# NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104

#### EXPIRES 04/30/98

### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

(See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)

FACILITY NAME (1)

Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 2

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS MANDATORY INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST. 50.0 HRS. REPORTED LESSONS LEARNED ARE INCORPORATED INTO THE LICENSING PROCESS AND FED BACK TO INDUSTRY. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AN DECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (T. F33). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATCRY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWINK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUR JET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503. DOCKET NUMBER (2) DOCKET NUMBER (2) DOCOM STATEMENT AND BUR JET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

TITLE (4)

Auxiliary Feedwater Pump Performance Degraded

EVENT DATE (5)				LER NUMBER (	REPO	E(7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)							
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILI	ITY	NAME	DC	ICKET NUMBER	
03	16	98	98	004	01	10	30	98	FACILI	ITY	NAME	DOCKET NUMBER		
OPERA	TING	1	THIS RE	PORT IS SUBMIT	TED PURSU	ANT TO TH	E REQU	IREMEN	TSOF	10	CFR 5: (Check one	or mo	re) (11)	
MODE (9)		N	20.2201(b)			20.2203(a)(2)(v)					50.73(a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)(viii)	
POWER LEVEL (10)			20.2203(a)(1) 20.2203(a)(2)(i)			20.2203(a)(3)(i) 20.2203(a)(3)(ii)				X	50.73(a)(2)(ii)		50.73(a)(2)(x)	
		000									50.73(a)(2)(iii)		73.71	
		-	20.	2203(a)(2)(ii)		20.2203(	a)(4)				50.73(a)(2)(iv)	OTHER		
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iii)		50.36(c)(1)					50.73(a)(2)(v)	Sp	ecify in Abstract below	
			20.	2203(a)(2)(iv)		50.36(c)()	2)				50.73(a)(2)(vii)	in	NRC Form 366A	
		rigen i Careto upacia Alara a	*		LICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR TH	IS LER (	12)		Leavening and an and			

NAME

1 (11) LEN (12)

TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include Area Code)

R. G. Joshi, MP2 Regulatory Compliance Manager

(860) 440-2080

## COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT (13)

(	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFACTU	RER	REF	ORTABLE ONPRDS
	В	BA	Р	1075	YES									
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)									EXPE	CTED	MONTH	D	AY	YEAR
YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE).						X	NO		SUBM	ISSION E (15)				

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On March 16, 1998, while reviewing post modification test data for the "A" Auxiliary Feedwater Pump, it was discovered that the pump performance was degraded such that the required flow rates could not be met. A high flow post modification test was performed following the recent installation of a cavitating venturi on the auxiliary feedwater supply to each steam generator. The comparison of the pump performance to manufacturers pump curve indicated minor degradation at minimum flow. However, at increased flow rates the degradation is more pronounced. The test results have been evaluated and determined to be inadequate to meet accident analyses assumptions.

Review of Inservice Test (IST) data over the last six years indicates that following replacement of the pump impellers in May of 1995, a small reduction in pump performance was noted. However, since the reduction was small and was within the acceptance criteria for IST and Technical Specification requirements, it was deemed acceptable. The IST test data was obtained from the IST pump performance tests, at minimum flow, following pump maintenance, modification, and at scheduled IST test intervals. The pump was returned to the vendor whose analysis determined underfiling (reshaping the pump vane tips) was not performed when the stainless steel impellers were manufactured, which caused deficient pump performance. The causes of this condition were the vendor's failure to perform underfiling during manufacture of the stainless steel impeller and the plant's failure to detect this condition by not performing pump high flow testing following installation of the replacement impellers.

As a result of this condition, the pump will be returned to operable status, which will include high flow testing, the Inservice Test program will be revised, and auxiliary feedwater pump high flow testing will be performed periodically.

9811100333 981030 PDR ADOCK 05000336 PDR

NRC FORM 366A (4-95)			U.S. NUCLE	AR REGULATO	RY COMMISSIO	
LICE	NSEE EVENT REPORT ( TEXT CONTINUATION	LER)				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET	1	LER NUMBER	PAGE (3)		
Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit	2 05000336	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF 3	
		98	- 004	01		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

#### I. Description of Event

On March 16, 1998, while reviewing post modification test data for the "A" Auxiliary Feedwater [BA] Pump [P], it was discovered that the pump performance was degraded such that required flow rates could not be met. A high flow post modification test was performed following the recent installation of a cavitating venturi on the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) supply to each steam generator. The comparison of the pump performance to manufacturers pump curve indicated minor degradation at minimum flow. However, at increased flow rates the degradation is more pronounced. The test results have been evaluated and determined to be inadequate to meet accident analyses assumptions. At the time of discovery the plant was defueled.

Review of Inservice Test (IST) data over the last six years indicates that following replacement of the pump impellers in May of 1995, a small reduction in pump performance was noted. However, since the reduction was small and within the acceptance criteria for IST and Technical Specification requirements, it was deemed acceptable. The IST test data was obtained from the IST pump performance tests, which are performed at minimum flow following pump maintenance, modification, and at a regularly scheduled IST test interval. The "A" AFW Pump, an Ingersoll-Rand type 2HTMA-8, was modified in 1995 by replacing the cast iron impellers with stainless steel impellers. The pump vendor, Ingersoll-Dresser, recommended changing the impeller material as the result of cracking found on the cast iron impellers, which were originally supplied with the pump. The impeller material change was accomplished using the plant design change process. The post modification testing was performed at minimum flow in accordance with the plant procedure. The results indicated reduced performance from previous tests, but the flow and pressure were within the acceptance criteria.

The IST Program surveillance requires operating each pump and verifying that the pump develops adequate discharge pressure at minimum recirculation flow. High flow testing is not required. The IST program will be revised to include periodic high flow testing of each AFW and Emergency Core Cooling System pump.

The pump was removed and sent to the vendor for analysis and correction. It was determined during initial pump testing that the original cast iron impellers required underfiling (reshaping the pump vane tips) to produce the necessary flow. This manufacturing requirement was included in the vendors internal documentation, but was not sent to the site. Underfiling was not performed on the stainless steel impellers when they were manufactured. Upon completion of the underfiling, pump performance was restored to the original pump curve. In-system pump performance will be confirmed by high flow testing.

This condition is being reported pursuant to 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B), as a condition that was outside of the design basis of the plant.

II. Cause of Event

The causes of this condition were the vendor's failure to perform underfiling during manufacture of the stainless steel impeller and the plant's failure to detect this condition by not performing pump high flow testing following installation of the replacement impellers.

#### III. Analysis of Event

The AFW system is designed to provide feedwater to the steam generators for the removal of sensible and decay heat from the Reactor Coolant System in the event of loss of normal feedwater flow. The AFW system is used to mitigate transients and accidents such as: Loss of Normal Feedwater, Loss of Coolant Accident, Anticipated

4-95)	LICENSEE EVI TEXT CO	ENT REPORT (L	ER)							
		ENT REPORT (L	EK)							
	FACILITY NAME (1)									
		DOCKET	1		(6)	DACE (2)				
		DOCKET	+	SEQUENTIAL	DEVISION	PAGE (3)				
	Millstone Nuclear Power Station Unit 2	05000336	YEAR	NUMBER	NUMBER 3	3 OF 3				
			98 - 004 -		01					
	(each one can supply adequate feedwater capacity for wice the capacity of one electric motor driven pump). driven pumps. The turbine driven pump is manually in The plant safety analysis assumed an allowable pump heat. However, the pump high flow test results indicate percent. With the motor driven pump in its degraded the other motor driven pump, and the turbine driven p of one of the remaining pumps, there would still be an generators for heat removal. Although a system vuln recently discovered, the DC buses are highly reliable	or decay heat remo An automatic initi nitiated by a contro p degradation of 5 ated that the pump condition, the requ pump were available operable AFW pu erability to a single In addition, abnor	val) and ation si ol room percent has deg ired flow e and o mp ava failure mal an	d one turbine gnal starts bo operator. t for adequate graded by app w may not be operable. Ass illable to supp of a Direct Cu d emergency	driven pump oth electric m e removal of proximately available. H suming a sing by water to th urrent (DC) E operating pr	decay decay 10 dowever, gle failure he steam Bus was				
Р IV. <u>с</u> А	Corrective Action As a result of this condition:									
1	<ol> <li>The pump will be returned to operable statu</li> <li>3 from the current outage.</li> </ol>	s, which will include	e high f	low testing, p	rior to enterin	ng Mode				
2	<ol> <li>The Inservice Test program will be revised p feedwater pump high flow testing will be per</li> </ol>	prior to entering Mo rformed on a period	ode 4 fro dic basi	om the curren s.	nt outage, an	d auxiliary				
V. A	Additional Information									
No.	Similar Events									
Т	There are no previous similar events involving flow de	egradation of an AF	W Pun	np.						
Energ	gy Industry Identification System (EIIS) codes are ide	ntified in the text as	s [XX].							