



Duquesne Light

Beaver Valley No. 2 Unit Project Organization
SEG Building
P.O. Box 328
Shippingport, PA 15077

2NRC-6-063
(412) 643-5200
Telecopy (412) 643-5200
Ext. 160
June 16, 1986

Mr. Harold R. Denton, Director
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation
United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

TAC
62946
62953

ATTENTION: Mr. Peter Tam, Project Manager
Division of PWR Licensing - A
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulations

SUBJECT: Beaver Valley Power Station - Unit No. 2
Docket No. 50-412
Request for additional information
Items 3.1.3 and 3.2.3 of Generic Letter 83-28

- REFERENCES:
- 1) NRC letter, Request for additional information, dated April 3, 1986.
 - 2) DLC letter, dated November 4, 1983 from Mr. J. J. Carey to Mr. Eisenhut.

Gentlemen:

Attached is the response to the Request for Additional Information on Generic Letter 83-28 transmitted per Reference 1. Since the Beaver Valley Unit No. 2 Technical Specifications are being modeled after the Beaver Valley Unit No. 1 Technical Specifications, the responses to Items 3.1.3 and 3.2.3 of Generic Letter 83-28 for Beaver Valley Unit No. 2 are consequently similar to the responses previously provided by Beaver Valley Unit No. 1 per Reference No. 2.

If you have any further questions, please contact Mr. R. Fedin at (412) 643-5200, extension 215.

DUQUESNE LIGHT COMPANY

By J. J. Carey
J. J. Carey
Vice President

RWF/kam
Attachment

- cc: Mr. P. Tam, Project Manager (w/a)
Mr. L. Prividy NRC Resident Inspector (w/a)
INPO Records Center (w/a)
NRC Document Control Desk (w/a)

8810250068 860616
PDR ADOCK 05000412
P PDC

IF04 Add: Peter Tam 4/16/86

Attachment 1

3.1 Post maintenance Testing (Reactor Trip System Components)

3. Licensees and applicants shall identify, if applicable, any post maintenance test requirements in existing Technical Specifications which can be demonstrated to degrade rather than enhance safety. Appropriate changes to these test requirements, with supporting justification, shall be submitted for staff approval.

3.2 Post Maintenance Testing (All Other Safety-Related Components)

3. Licensees and applicants shall identify, if applicable, any post-maintenance test requirements in existing Technical Specifications which are perceived to degrade rather than enhance safety. Appropriate changes to these test requirements, with supporting justification, shall be submitted for staff approval.

Beaver Valley Unit No. 2 Response to both of the above:

No post maintenance tests required by existing Technical Specifications, have been identified which degrade rather than enhance safety.