

Arizona Nuclear Power Project

P.O. BOX 52034 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85072-2034

April 22, 1986 ANPP-36349-EEVB/JYM/98.05

RECOVER THE ST

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V 1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5368

Attention: Mr. D. F. Kirsch, Deputy Director,

Division of Reactor Safety and Projects

Subject: Palo Verde !

Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS)

Units 1, 2 and 3

Docket Nos. STN 50-528 (License NPF-41) STN 50-529 (License NPF-46)

STN 50-530

Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report

File: 86-056-026

References: (A) Letter from J. B. Martin, NRC, Region V, to E. E. Van Brunt, Jr., ANPP, dated December 19, 1985. Subject: Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report.

(B) Letter from D. F. Kirsch, NRC, Region V. to E. E. Van Brunt, Jr., ANPP, dated April 11, 1986. Subject: Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report Numbers 50-528/85-36; 50-529/85-38; 50-530/85-28.

Dear Mr. Kirsch:

Attached is a copy of the ANPP response to the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report (SALP) provided in Reference (A). The two editorial errors identified in Reference (B) were noted in the attached response.

Very truly yours,

Executive Vice President

Project Director

EEVB/JYM/rw Attachment

cc: A. C. Gehr (all w/a)

R. P. Zimmerman

G. W. Knighton

J. B. Martin

8605070094 860422 PDR ADDCK 05000528 Q PDR

1 IE-40

Arizona Nuclear Power Project

P.O. BOX 52034 • PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85072-2034

February 21, 1986 ANPP-35270-EEVB/WFQ/98.05

Mr. John B. Martin, Regional Administrator Office of Inspection and Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region V 1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5308

Attention: J. B. Martin

Regional Administrator

Subject: Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station (PVNGS)

Units 1, 2, and 3

Docket Nos. STN 50-528 (License NPF-41)

STN 50-529 (License NPF-46)

STN 50-530

Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report

File: 86-056-026

Letter from J. B. Martin, NRC Region V, to

E. E. Van Brunt, Jr., ANPP, dated December 19, 1985;

Subject: Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report

Dear Mr. Martin:

We have reviewed the Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report for Palo Verde Units 1, 2, and 3 which was transmitted by the referenced letter. We were pleased to see that this report, which evaluated the Palo Verde Facilities for the eighteen month period April 1, 1984 - September 30, 1985, found our overall performance to be satisfactory. The attachment lists an area of inaccuracy found during our review.

This report is very important to the Palo Verde Project and, as such, it has been given considerable attention by our senior and functional management personnel. Senior management has met with the functional managers and has directed that actions responding to the recommendations and concerns of the report be taken. These actions involve development, tracking and implementation of appropriate actions to enhance ANPP programs. In many cases actions were recognized by management and were taken prior to issuance of the report, as example: A revised development and approval process, restructured responsibilities and the addition a manager position to enhance the Licensee Event Report (LERs) program were developed in October 1985. As a result, quality and timelyness of LER's are improving, also the deficiencies in sub-contractor work, most of which resulted from work performed prior to this SALP evaluation, resulted in additional monitoring and improved work quality.

Through our resultant actions to the constuctive comments contained in the report, we intend to achieve continued management involvement.

2643114232

Mr. John B. Martin Systematic Assessment of Licensee Performance Report ANPP- 35270 Page 2

We appreciate the significance of this report and believe we can make even futher improvements in the future. Re lane e eneme l'aren l'aren

Very truly yours,

E. E. Van Brunt, Jr. Executive Vice President

--

Project Director

EEVB/WFQ/rw Attachment

A. C. Gehr

R. P. Zimmerman G. W. Knighton

Page 3, Summary Results Table, Item 18, Preoperational Testing, lists a SALP rating of "2" and trend as "not apparent";

whereas

Page 28, Performance Analyses, Item 18, states a SALP rating of "1" and a trend as "improved".