Regional~~RSAO for the responsible for the State ~~Agreement Officer (RSAO)~~. If the RSAO is not available or ~~able~~unable to meet the projected due date because of competing priority work assignments, the Deputy Director (or designee) will assign the review to other NMSS staff or evaluate the use of contractor assistance. Reviews will normally be assigned within two business days of receipt of a complete State package. The technical reviews should be completed within 14 ~~calendar~~business days; however, the SRRC may extend this period for large ~~or~~ complex regulation packages, or due to scheduling conflicts.

E. Technical Reviewer

1. Conducts a comparison of the submitted regulation(s) with the equivalent NRC regulation(s) to determine if the regulation is compatible. Differences that are identified, which either significantly change or affect the intent of the regulation, should be analyzed further and a determination made whether the regulation meets (or does not meet) the compatibility or ~~health and safety~~H&S objective of the equivalent NRC regulation. Guidance to assist the reviewer in determining when a difference is significant can be found in Appendix A of this document, Management Directive 5.9, and NMSS Procedure SA-200, *Compatibility Categories and Health and Safety Identification for NRC Regulations and Other Program Elements*.
2. Completes a review summary sheet (RSS) to document the review. The reviewer will indicate whether there is a difference, whether or not the difference is significant, and the reviewer's reasoning for generating or not generating a comment on the difference. Review summary sheets can be found on the ~~NMSS website~~state communications portal at: [https://scp.nrc.gov/rss\\_regamendents.html](https://scp.nrc.gov/rss_regamendents.html).
3. Limits review to those portions of an Agreement State's regulation that are being added or amended by the State's rulemaking action and identified in the transmittal letter. The reviewer should also limit review to those parts or sections of the regulation that are either required for compatibility or ~~health and safety~~H&S, as ~~set out~~described in NMSS Procedure SA-200 (i.e., ~~Categories~~compatibility categories A, B, and C or H&S).
4. Consults, as necessary, with other NRC offices to support completion of the regulation review based on issues raised during the review and their significance. When reviewing the regulations for States seeking an Agreement with the NRC, the reviewer ~~shall~~will follow NMSS Procedure SA-700, *Processing an Agreement* for coordination with other offices.
5. Prepares a draft letter documenting the results of the review. The letter should be addressed to the Radiation Control Program Director or the Executive Director of the CRCPD, unless specified otherwise, and should be prepared for signature by the ~~MSST~~Deputy Director, ~~MSST~~. The standard format and content for the letter are contained in the sample letters found in the Regulation Toolbox on ~~NMSS website~~the state communications portal.

Web site. All letters should use the Regulatory Information Distribution System (RIDS) codes SP (05-08), corresponding to NRC Regions I, III, or IV, on the concurrence sheet. Comments resulting from the review should be set out in an enclosure to the letter. A comment table with sample comments for reviewer use is shown in Appendix B.

6. Responds to questions or issues raised by OGC or other offices.

#### H.F. Legal Review

1. ~~If requested by the SRRC, the~~ OGC will perform a review of the technical reviewers' determinations, including the draft letter, review summary sheets and any comments identified ~~within~~from the technical review subject to the following:
  - a. For the first five proposed Agreement state regulation packages submitted for a new RATS ID, OGC will perform a full review;
  - b. After the first five proposed reviews as stated above, OGC will perform a review of only those provisions for which the technical reviewer has identified a significant difference between the State and NRC regulations, unless the SRRC requests that a full review be performed;
  - c. For final/revised final regulations for which OGC has reviewed the proposed, OGC will perform a limited review if additional significant differences are identified;
  - d. For final regulations where the State had not submitted the proposed regulations for review, OGC will perform a full review;
  - e. For those rulemakings that involve minor revisions, e.g., corrections, organizational name changes, OGC will not perform a review unless requested by the SRRC;
  - f. Upon SRRC request, OGC will perform an expanded review of any proposed or final regulations and LBRs.
2. OGC will provide "no legal objection" (NLO) to the review letter after all issues ~~and~~ comments that they have identified are resolved.

#### H.G. The SRRC

1. Acts as the point of contact for questions during the review process.
2. Conducts a review for technical completeness of incoming State transmittal letters and regulation packages within three ~~calendar~~business days of the receipt of a review request, and assigns an internal tracking number to the submission;
3. Electronically notifies the Agreement State, State applying for Agreement State status, or CRCPD acknowledging acceptance of the submission, once all required documents are received.

Formatted: Normal, Left, Indent: Left: 0.75", First line: 0.5", Tab stops: Not at 1.34" + 1.34"

4. Serves as liaison between the submitter, the reviewer, and OGC throughout the review process. Facilitates preparation of a final letter and compatibility comment sheet, if applicable.
5. Schedules meetings with management and other offices to resolve any issues.
6. Updates the SRS Data Sheet after each compatibility review to reflect the current review ~~and includes it~~. The SRS Data Sheet is to be included as an enclosure to the comment letter. The SRS sheet also contains all rulemaking amendments that the State needs to address. An example SRS sheet can be found on the NMSS website state communications portal at: <https://scp.nrc.gov/regtoolbox.html>.
7. Prepares and requests review by OGC, if applicable. This request is sent electronically to the RIDS OGC mailbox, and contains the following information: date of request, criteria for OGC review as stated in item 1 above, package tracking number, requested date of response (typically ~~two weeks~~ 14 business days), name of the Agreement State program and program director, the package title, ADAMS package link, and the SRRC name and contact number.
8. If necessary, coordinates the request for consultant or contractor assistance in review of proposed or final State regulations in accordance with procedures established by NMSS. When requesting such assistance, the SRRC should:
  - a) Prepare a cover letter and attach the regulations package for forwarding to the consultant or contractor following the NMSS procedure.
  - b) Evaluate the comments as the basis for development of a comment letter to the State upon return of the consultant's or contractor's review report.

## VI. APPENDICES\*

Appendix A - Criteria for Comparing Regulations and Identifying Differences  
Appendix B - Sample Compatibility Comment Chart-Table Enclosure to Letter

\*Both appendices can be found on the state and tribal communications portal Web site:  
<https://scp.nrc.gov/regtoolbox.html>

~~Appendix C - Frequently Asked Questions~~

-

## VII. REFERENCES

Formatted: Font: 12 pt

Formatted: Heading 2, Right, Indent: Left: 0.5", Tab stops: 0.84", Left + 0.84", Left

1. Management Directives available at <https://scp.nrc.gov>.
2. NMSS SA Procedures available at <https://scp.nrc.gov>.
3. NUREG-1556, Volume 20 available at: <https://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/doc-collections/nuregs/staff/sr1556/>
4. Regulation Toolbox available at <https://scp.nrc.gov/regresources.html>.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.5", No bullets or numbering

**VIII. ADAMS REFERENCE DOCUMENTS**

For knowledge management purposes, listed below are all previous revisions of this procedure, as well as associated correspondence with stakeholders, that have been entered into the NRC's Agencywide Document Access Management System (ADAMS).

No.	Date	Document Title/Description	Accession
1	7/23/01	STP-01-059, Opportunity to Comment on Draft Revisions to STP Procedure SA-201	ML012050534
2	1/29/03	STP-03-010, Opportunity to Comment on Draft Revisions to STP Procedure SA-201	ML030290744
3	6/19/03	Final STP Procedure SA-201	ML031750279
4	8/07/03	Summary of Comments on SA-201	ML032190296
5	8/31/06	STP-06-080, Opportunity to Comment on Draft Revisions to STP Procedure SA-201	ML062440197

Formatted Table

<u>6</u>	<u>11/19/19</u>	<u>Interim NMSS Procedure SA-201</u>	<u>ML19311C539</u>
<u>7</u>	<u>Xx/xx/20</u>	<u>Resolution of Comments</u>	<u>ML20184A178</u>
<u>8</u>	<u>Xx/xx/20</u>	<u>Final NMSS Procedure SA-201</u>	<u>ML20183A323</u>

**APPENDIX C**

**FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS (FAQs)**

**1.Q** — What do the Compatibility Categories mean?

**A** — According to Management Directive 5.9, NRC program elements (including regulations) can be placed into four compatibility categories. In addition, NRC program elements also can be identified as having particular health and safety significance or as being reserved solely to the NRC.

~~Compatibility Category A—program elements that are basic radiation protection standards and scientific terms and definitions that are necessary to understand radiation protection concepts. The program elements adopted by an Agreement State should be essentially identical to those of NRC to provide uniformity in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis.~~

~~Compatibility Category B—program elements that apply to activities that have direct and significant transboundary implications. An Agreement State should adopt program elements essentially identical to those of NRC.~~

~~Compatibility Category C—program elements that do not meet the criteria of Category A or B, but the essential objectives of which an Agreement State should adopt to avoid conflict, duplication, gaps, or other conditions that would jeopardize an orderly pattern in the regulation of agreement material on a nationwide basis. An Agreement State should adopt the essential objectives of the NRC program elements, but may be more restrictive.~~

~~Compatibility Category D—program elements that do not meet any of the criteria of Category A, B, or C, and do not need to be adopted by Agreement States for purposes of compatibility.~~

~~Health and Safety—program elements that are not required for compatibility (i.e., Category D), but are required for adequacy because they have been identified as having a particular health and safety role in the regulation of agreement material within the State. Although not required for compatibility, the State should adopt program elements in this category, based on those of NRC, that embody the essential objectives of the NRC program elements because of particular health and safety considerations.~~

~~NRC (Areas of Exclusive NRC Regulatory Authority)—program elements that address areas of regulation that cannot be relinquished to Agreement States and should not be adopted by Agreement States.~~

~~2.Q — What kind of program elements are reserved to NRC (that is, what NRC regulations should not be adopted by the Agreement States)?~~

~~A — Areas of exclusive NRC regulatory authority are those areas of regulation that cannot be relinquished to the Agreement States under a Section 274b agreement. The following listing are examples of NRC regulations that should not be adopted by Agreement States:~~

~~10 CFR Part 10 — Criteria and procedures for determining eligibility for access to restricted data or national security information or an employment clearance~~

~~10 CFR Part 11 — Criteria and procedures for determining eligibility for access to or control over special nuclear material~~

~~10 CFR Part 50 — Domestic licensing of production and utilization facilities~~

~~Agreement States should check SA-200 for the comprehensive listing of those regulations reserved to the NRC.~~

~~3.Q — How does NRC staff evaluate the regulation submission from the Agreement State?~~

~~A — The assigned NRC reviewer compares the Agreement State regulation text to the corresponding NRC regulation as outlined in the State's letter of submission. The review will be timelier and more efficient if the State includes a cross reference document in their regulation submission showing the correspondence between rule sets (see example below):~~

<del>State Section</del>	<del>— Subject</del>	<del>10 CFR Section</del>
<del>KAS 28-35-135a</del>	<del>— Industrial Radiography- Definitions</del>	<del>34.3</del>

~~4.Q — About how long does it usually take to get a response from NRC?~~

~~A — The NRC staff goal is to complete 85% of the reviews within 60 days of receipt of a completed package and 100% of the reviews within 120 days of receipt of a completed package. If NRC staff encounters or anticipates a delay in the response, they will contact the individual indicated on the submission package, with the expected completion date.~~

~~5.Q — What is the SRS data sheet?~~

~~A — NRC maintains a State Regulation Status (SRS) data sheet for each Agreement State. The SRS data sheet is used by NRC staff to track the status of program elements (i.e., regulations and other legally binding requirements) submitted to NRC for review. The Integrated Materials Performance Evaluation Program (IMPEP) teams also use the SRS data sheets to assist in the team's evaluation of adequacy and compatibility for Agreement State programs. The SRS for each Agreement State can~~

be found on the NMSS web site at: <https://scp.nrc.gov/> by clicking on your State.

~~6.Q — How do I find out what regulations my State is expected to adopt to be found adequate and compatible for the upcoming IMPEP review?~~

~~A — Each Agreement State's SRS sheet contains the status of its submissions and the NRC's review results. The SRS sheet is updated after the completion of each regulation package review conducted by NRC. The SRS sheet also contains all rulemaking amendments that the State needs to address.~~

~~7.Q — What does it mean if the SRS sheet has boxes not filled in?~~

~~A — Blanks on the SRS sheet usually mean that the NRC staff has not received proposed or final regulations to review. If there is a blank and the Agreement State believes that the entry is in error, please contact the SRRC to discuss a correction to the SRS sheet.~~

~~8.Q — What are LBRs?~~

~~A — LBR is the abbreviation for legally binding requirements and may be used as a method to adopt compatibility or health and safety program elements. Examples of such legally binding requirements may include license conditions (including licensee commitments referenced in "tie-down" conditions), orders, or other mechanisms determined by the State to be legally binding and enforceable. The Agreement State has the responsibility of demonstrating that requirements adopted other than by regulation are legally binding. If allowed, LBRs can be adopted in many instances in a shorter time frame than regulations.~~

~~9.Q — Can an Agreement State incorporate NRC or other federal regulations by reference when appropriate?~~

~~A — Agreement States can incorporate NRC regulations by reference if authorized by State administrative law. This approach can be an efficient and effective method for adopting and maintaining compatible regulations with the NRC within the usual three year time frame. However, the Agreement State will still need to submit the regulations that were incorporated by reference for NRC review. Additionally, it is still recommended that the State submit the proposal for review to avoid any delays due to NRC comments after the final rules are promulgated.~~

~~10.Q — How long does an Agreement State have to adopt and implement a new NRC Amendment?~~

~~A — Unless specified differently in the Federal Register or any clarification letters (e.g., STC letters), the Agreement State has three years from the effective date of the amendment to adopt and implement the revised regulation. An Agreement State has six months, unless otherwise stated, to adopt changes to program elements other~~

Formatted: Not Expanded by / Condensed by

Formatted: Not Expanded by / Condensed by



than regulations.

11.Q—What does it mean when the Compatibility Category has “[ ]” around it?

A—The bracket “[ ]” means that the requirements of the 10 CFR section may be adopted or implemented in other provisions of the Agreement State’s regulations rather than the radiation control requirements. For example, many Agreement States have State Department of Transportation regulations that implement all the requirements of 49 CFR on transportation use within the State. The Agreement State should supply the references and the cross walk to show that the requirements have been adopted. The NRC staff will still need to review the regulations to verify that the compatibility/health and safety requirements have been adopted.

12.Q—What does a “non-applicable” status mean on the SRS sheet?

A—This entry on the SRS sheet means that the specific Agreement State is not required to adopt the amendment because it is not included in the Agreement State’s regulatory authority under their 274b Agreement with the NRC. ~~For example, an Agreement State without uranium mill authority does not have to adopt uranium mill tailings regulations or revisions to the uranium mill tailings requirements.~~

Formatted: Not Expanded by / Condensed by

13.Q—What is an acceptance review and why is it done?

A—When MSST receives the regulation submission, the State Regulation Review Coordinator reviews the package to ensure that all of the components needed for review are submitted. If the submission is complete, NRC sends a verification e-mail to the State program acknowledging the receipt, and the staff member assigned to review the package.

14.Q—What is a Review Summary Sheet (RSS) and how is it filled out?

A—An RSS is the documentation of the review of the Agreement State regulations against the NRC regulations completed by the technical reviewer. The RSS will document inconsistencies. These documents are for internal use only.

15.Q—Are the SSRs automatically compatible with NRC regulations?

A—No, although the NRC provides resource staff to the CRCPD SSR working groups, until the SSRs are reviewed with regard to compatibility and health and safety and approved by the NRC, an Agreement State should not assume that the SSRs are necessarily compatible. A listing of those SSR Parts that have been approved by NRC and the date of the approval can be found on NMSS website at: [https://sep.nrc.gov/special/regs/crcpd\\_regs.html](https://sep.nrc.gov/special/regs/crcpd_regs.html). Agreement States should not use the SSRs for changes to NRC regulations that occurred after the approval date.

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Right: 0", Space Before: 0.2 pt, Line spacing: single