



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

May 5, 1986

Docket No. 99900053/86-01

Anchor/Darling Valve Company
ATTN: G. W. Knieser
QA Manager
701 First Street
Williamsport, Pennsylvania 17701

Gentlemen:

Thank you for your letter of April 3, 1986, in response to our letter dated February 25, 1986. As noted in our letter, Anchor/Darling (AD) was requested to describe steps that have been or will be taken to correct and prevent recurrence of the findings and the dates for completion of the corrective actions and preventive measures. We have reviewed your response and find that it does not adequately address the concerns raised in our Notice of Nonconformance. The following additional information is needed.

(86-01-02) - Since the "Upgrading" Section (ref. § 11.4.2) of the Quality Assurance Manual (QAM) requires that weld repairs be made in accordance with Section 10 of the QAM, the nonconformance stands as written. Accordingly, describe your actions taken to preclude recurrence of the noted deficiencies and the measures taken to assure that the QA program requirements for upgrading castings are implemented.

(86-01-04) - Provide copies of the records for "each of the people involved" which shows that "in all cases the recertification statement did include compliance with QAS-9." Provide a date for changing the certification form.

(86-01-05) - Describe the changes made to STD No. MPDS-7 "to reflect our practice" and the date that the standard was revised.

(86-01-06) - Describe the rationale for your statement, "The other items were all confined to the gage laboratory so they could not have been improperly used." Describe the preventive action and the date of implementation to assure that measuring and test equipment is correctly labeled and identified as to its calibration status.

(86-01-07) - Following the discovery by the NRC inspector of acceptable seat rings in a nonconforming material hold area, AD removed the acceptable rings, but there was no objective evidence to indicate how long this situation existed. The nonconformance is valid since it was written against AD's failure to segregate acceptable material from nonconforming material. Describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to prevent a recurrence of this event.

8605060077 860505
PDR QA999 EMVANDV
99900053 PDR

IE09
110

May 5, 1986

(86-01-08) - Describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to assure that grinding equipment used on stainless steel is properly identified.

(86-01-09) - Provide a date for the instruction relating to weld material control and describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to prevent the recurrence of the noted deficiencies.

(86-01-10) - Provide the dates for revising the audit check list and adding the restrictions to the Approved Vendors List, and describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to prevent the recurrence of the noted deficiencies.

(86-01-12) - Provide the date(s) for the training on the QAM revisions conducted by the Manufacturing Manager.

Please provide this additional information within 25 days from the date of this letter in order that we may complete our review in a timely manner.

Sincerely,



Gary G. Zech, Chief
Vendor Program Branch
Division of Quality Assurance, Vendor
and Technical Training Center Programs
Office of Inspection and Enforcement

May 5, 1986

(86-01-08) - Describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to assure that grinding equipment used on stainless steel is properly identified.

(86-01-09) - Provide a date for the instruction relating to weld material control and describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to prevent the recurrence of the noted deficiencies.

(86-01-10) - Provide the dates for revising the audit check list and adding the restrictions to the Approved Vendors List, and describe the measures taken and the date of implementation to prevent the recurrence of the noted deficiencies.

(86-01-12) - Provide the date(s) for the training on the QAM revisions conducted by the Manufacturing Manager.

Please provide this additional information within 25 days from the date of this letter in order that we may complete our review in a timely manner.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by
Gary G. Zech

Gary G. Zech, Chief
Vendor Program Branch
Division of Quality Assurance, Vendor
and Technical Training Center Programs
Office of Inspection and Enforcement

Distribution:

DMB:IE:09

VPB Reading

JConway

EMerschhoff

VPB:DQAVT
JConway:tt

4/25/86

VPB:DQAVT
EMerschhoff

4/30/86

VPB:DQAVT
GZech

5/1/86

IE09
1/0