

From: [Jack Buddenbaum](#)
To: [Struckmeyer, Richard](#)
Cc: [Yon, Jeremy](#); [Daigneault, Todd \(GE Lighting, consultant\)](#)
Subject: [External_Sender] RE: Extension vs resubmittal
Date: Thursday, June 18, 2020 11:50:21 AM

Mr. Struckmeyer,

While Current Lighting Solutions is close to a resolution for the labeling requirement, they have ask me to request a 90-day extension to the NRC review period in the interest of continuity and to eliminate the need to resubmit the application again. They anticipate resolution and will submit a response for the labeling as soon as possible. Please reply that the NRC has granted an extension of an additional 90 days at your earliest convenience.

John (Jack) E. Buddenbaum, CHP

Sr. Health Physics Manager, Nuclear Solutions Division
3286 Maynard Road, Suite A
Shaker Heights, OH 44122
(216) 337-4411
jack.buddenbaum@plexsci.com

Certified to the ISO 9001:2015 Standard. Please visit us on the web at <http://www.plexsci.com>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email and any files transmitted with it are considered by the sender to be confidential and/or privileged. This material is only for the use of the intended recipient(s) named above. If you have received this email in error, you are hereby notified that any review, dissemination, copying, or use of this email or any information contained in or attached to it is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient(s), please notify me and delete this email from your system.

From: Struckmeyer, Richard <Richard.Struckmeyer@nrc.gov>
Sent: Thursday, May 28, 2020 9:02 AM
To: Jack Buddenbaum <jack.buddenbaum@plexsci.com>
Subject: Extension vs resubmittal

Mr. Buddenbaum,

I spoke with Shirley Xu about the possibility of an extension. Here are some factors to consider:

You indicated that you might soon have more information from the client (Current); therefore it could be advantageous to wait awhile to see what happens.

An extension would be for 90 days from the date of our the letter; therefore it would be to the client's advantage to wait until near our due date for finishing the licensing action (July 1). I suggest about a week prior to that date.

As I understood from my conversation with Shirley, you would need to send us a letter or email to request the extension.

If, instead, we close the licensing action and ask you to resubmit when the information becomes available, no new deadline would be in effect until after the licensing action is reopened.

Regardless of which path is chosen, the client will need to supply the missing information.

Please let me know your preference.

Regards,

Richard K. Struckmeyer
Materials Safety Licensing and Tribal Liaison Branch
Division of Materials Safety, Security, State, and Tribal Programs
Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
301-415-5477